Pure Math 450, Assignment 3

Due: Friday, February 10.

1. Show that any bounded subset A of \mathbb{R} , such that $\lambda^*(A) > 0$, contains a nonmeasurable subset.

[Hint: The proof of the existence of a nonmeasurable subset of (-a, a), given in class, can be adapted.]

2. Let C denote the Cantor ternary set in [0,1]. Recall that each t in [0,1] admits a ternary expansion

$$t = 0.t_1 t_2 \dots = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{t_i}{3^i}$$
, where $t_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Recall too that $G = [0, 1] \setminus C$ consists of all elements in [0, 1] whose ternary expansion must contain a 1. Define $\varphi : G \to [0, 1]$ by

$$\varphi(0.t_1t_2...) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^n t_k^{n-k}$$
, if $t_n = 1$ and $t_\ell \neq 1$ for any $\ell = 1, ..., n-1$.

(a) Let

$$G_3 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} t_n = 1 \text{ for some } n \leq 3, \\ 0.t_1 t_2 t_3 \dots \in [0, 1] : t_\ell \neq 2 \text{ for some } \ell > n \text{ and} \\ t_\ell \neq 0 \text{ for some } \ell > n \end{array} \right\}.$$

(Then $C_3 = [0,1] \setminus G_3$ is the third set developed in the creation of C.) Sketch the graph of $\varphi|_{G_3}$, labeling all the values it takes. What can you infer about φ in general?

(b) Show that φ extends, uniquely, to a continuous function from [0, 1] to [0, 1]. [Again, this is a good time to pull out the PMath 351 toolbox.]

We denote this extended function, again, by φ . It is called the *Cantor ternary function*.

- (c) Define $\psi : [0,1] \to [0,2]$ by $\psi(t) = \varphi(t) + t$. Show that ψ is strictly increasing and that $\psi(C)$ is a closed nowhere dense subset of [0,2], which has measure 1.
- (d) Find an example of a measurable function f : R → R and a continuous function h : R → R such that f ∘ h is not measurable.
 [Hint: Use q. 1 to aid in your choice of f, and (c) to aid in your choice of h.]

[Don't forget the next page ...]

3. Let [a, b] be a bounded interval in \mathbb{R} and $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. If $\mathcal{P} = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$ is a partition of [a, b] by intervals, then let

$$L(f, \mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i(f, \mathcal{P})(x_i - x_{i-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad U(f, \mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i(f, \mathcal{P})(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

where $m_i(f, \mathcal{P}) = \inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)$ and $M_i(f, \mathcal{P}) = \sup_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)$, for each *i*.

Note: These "upper" and "lower" sums make sense as \mathbb{R} is totally ordered. If we replace the codomain \mathbb{R} by a Banach space \mathcal{X} , we have no total ordering on \mathcal{X} and cannot make sense of these at all.

(a) Show that f is Riemann integrable on [a, b] if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a partition $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$U(f, \mathcal{P}) - L(f, \mathcal{P}) < \varepsilon$$
 whenever $\mathcal{P} \supset \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}$.

[Verify Cauchy criterion for Riemann integrability.]

(b) For each n in \mathbb{N} let

$$E_n = \left\{ x \in [a, b] : \begin{array}{c} \text{for every } \delta > 0, \text{ there exist } y, z \text{ in} \\ (x - \delta, x + \delta) \cap [a, b] \text{ s.t. } |f(y) - f(z)| \ge \frac{1}{n} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Show that $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ is the set of points at which f is discontinuous.

- (c) Show that if f is Riemann integrable, then $\lambda^*(E_n) = 0$ for each n. Hence deduce that the set of points of discontinuity of f is null.
- (d) Show that if f is Riemann integrable, then it is Lebesgue integrable, and the two integrals are equal.

We note that the converse to (c) holds too. Hence a function $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable if and only if it is bounded and its set of discontinuities has Lebesgue measure 0.

- 4. Let a < b in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$. We say that f is "improperly Riemann integrable" on (a, b) if
 - (i) for any a < x < y < b, f is Riemann integrable on [x, y], and
 - (ii) $\lim_{x \to a^+} \lim_{y \to b^-} \int_x^y f$ exists.

In this case, the quantity in (ii) is called the *improper Riemann integral* of f on (a, b) and is denoted $\int_a^b f$.

(a) Show that if f is improperly Riemann integrable on (a, b), and $f \ge 0$, then f is Lebesgue integrable with $\int_a^b f = \int_{(a,b)} f$ [i.e. improper Riemann int. = Lebesgue int.].

(b) Does the conclusion of (a) hold without the assumption that $f \ge 0$? Prove or provide a counter-example.