

## Pure Math 450, Assignment 4

Due: February 29.

1. Let  $[a, b]$  be a compact interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . [All of the results (a)-(d) below are also true for  $p = 1$ , with similar proofs.]

(a) Show that if  $f \in L_p[a, b]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there is a measurable simple function  $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|f - \varphi\|_p < \varepsilon$ .

A function  $\psi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a *step function* if there is a family  $I_1, \dots, I_m$  of intervals, each contained in  $[a, b]$ , such that  $\psi = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \chi_{I_j}$ , where  $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(b) Show that if  $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable simple function, then  $\varphi \in L_p[a, b]$ , and, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a step function  $\psi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|\varphi - \psi\|_p < \varepsilon$ .

[Hint: Start with the case that  $\varphi = \chi_E$ .]

(c) Show that if  $\psi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a step function, and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there is a continuous function  $h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|\psi - h\|_p < \varepsilon$ .

Let  $C[a, b]$  denote the space of continuous real-valued functions on  $[a, b]$ . Recall from PMATH 351 that  $C[a, b]$  is a Banach space under the norm  $\|h\|_u = \max_{x \in [a, b]} |h(x)|$ . [We use this temporary notation  $\|\cdot\|_u$ , because we don't want to get it confused with the  $L_\infty$ -norm – at least not yet.]

(d) Show that there is a constant  $K > 0$  such that for any  $h$  in  $C[a, b]$ ,  $\|h\|_p \leq K \|h\|_u$ ; and show that for such  $h$ , if  $\|h\|_p = 0$  then  $\|h\|_u = 0$  too. Hence each element  $f$  in  $C[a, b]$  identifies uniquely an element of  $L_p[a, b]$ ; we write  $C[a, b] \subset L_p[a, b]$ .

(e) Show that  $C[a, b]$  is a dense subset of  $L_p[a, b]$ .

(f) Show that for any  $h$  in  $C[a, b]$ ,  $\|h\|_\infty = \|h\|_u$ , and conclude that  $C[a, b]$  is a closed subspace of  $L_\infty[a, b]$ .

In light of (e), we may now conflate the notations  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  and  $\|\cdot\|_u$  on  $C[a, b]$ .

*Don't forget next page ...*

2. A continuous function  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *compactly supported* if its support,

$$\text{supp}(h) = \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} : h(x) \neq 0\}}$$

is compact. [By Heine-Borel, this is equivalent to having that  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : h(x) \neq 0\}$  is bounded.]

(a) Show that the space  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ , of continuous real-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  which are compactly supported, is dense in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

[Hint: We can identify  $L_p[-n, n]$  as a subspace of  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$  in an obvious way. Show first that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_p[-n, n]$  is dense in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ ; then use 1 (e).]

Let  $C_0(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ h \in C(\mathbb{R}) : \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} h(x) = 0 \right\}$ . You may have seen in PM351 that  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  is a closed subspace of  $C_b(\mathbb{R})$ , the continuous bounded functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . [If you haven't, I suggest you try to prove it for yourself.] In particular,  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  is a Banach space.

(b) Show that  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ .

(c) Is  $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \subset L_p(\mathbb{R})$  for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ? Explain with a proof or counter-example. How about when  $p = \infty$ ?

3. Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset L^+[-1, 1]$  (non-negative integrable functions on  $[-1, 1]$ ) satisfy the conditions

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-1, 1]} f_n = 1$ , and
- for each  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{[-1, -\delta]} f_n + \int_{[\delta, 1]} f_n \right) = 0$

(a) Show that if  $\varphi \in C[-1, 1]$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-1, 1]} \varphi f_n = \varphi(0).$$

(b) Show, by way of example, that it is possible to have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = 0$  a.e.

Part (a) says that “ $f_n$  converges in distribution to point mass at 0”. We see from (b) that convergence in distribution may not be related to (a.e.) convergence.