# The Modular Curve $X_{0}(N)$ 

Lloyd Elliott

In previous discussions, it was shown that $X_{0}(1)$ is analytically isomorphic to $\mathbb{H} / S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Here, $X_{0}(1)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$, and $\mathbb{H}$ is the upper half plane, and a matrix $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ acts on $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ by $A \tau=\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}$. This analytic isomorphism is given by $\Psi E=\tau$, where $E \simeq[1, \tau]=\{\alpha+\beta \tau: \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. In previous discussions, it was shown that every elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to a unique curve of the form $[1, \tau]$, and that $[1, \tau]=[1, A \tau]$ for all $A \in S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. So, $\Psi$ is well defined, and bijective. This fully descibes isomorphism classes of elliptic curves. In order to extend this description to isogenies from one elliptic curve to another, it suffices to look at pairs $(E, C)$, where $E$ is an elliptic curve, and $C$ is a cyclic subgroup of order $N$. For, non trivial isogenies have finite kernels [Silverman, III.4.9]. Thus, the kernel is finitely generated, and can be expressed as a direct sum of cyclic groups. So, the problem of classifying all isogenies between elliptic curves reduces to the problem of classifying elliptic curves and cyclic subgroups of every order. Let $X_{0}(N)$ be isomorphism classes of pairs of elliptic curves and cyclic subgroups of order $N$.

Theorem 1. Every isomorphism class in $X_{0}(N)$ contains a pair of the form $(E, C)$ with $E=[1, \tau]$ and $C=\left[\frac{1}{N}, \tau\right]$.

Proof. Say $E \simeq[\alpha, \beta]$. Then, $C$ is cyclic, so there is a point $\delta \in E$ such that $C \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ $\operatorname{span}\{\delta\}$ in $E$. So, $N \delta \in E$. Consider the line in $\mathbb{H}$ connecting $\delta$ to the origin. Let $x$ be the point of $[\alpha, \beta]$ with smallest non zero magnitude on this line ( $x$ exists, as $C$ is finite). Then, $\frac{x}{N}$ generates $C$. For, if $M \delta$ is any element of $C$, then by subtracting $x$ an appropriate number of times, $M \delta$ is equivalent to $t x$, where $0 \leq t<1$. Then, $N M \delta \in E$, so $N t x \in E$. Thus, $t x=\frac{A}{N}$ for some $0 \leq A<N$. Thus, $[\alpha, \beta]$ is isomorphic to $\frac{1}{N x}[\alpha, \beta]$, and $1 \in \frac{1}{N x}[\alpha, \beta]$ is the smallest non negative real number in $\frac{1}{N x}[\alpha, \beta]$. Also, this multiplication sends $\mathbb{Z}$-span $\{\delta\}$ to $\mathbb{Z}$-span $\left\{\frac{1}{N}\right\}$. By previous discussions, if $1 \in[\alpha, \beta]$, then there is a $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $[\alpha, \beta]$ is isomorphic to $[1, \tau]$. So, $(E, C)$ is isomorphic to $\left([1, \tau],\left[\frac{1}{N}, \tau\right]\right)$.

There is a map from $\pi_{N}: X_{0}(1) \rightarrow X_{0}(N)$, given by forgetting about the additional
information provided by $C$. This map is well defined, for if $\left(E_{1}, C^{1}\right)$ and $\left(E_{2}, C^{2}\right)$ are two pairs of elliptic curves and cyclic subgroups of order $N$, then they are in the same equivalence class if and only if there is an isomorphism $\phi: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ such that $\phi C^{1}=C^{2}$. So, $E_{1}$ is isomorphic to $E_{2}$, and thus $\pi_{N}\left(E_{1}, C^{1}\right)=E_{1}=E_{2}=\pi_{N}\left(E_{2}, C^{2}\right)$ in $X_{0}(1)$. Also, this map is surjective, for if an elliptic curve $E \in X_{0}(1)$ is given, $E$ is isomorphic to an elliptic curve of the form $[1, \tau]$, and thus $\pi_{N}\left([1, \tau],\left[\frac{1}{N}, \tau\right]\right)=E$.

Define $\Gamma_{0}(N)=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right): c \equiv 0(\bmod m)\right\}$. It will be shown that $\mathbb{H} / \Gamma_{0}(N) \simeq X_{0}(N)$. The set $\Gamma_{0}(N)$ is a subgroup of $S L(\mathbb{Z})$. Consider $\mathbb{H} / S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ as orbits of $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ acting on $\mathbb{H}$. Then, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, and for every coset $\Gamma_{0}(N) A$ of $\Gamma_{0}(N), \Gamma_{0}(N) A \tau$ is a subset of $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \tau$. So, there is a map $q: \mathbb{H} / \Gamma_{0}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H} / S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, by sending $\Gamma_{0}(N) \tau$ to $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \tau$. By the orbit stabiliser theorem, this is a $\left[S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}): \Gamma_{0}(N)\right]$ to one covering of $\mathbb{H} / S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 2. The function $j(\tau)$ is invariant under the action of $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Also, $j(\tau)$ and $j(N \tau)$ are invariant under the action of $\Gamma_{0}(N)$.

Proof. If $\sigma \in S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, then $j(\tau)=\frac{1728 g_{2}(\tau)^{3}}{g_{2}(\tau)^{3}-27 g_{3}(\tau)^{2}}$, and because $g_{2}, g_{3}$ are modular functions of weight 2 and 3 respectively, $j(\sigma \tau)=j(\tau)$. Also, $\Gamma_{0}(N) \subset S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, so $j(\tau)$ is clearly $\Gamma_{0}(N)$ invariant. If $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$, and $\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & N b \\ \frac{c}{N} & d\end{array}\right)$, then $j(N \gamma \tau)=j\left(\gamma^{\prime} N \tau\right)=j(N \tau)$. So, $j(N \tau)$ is $\Gamma_{0}(N)$ invariant.

If $\gamma \in \Gamma_{0}(N)$, and $(E, C)$ is an elliptic curve along with a cyclic subgroup of order $N$, then the pair is isomorphic to $\left([1, \tau],\left[\frac{1}{N}, \tau\right]\right)$ for some $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. Also, $(\gamma E, \gamma C)$ is isomorphic to $\left([1, \gamma \tau],\left[\frac{1}{N}, \gamma \tau\right]\right)$. But, $\left[\frac{1}{N}, \tau\right]$ is isomorphe to $N\left[\frac{1}{N}, \tau\right]$, so $\left[\frac{1}{N}, \gamma \tau\right]$ is isomorphic to $[1, \gamma N \tau]$. So, by the above theorem, the $j$ invariant of $[1, \tau]$ is the same as that of $[1, \gamma \tau]$, and that of $\left[\frac{1}{N}, \tau\right]$ is the same as that of $\left[\frac{1}{N}, \gamma \tau\right]$. Thus, $X_{0}(N)$ is invariant under the action of $\Gamma_{0}(N)$.

Thus, the map $\omega: X_{0}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{H} / \Gamma_{0}(N)$ that sends $(E, C)$ to $\tau$, where $(E, C)$ is isomorphic to $\left([1, \tau],\left[\frac{1}{N}, \tau\right]\right)$, is well defined.

This information can be summarised by the following diagram. Later, it will be shown that this diagram commutes, and that the horizontal arrows are analytic isomorphisms:


Consider the set $C(N)=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & d\end{array}\right): \operatorname{gcd}(a, b, d)=1,|a d|=N, 0<a, 0 \leq b<d\right\}$.
Theorem 3. There is a bijection between cosets of $\Gamma_{0}(N)$ in $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, and elements of $C(N)$.

Proof. Let $\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & d\end{array}\right) \in C(N)$ and $\sigma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}N & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) \in C(N)$. It will be shown that the set $\sigma_{0}^{-1} S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \sigma \cap S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a coset of $\Gamma_{0}(N)$ in $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Elements in this set are of the form $\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{a^{\prime} a}{N} \\ c^{\prime} a\end{array} \frac{a^{p} r i m e b+b^{\prime} d}{c^{\prime} b+d^{\prime} d}.\right)$ where $\left(\begin{array}{cc}a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\ c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}\end{array}\right) \in S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Clearly, every such matrix has determinant 1 , for determinant is multiplicative. So, to deminstrate that there is an element in this set, it is enough to find $\left(\begin{array}{c}a^{\prime} \\ c^{\prime}\end{array} b^{\prime}\right.$ d $)$ ) such that $\frac{a^{\prime} a}{N}$ and $\frac{a^{\prime} b+b^{\prime} d}{N}$ integers, and $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ coprime. For, then $c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ can be chosen so that $a^{\prime} d^{\prime}-b^{\prime} c^{\prime}=1$, and thus, the matrix product is in $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Say $x=\frac{a^{\prime} a}{N}=1$, so $a^{\prime}=d$, and $g=(a, b)$. Then, $\frac{a^{\prime} b+b^{\prime} d}{N}=\frac{x b+b^{\prime}}{a}$. For this to be an integer, $a$ must divide $b+b^{\prime}$ so write $k a=b+b^{\prime}$. But, $\left(\frac{a}{g}, \frac{b}{g}\right)=1$, so by Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions, there exists an integer $k$ such that $\frac{b^{\prime}}{g}=k \frac{a}{g}-\frac{b}{g}$ is a prime greater than $d$. Then, $\frac{b+b^{\prime}}{a}=k$, an integer. Also, $\frac{a^{\prime} a}{N}=1$ is an integer. Finally, $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)=(d, k a-b)=1$. For, assume $h>0$ and $h \mid d$ and $h \left\lvert\, k a-b=g \frac{k a-b}{g}\right.$. Then, $\frac{k a-b}{g}$ is a prime greater than $d$, and $h<d$ so $h \nmid \frac{k a-b}{g}$. Thus, $h \mid g$ and $h \mid d$ implies $h=1$, for $(a, b, d)=1$ implies $(g, d)=1$. This demonstrates the existance of an element $X \in \sigma_{0}^{-1} S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \sigma \cap S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Consider the set $\sigma_{0}^{-1} S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \sigma_{0} \cap S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. This set is $\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}a^{\prime} & N b^{\prime} \\ \frac{c^{\prime}}{N} & d^{\prime}\end{array}\right):\left(\begin{array}{cc}a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\ c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}\end{array}\right) \in S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right\} \cap$ $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\ c^{\prime} & d\end{array}\right) \in S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}): N \mid c^{\prime}\right\}=\Gamma_{0}(N)$. So, for any element $\sigma \in C(N)$, choose $X$ as above, then there is an element $Z \in S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\sigma_{0}^{-1} Z \sigma=X$. So, $\Gamma_{0} X=$ $\sigma_{0}^{-1} S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \sigma_{0} Z \sigma \cap S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\sigma_{0}^{-1} S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) Z \sigma_{0} \sigma \cap S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus, for every element $\sigma \in C(N)$, $\sigma_{0}^{-1} S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \sigma \cap S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a coset of $\Gamma_{0}$ in $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

If $X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\ c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}\end{array}\right) \in S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, then there is a $\sigma \in C(N)$ with $X \in \sigma_{0} S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \sigma \cap S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $\sigma^{-1} x^{-1} \sigma_{0}^{-1} \in S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. That is, if $B=\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{a d^{\prime}-b c^{\prime}}{N}-a^{\prime} b-a b^{\prime} \\ -\frac{c^{\prime}}{a} \\ \frac{N A^{\prime}}{a}\end{array}\right) \in S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Say $a=\left(c^{\prime}, N\right)$, then $a \mid c^{\prime}$ and $a \mid N a^{\prime}$. So, $-\frac{c^{\prime}}{a}$ and $\frac{N a^{\prime}}{a}$ are integers. Also, $\left(\frac{c^{\prime}}{a}, \frac{N}{a}\right)=1$, so there are integers $\alpha, b$ such that $\frac{N}{a} \alpha+\frac{c^{\prime}}{a} b=d^{\prime}$. Thus, $\alpha=\frac{a d^{\prime}-c^{\prime} b}{N}$ is an integer. Furthermore, if $\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha+k \frac{c^{\prime}}{a}$, then the choice $b=\frac{a d^{\prime}-N \alpha^{\prime}}{c^{\prime}}=\frac{a d^{\prime}-N \alpha}{c^{\prime}}+k d$ also yields an integer. Thus, there is a unique choice of $b$ such that $0 \leq b<d$. Thus, if $\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & d\end{array}\right)$, then $B$ has integer entries and determinant 1 , and $X \in \sigma_{0}^{-1} S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \sigma \cap S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. This choice has $(a, b, d)=1$, for if $(a, b, d)=\delta>1$ then $\delta \mid d$ so $\delta \mid d a^{\prime}$, and $\delta|a, \delta| b$ implies $\delta \mid a^{\prime} b-a b^{\prime}$, which is a contradiction, as $B \in S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, so $\left(\frac{N a^{\prime}}{a}, a^{\prime} b-a b^{\prime}\right)=1$. Thus, every element of $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ appears in a coset of the form $\sigma_{0}^{-1} S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \sigma \cap S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. This selection of $\sigma$ is unique given $X$. For, $a\left|c^{\prime}, a\right| N a^{\prime}$ is necessary. Also, if $\frac{N}{a}, \frac{c^{\prime}}{a}$ have a common factor, then it also divides $d^{\prime}$ for any choice of $d$. But, $\left(c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)=1$. So, $a=\left(N, c^{\prime}\right)$. Also, $b$ is determined by the choice of $a$.

If $(\underset{N y}{w} \underset{z}{x}) \in \Gamma_{0}$, then the above construction is invariant under multiplication on the left by $G$. That is, $X$ and $G X$ both yield the same element of $C(N)$. For, by computing the coordinants of $\sigma^{-1}(G X)^{-1} \sigma_{0}^{-1}$, the above construction applied to $G X$ yields $a=\left(N, N y a^{\prime}+\right.$ $\left.z c^{\prime}\right)$ and $b=\frac{a\left(N y b^{\prime}+z d^{\prime}\right)-N \beta}{N y a^{\prime}+z c^{\prime}}$. But, $(N, z)=1$, so $\left(N, N y a^{\prime}+z c^{\prime}\right)=\left(N, c^{\prime}\right)$. Write $c^{\prime}=t a$, and then $N y a^{\prime}+z c^{\prime}=r a$ implies that $d y a^{\prime}+z t=r$. Then, $(N, r)=1$, for otherwise $(N, z)>1$, and $\frac{r}{z}=t(\bmod d)$. So, $b=\frac{N y b^{\prime}+z d^{\prime}-b \beta}{r}=\frac{z}{r} d^{\prime}(\bmod d)=\frac{d^{\prime}}{t}(\bmod d)$. But, the choice for $b$ arising from $X$ is $\frac{a d^{\prime}-N \alpha}{c^{\prime}}+k d=\frac{d^{\prime}-d}{t}+k d=\frac{d^{\prime}}{t}(\bmod d)$. Thus, the choice of $b$ the same for both $X$ and $G X$. So, every element of $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is in a coset coming from an element of $C(N)$, and two elements are in the same coset if and only if the corresponding elements of $C(N)$ are the same. Thus, there is a bijection between cosets of $\Gamma_{0}(N)$ in $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, and elements of $C(N)$.

Theorem 4. If $L^{\prime}$ is a cyclic sublattice of $L=[1, \tau]$ of order $N$, then there is a unique matrix $\sigma \in C(N)$ such that $L^{\prime}=d[1, \sigma \tau]$. Also, if $\sigma \in C(N)$, then $d[1, \sigma \tau]$ is a cyclic sublattice of $[1, \tau]$ of order $N$.

Proof. If $L^{\prime} \subset L=[1, \tau]$, then $L^{\prime}=[a \tau+b, c \tau+d]$. In this case, $\left[L: L^{\prime}\right]=|a d-b c|=N$. This will be shown by appealing to the theory of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. If $M=\mathbb{Z}^{2}$, and $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$, and $A^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}d & -b \\ -c & a\end{array}\right)$, then $\operatorname{det} A=M$. Also, if $M=\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]$, where $e_{1}, e_{2}$ are standard basis vectors, then $A M=\left[a e_{1}+b e_{2}, c e_{1}+d e_{2}\right]$, and $(\operatorname{det} A) M=\left[(a d-b c) e_{1},(a d-b c) e_{2}\right]$. If $x \in(\operatorname{det} A) M$, and $x=\alpha(a d-b c) e_{1}+\beta(a d-b c) e_{2}$, then $x=(\alpha d-\beta c)\left(a e_{1}+b e_{2}\right)+(\beta a-\alpha b)\left(c e_{1}+d e_{2}\right)$. So, $x \in A M$.

Also, $M / A^{\prime} M \simeq A M /(\operatorname{det} A) M$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. This isomorphism is given by multiplication by $A$ and $A^{-1}$, for $A A^{\prime}=\operatorname{det} A$.

The sequence $0 \rightarrow A M /(\operatorname{det} A) M \rightarrow M /(\operatorname{det} A) M \rightarrow M / A M \rightarrow 0$ is exact. For, $A M \subset$ $M$ implies $A M /(\operatorname{det} A) M \subset M /(\operatorname{det} A) M$. Also, as shown above $\operatorname{det}(A) M \subset A M$, so he $\operatorname{map} M /(\operatorname{det} A) M \rightarrow M / A M$ is a quotient with kernel $A M /(\operatorname{det} A) M$. It is clearly surjective, for if $x+A M \in M / A M$, then $x+(\operatorname{det} A) M$ is mapped to $x+A M$. So, by this short exact sequence, $(M /(\operatorname{det} A) M) /(A M /(\operatorname{det} A) M)=M / A M$. This implies that $|M /(\operatorname{det} A) M|=$ $|M / A M| \mid A M /(\operatorname{det} A) M$. But, $|M /(\operatorname{det} A) M|=(\operatorname{det} A)^{2}$. The last equality can be seen because $M /(\operatorname{det} A) M=\left\{\alpha e_{1}+\beta e_{2}:(\operatorname{det} A) e_{1}=(\operatorname{det} A) e_{2}=0\right\}$, so there are $(\operatorname{det} A)^{2}$ ways to choose $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Finally, $M / A M \simeq M / A^{\prime} M$, for if $\theta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$, and $x+A M \in M / A M$, then $\theta(x+A M)=\theta x+A^{\prime} M$. And, $\theta^{-1}$ exists with integer coefficients. So, $\left|M / A^{\prime} M\right|=$ $|M / A M|$. Combining this with the expression gained from the short exact sequence yields
$|M / A M|=\operatorname{det} A$. Thus, $\left[L: L^{\prime}\right]=N$.
To show $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b, c, d)=1$, a theorem of finite Abelian groups says that such a group $G$ is not cyclic if and only if there is a subgroup of the form $(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. So, if $D$ divides all of $a, b, c, d$, then write $A=D A^{\prime}$. Then, $A^{\prime} M / D A^{\prime} M=(\mathbb{Z} / D \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. But, $A^{\prime} M \subset M$ implies $A^{\prime} M / D A^{\prime} M \subset M / A M$, so $M / A M$ is not cyclic. Conversely, if $M / A M$ is not cyclic, then there is $M^{\prime} \mid M^{\prime} / A M \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / D \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. So, $M^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}$-span $\{x, y\}$ for some $x, y$ such that $D x \in$ $A M, D y \in A M$. This implies $D M^{\prime} \subset A M$, and thus there is $A^{\prime}$ such that $A^{\prime} D M^{\prime}=A M$. Then, $A=D A^{\prime}$, and so $D$ divides $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b, c, d)$.

If $L^{\prime} \subset[1, \tau]$ is cyclic and of finite index, and $\left[L: L^{\prime}\right]=N$, then let $d \mathrm{~b}$ the smallest positive integer in $L^{\prime} \cap \mathbb{Z}$. This exists, because $\left[L: L^{\prime}\right]$ is finite. Then, $1 \in \frac{L^{\prime}}{d}$, and $\frac{L^{\prime}}{d}$ is a lattice. By previous discussions, $\frac{L^{\prime}}{d}=\left[1, \tau^{\prime}\right]$. But, $d \tau^{\prime} \in L^{\prime}$, so $d \tau^{\prime}=a \tau+b$, so $L^{\prime}=[d, a \tau+b]=[d, a \tau+(b+k d)]$. These integers can be chosen so that $0<a$, and $0 \leq b<d$. From the first paragraph, $|a d|=N, c=0,(a, b, d)=1$. For uniqueness, say $L^{\prime}=\left[d, a^{\prime} \tau+d^{\prime}\right]$, then $|a d|=\left|a^{\prime} d\right|$, and $a^{\prime}>0$, so $a=a^{\prime}$. Also, if $b^{\prime}=b$, for $0 \leq b^{\prime}, b<d$, so if $b^{\prime} \neq b$, then $\left(a \tau-b^{\prime}\right)-(a \tau-b)=b^{\prime}-b$ is in $L^{\prime}$, but then $\left|b-b^{\prime}\right|<d$, which contradicts the minimality of $d$.

Thus, the $\operatorname{map} q: X_{0}(N) \rightarrow X_{0}(1)$ is a covering of degree $|C(N)|$, and ramifies only when the automorphism class of $E$ is non trivial. But, the map $q: \mathbb{H} / \Gamma_{0}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{H} / S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is also a covering of degree $|C(N)|$. Also, if the map $\Psi: X_{0}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H} / S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ gives the analytic isomorphism from $X_{0}(1)$ to $\mathbb{H} / S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ discussed in the first paragraph, then $\Psi$ is a covering of degree one. The map $\pi_{N}: X_{0}(N) \rightarrow X_{0}(1)$ composed with the map $\Psi: X_{0}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H} / S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the same as the map $\omega: X_{0}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{H} / \Gamma_{0}(N)$ composed with the map $q: \mathbb{H} / \Gamma_{0}(N) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{H} / S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ (that is, $\Psi \pi_{N}=q \omega$.) For, if $(E, C) \simeq\left([1, \tau],\left[\frac{1}{N}, \tau\right]\right) \in X_{0}(N)$, then $\Psi \pi_{N}(E, C)=$ $\Psi E=S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \tau$. Also, $q \omega(E, C)=q \Gamma_{0}(N) \tau=S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z} \tau)$. The degree of the covering $q \omega$ is $|C(N)|$, so the degree of the covering $\Psi \omega$ is also $|C(N)|$. But, degree of coverings is multiplicative, so the degree of the covering $\omega$ is one. Also, $q, \pi_{N}$ and $\Psi$ are all analytic, so $\omega$ is also analytic. Thus, $X_{0}(N)$ is analytically isomorphic to $\mathbb{H} / \Gamma_{0}(\mathbb{Z})$.

