

QECC condition for stabilizer codes and Pauli errors

Let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m be the generators for the stabilizer group for a stabilizer code C .

Let E_1, E_2, \dots, E_r be a set of Pauli matrices.

Then, any quantum operation with Kraus operators in the span of E_1, E_2, \dots, E_r is correctible on C if

$$\forall i \neq j, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \lambda \text{ s.t. } E_i E_j \text{ anticommutes with } G_\lambda. \\ \text{or } E_i E_j \text{ is in } S. \end{array} \right.$$

Next: showing the above abstract condition implies that the errors have distinct +/- signs when we measure G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m , leading to a simple algorithm to identify the error,

or errors with identical syndromes act identically on the code space and there is no need to distinguish them.

Claim: measuring G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m effects a refinement of the measurement with projectors $E_i P E_i$.

Proof: the measurement of G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m is described by 2^m projectors $\left(\frac{I+G_1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{I+G_2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{I+G_3}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{I+G_m}{2}\right)$.

$$\forall i \text{ let } C_{il} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } [E_i, G_l] = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } \{E_i, G_l\} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$E_i P E_i = E_i \left(\frac{I+G_1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{I+G_2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{I+G_3}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{I+G_m}{2}\right) E_i$$

direct substitution

Claim: measuring G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m effects a refinement of the measurement with projectors $E_i P E_i$.

Proof: the measurement of G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m is described by 2^m projectors $\left(\frac{I+G_1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{I+G_2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{I+G_3}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{I+G_m}{2}\right)$.

$$\forall i \text{ let } C_{il} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } [E_i, G_l] = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } \{E_i, G_l\} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_i P E_i &= E_i \left(\frac{I+G_1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{I+G_2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{I+G_3}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{I+G_m}{2}\right) E_i \\ &= E_i \left(\frac{I+G_1}{2}\right) E_i E_i \left(\frac{I+G_2}{2}\right) E_i E_i \left(\frac{I+G_3}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{I+G_m}{2}\right) E_i \end{aligned}$$

insert identity

Claim: measuring G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m effects a refinement of the measurement with projectors $E_i P E_i$.

Proof: the measurement of G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m is described by 2^m projectors $\left(\frac{I+G_1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{I+G_2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{I+G_3}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{I+G_m}{2}\right)$.

$$\forall i \text{ let } c_{il} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } [E_i, G_l] = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } \{E_i, G_l\} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_i P E_i &= E_i \left(\frac{I+G_1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{I+G_2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{I+G_3}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{I+G_m}{2}\right) E_i \\ &= E_i \left(\frac{I+G_1}{2}\right) E_i E_i \left(\frac{I+G_2}{2}\right) E_i E_i \left(\frac{I+G_3}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{I+G_m}{2}\right) E_i \\ &= \left(\frac{I+c_{i1}G_1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{I+c_{i2}G_2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{I+c_{i3}G_3}{2}\right) \dots \left(\frac{I+c_{im}G_m}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

which is one of the projectors when measuring G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m .

Note $E_i P E_i$ projects onto c_{i1} eigenspace of G_1 , and c_{i2} eigenspace of G_2 , \dots , c_{im} eigenspace of G_m .

The list $C_{i1}, C_{i2}, \dots, C_{im}$ is the syndrome (list of outcomes when measuring G_1, \dots, G_m) if E_i happens.

Furthermore, for $i \neq j$,

if $E_i E_j$ anticommute with some G_ℓ

then, exactly one of E_i, E_j commute with G_ℓ ,

and one of E_i, E_j anticommute with G_ℓ .

So, $C_{i\ell} \neq C_{j\ell}$ and E_i, E_j must have different syndromes.

Thus the QECC condition $\forall i \neq j, \exists \ell$ s.t. $\{E_i E_j, G_\ell\} = 0$

implies that measuring the stabilizer generators measures the syndrome (a simple algorithm).

If $E_i E_j$ is in S , $P E_i P = P E_j P$ but no need to distinguish E_i from E_j .