

Purification and fidelity

①

1. Reductions, extensions, and purifications Sec 4.1, 4.2

Let X, Y be registers with associated CES \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , respectively

Suppose that (X, Y) has a state $\rho \in D(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y})$

The individual states of X, Y are given by

$$\rho^X := \text{Tr}_Y(\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^Y := \text{Tr}_X(\rho)$$

and we call them the reduced states on X and Y
or the reductions of ρ to X and Y .

Def (Extensions):

Let $\sigma \in D(\mathcal{X})$ be a state.

Then, a state $\rho \in D(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y})$ is called an extension of σ
if $\sigma = \text{Tr}_Y(\rho)$.

Eg For a state $\sigma \in D(\mathcal{X})$, $\sigma \otimes \xi$ is an extension of σ for any $\xi \in D(\mathcal{Y})$.

Def (purifications):

Let $\sigma \in D(\mathcal{X})$ be a state.

A pure state $\rho = uu^*$ is called a purification of σ
if $\sigma = \text{Tr}_Y(\rho) = \text{Tr}_Y(uu^*)$.

In this case, $u \in \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y}$ is also called a purification.

Note: A purification is a special type of extension using a pure state.

The concepts of reductions, extensions, and purifications are naturally extended to positive semidefinite operators.

Def (purifications of positive semidefinite operators)

Let $P \in \text{Pos}(X)$.

If there exists a vector $u \in X \otimes Y$ such that $P = \text{Tr}_Y(uu^*)$,
 u (or uu^*) is called a purification of P .

Eg $X = Y = \mathbb{C}^\Sigma$.

$u = \sum_{a \in \Sigma} e_a \otimes e_a$ is a purification of $\mathbb{1}_X = \sum_{a \in \Sigma} e_a e_a^*$.

Eg $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} p(i) u_i u_i^*$; a spectral decomposition of $\sigma \in D(X)$.

Then, $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} \sqrt{p(i)} u_i \otimes u_i$ is a purification of σ .

- Existence of purification sec 4.2

Thm 1

Let X and Y be CES, and let $P \in \text{Pos}(X)$.

Then, there exists a purification $u \in X \otimes Y$ of P
 if and only if $\dim(Y) \geq \text{rank}(P)$.

To show Thm 1, we will use the following observation.

Lem 1

Let $P \in \text{Pos}(X)$. The following are equivalent.

1. There exists a purification $U \in X \otimes Y$ of P
2. There exists an operator $A \in L(Y, X)$ such that $P = AA^*$.

proof

Sec 2.4 or F2023 lecture 1.5

(1 \Rightarrow 2) Suppose that a purification U of P exists, that is, $P = \text{Tr}_Y(UU^*)$.
 Recall the vec function, ($\text{vec}: L(Y, X) \rightarrow X \otimes Y$, $\text{vec}(E_{ab}) = e_a \otimes e_b$)
 Since vec is bijective, there exists $A \in L(Y, X)$ such that $U = \text{vec}(A)$.
 Since $P = \text{Tr}_Y(UU^*) = \text{Tr}_Y(\text{vec}(A)\text{vec}(A)^*) = AA^*$, $-(*)$
 \uparrow
 A1 Q1 b

We have statement 2.

(2 \Rightarrow 1) Suppose that $A \in L(Y, X)$ with $P = AA^*$ exists.

Define $U = \text{vec}(A)$. By $(*)$, U serves as a purification of P .

Now, let's show Thm 1.

④

Proof of Thm 1

Theory of Quantum Information (Textbook) p. 12

Suppose that a purification U of P exists.

By Lem 1, $A \in L(Y, X)$ with $P = AA^*$ exists.

$$\therefore \text{rank}(P) = \text{rank}(AA^*) = \text{rank}(A) \leq \dim(Y).$$

On the other hand, suppose that $\text{rank}(P) \leq \dim(Y)$.

Let $r = \text{rank}(P)$, and consider a spectral decomposition

$$P = \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_k x_k x_k^*, \quad \text{where } \begin{cases} \lambda_k \geq 0 & k=1, 2, \dots, r \\ x_1, \dots, x_r: \text{ orthonormal vectors on } X. \end{cases}$$

Since $\text{rank}(P) \leq \dim(Y)$, we can choose an orthonormal vectors $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r \in Y$.

Then, the operator $A = \sum_{k=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_k} x_k y_k^*$ satisfies $P = AA^*$.

By Lem 1, a purification U of P exists.

(Actually, we can take $U = \text{vec}(A)$ by the proof of Lem 1) \square

Thm 1 implies that a purification always exists if Y is sufficiently large.

Cor 1

Let X and Y be CES with $\dim(Y) \geq \dim(X)$.

For any $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{os}}(X)$, there exists a purification $U \in X \otimes Y$ of P .

↑

For the proof, observe $\dim(X) \geq \text{rank}(P) \forall P \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{os}}(X)$.

• Unitary equivalence of purifications Sec 4.2

⑤

Thm 2

Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be CES, and let $u, v \in \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y}$.

Assume that $\text{Tr}_Y(uu^*) = \text{Tr}_Y(vv^*)$.

There exists a unitary operator $U \in U(\mathcal{Y})$ such that $v = (\mathbb{1}_X \otimes U)u$.

proof

Define $P = \text{Tr}_Y(uu^*) = \text{Tr}_Y(vv^*) \in \text{Pos}(\mathcal{X})$.

Let $A, B \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ be (unique) linear operators such that

$u = \text{vec}(A)$ and $v = \text{vec}(B)$.

$$\therefore AA^* = \text{Tr}_Y(uu^*) = P = \text{Tr}_Y(vv^*) = BB^*$$

$$\therefore \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(P) = \text{rank}(B) =: r.$$

Let $P = \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_k x_k x_k^*$ be a spectral decomposition.

Since $AA^* = P = BB^*$, we can choose singular value decompositions (see Sec 2.1, for example)

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_k} x_k y_k^* \quad \text{and} \quad B = \sum_{k=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_k} x_k z_k^*$$

Using some orthonormal sets of vectors $\{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ and $\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$

Now, take a unitary operator $V \in U(\mathcal{Y})$ such that $V z_k = y_k$ for all k .

For example, we can take $V = \sum_{k=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{Y})} y_k z_k^*$, (**)

where $y_{r+1}, \dots, y_{\dim(\mathcal{Y})}$ and $z_{r+1}, \dots, z_{\dim(\mathcal{Y})}$ are additional vectors

so that $\{y_1, \dots, y_{\dim(\mathcal{Y})}\}$ and $\{z_1, \dots, z_{\dim(\mathcal{Y})}\}$ are orthonormal bases of \mathcal{Y} .

Take $U = V^T$. Then $(\mathbb{1}_X \otimes U)u = (\mathbb{1}_X \otimes V^T) \text{vec}(A) = \text{vec}(AV) = \text{vec}(B) = v$
↑ A|A| ⊙ ↑ (**)

2. Fidelity function

Sec 4.3, 4.4

A function that quantifies the similarity of two quantum states.

Sec 4.3.1

Def: Let \mathcal{X} be a CES, and let $P, Q \in \text{Pos}(\mathcal{X})$.

The fidelity between P and Q is defined as

$$F(P, Q) := \|\sqrt{P}\sqrt{Q}\|_1 = \text{Tr}[\sqrt{\sqrt{P}Q\sqrt{P}}]$$

Sec 4.3.2

Obs. • $F(P, Q) = F(Q, P)$ for any $P, Q \in \text{Pos}(\mathcal{X})$.

• $F(uu^*, Q) = \sqrt{u^*Qu}$ for any $u \in \mathcal{X}$ and any Q .

• $F(uu^*, vv^*) = |\langle u, v \rangle|$ for any $u, v \in \mathcal{X}$.

prop 1 (multiplicativity):

Let \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 be CES, and let $P_1, Q_1 \in \text{Pos}(\mathcal{X}_1)$ and $P_2, Q_2 \in \text{Pos}(\mathcal{X}_2)$.

Then, $F(P_1 \otimes P_2, Q_1 \otimes Q_2) = F(P_1, Q_1) F(P_2, Q_2)$.

proof

$$\begin{aligned}
F(P_1 \otimes P_2, Q_1 \otimes Q_2) &= \|\sqrt{P_1 \otimes P_2} \sqrt{Q_1 \otimes Q_2}\|_1 \\
&= \|(\sqrt{P_1} \otimes \sqrt{P_2})(\sqrt{Q_1} \otimes \sqrt{Q_2})\|_1 \\
&= \|\sqrt{P_1} \sqrt{Q_1} \otimes \sqrt{P_2} \sqrt{Q_2}\|_1 \\
&= \|\sqrt{P_1} \sqrt{Q_1}\|_1 \|\sqrt{P_2} \sqrt{Q_2}\|_1 \\
&= F(P_1, Q_1) F(P_2, Q_2) \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

- Characterizations of the fidelity function

Sec 4.3.3

Thm 3 (Uhlmann's Theorem)

Let \mathcal{X} be a CES, and let $P, Q \in \text{Pos}(\mathcal{X})$.

Let \mathcal{Y} be a CES with $\dim(\mathcal{Y}) \geq \max\{\text{rank}(P), \text{rank}(Q)\}$,

and let $u \in \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y}$ be a purification of P . \leftarrow Existence of u is ok by Thm 1

Then, $F(P, Q) = \max\{|\langle u, v \rangle| : v \in \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y}, \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Y}}(v v^*) = Q\}$.

proof

Since $\dim(\mathcal{Y}) \geq \max\{\text{rank}(P), \text{rank}(Q)\}$, there exist A and $B \in L(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ such that $A^*A = \Pi_{\text{im}(P)}$ and $B^*B = \Pi_{\text{im}(Q)}$.

(For example, let $P = \sum_{k=1}^{\text{rank}(P)} \lambda_k z_k z_k^*$, $Q = \sum_{k=1}^{\text{rank}(Q)} \eta_k y_k y_k^*$ be spectral decompositions.)
 Take sets of orthonormal vectors on \mathcal{Y} $\{z_1, \dots, z_{\text{rank}(P)}\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_{\text{rank}(Q)}\}$.
 Define $A = \sum_{k=1}^{\text{rank}(P)} z_k z_k^*$ and $B = \sum_{k=1}^{\text{rank}(Q)} y_k y_k^*$

Since $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\text{vec}(\sqrt{P}A^*) \text{vec}(\sqrt{P}A^*)^*) = \sqrt{P}A^*A\sqrt{P} = P$

and $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\text{vec}(\sqrt{Q}B^*) \text{vec}(\sqrt{Q}B^*)^*) = \sqrt{Q}B^*B\sqrt{Q} = Q$,

$\text{vec}(\sqrt{P}A^*)$ and $\text{vec}(\sqrt{Q}B^*)$ are purifications of P and Q , respectively.

By Thm 2, there exists $U \in U(\mathcal{Y})$ such that

$$u = (\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes U) \text{vec}(\sqrt{P}A^*) = \text{vec}(\sqrt{P}A^*U^T).$$

Similarly, any purification $v \in \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y}$ of Q can be written as

$$v = (\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes V) \text{vec}(\sqrt{Q}B^*) = \text{vec}(\sqrt{Q}B^*V^T)$$

using some $V \in U(\mathcal{Y})$.

Note that $(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes V) \text{vec}(\sqrt{Q}B^*)$ is a purification of Q for any $V \in U(\mathcal{Y})$, conversely.

(proof of Thm 3, cont'd)

$$\therefore \max \{ |\langle u, v \rangle| : v \in X \otimes Y, \text{Tr}_Y(vv^*) = Q \} = \max_{v \in U(Y)} |\langle \text{vec}(\sqrt{P}A^*U^T), \text{vec}(\sqrt{Q}B^*V^T) \rangle|$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{vec}(X), \text{vec}(Y) \rangle &= \langle X, Y \rangle \rightarrow = \max_{v \in U(Y)} |\langle \sqrt{P}A^*U^T, \sqrt{Q}B^*V^T \rangle| \\ (\text{Sec 2.4}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle AB, C \rangle &= \langle A, CB^* \rangle \rightarrow = \max_{v \in U(Y)} |\langle U^T V, A \sqrt{P} \sqrt{Q} B^* \rangle| \\ &= \langle B, A^* C \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\|X\|_1 = \max_{U \in U(X)} |\langle U, X \rangle| \rightarrow = \|A \sqrt{P} \sqrt{Q} B^*\|_1, \quad (\text{Sec 2.3.2})$$

Since $\Pi_{\text{im}(P)} = A^*A$, $\Pi_{\text{im}(Q)} = B^*B$, $\|A\|_\infty, \|B\|_\infty \leq 1$, and

$$\|\sqrt{P}\sqrt{Q}\|_1 = \|\Pi_{\text{im}(P)}\sqrt{P}\sqrt{Q}\Pi_{\text{im}(Q)}\|_1 = \|A^*A\sqrt{P}\sqrt{Q}B^*B\|_1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|XYZ\|_1 &\leq \|X\|_\infty \|Y\|_1 \|Z\|_\infty && \leq \|A^*\|_\infty \|A\sqrt{P}\sqrt{Q}B^*\|_1 \|B\|_\infty \\ (\text{Sec 2.3.2}) &&& \leq \|A\sqrt{P}\sqrt{Q}B^*\|_1 \\ &&& \leq \|A\|_\infty \|\sqrt{P}\sqrt{Q}\|_1 \|B^*\|_\infty \\ &&& \leq \|\sqrt{P}\sqrt{Q}\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \|A\sqrt{P}\sqrt{Q}B^*\|_1 = \|\sqrt{P}\sqrt{Q}\|_1$, and

$$\max \{ |\langle u, v \rangle| : v \in X \otimes Y, \text{Tr}_Y(vv^*) = Q \} = \|A\sqrt{P}\sqrt{Q}B^*\|_1 = \|\sqrt{P}\sqrt{Q}\|_1 = F(P, Q) \quad \square$$

Note: In fact, by appropriately choosing an optimal v in the statement of Thm 3, we have $F(P, Q) = \langle u, v \rangle$.

Obs. For all density operators ρ, β , $0 \leq F(\rho, \beta) \leq 1$.

$F(\rho, \beta) = 1$ if and only if $\rho = \beta$, and

$F(\rho, \beta) = 0$ if and only if $\rho\beta = 0$.

Prop 2

Let \mathcal{X} be a CES, and let $P_1, \dots, P_k, Q_1, \dots, Q_k \in \mathcal{P}_S(\mathcal{X})$.

Then, $F\left(\sum_{j=1}^k P_j, \sum_{j=1}^k Q_j\right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^k F(P_j, Q_j)$.

proof

Let \mathcal{Y} be a CES with $\dim(\mathcal{Y}) \geq \dim(\mathcal{X})$. Existence is due to Cor 1.

By Thm 3, we can choose purifications $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y}$

of $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k, Q_1, \dots, Q_k$ with $\langle u_j, v_j \rangle = F(P_j, Q_j)$.

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{C}^k$, and define $u, v \in \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ as Note of Thm 3

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^k u_j \otimes e_j \quad \text{and} \quad v = \sum_{j=1}^k v_j \otimes e_j.$$

$$\text{Since } \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Z}}(uu^*) = \sum_{j=1}^k \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Y}}(u_j u_j^*) = \sum_{j=1}^k P_j$$

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Z}}(vv^*) = \sum_{j=1}^k Q_j,$$

u, v are purifications of $\sum_{j=1}^k P_j, \sum_{j=1}^k Q_j$, respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{By Thm 3, } F\left(\sum_{j=1}^k P_j, \sum_{j=1}^k Q_j\right) &\geq |\langle u, v \rangle| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \langle u_j, v_j \rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^k F(P_j, Q_j) \right| = \sum_{j=1}^k F(P_j, Q_j) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Cor 2

$$F(\lambda\rho_1 + (1-\lambda)\rho_2, \lambda\beta_1 + (1-\lambda)\beta_2) \geq \lambda F(\rho_1, \beta_1) + (1-\lambda)F(\rho_2, \beta_2)$$

for all $\rho_1, \rho_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

Thm 4 Textbook, Sec 3.2.2, p148

Let X be a CES, and let $P, Q \in \text{Pas}(X)$. the set of positive "definite" operators on X .
Then, $F(P, Q) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \langle P, Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q, Y^{-1} \rangle : Y \in \text{Pd}(X) \right\}$.

proof

The proof consists of 3 steps.

① $P = Q$

$F(P, P)$

In this case, we show $\text{Tr}(P) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \langle P, Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle P, Y^{-1} \rangle : Y \in \text{Pd}(X) \right\}$.

Observe that

$(***) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(P) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(P) = \text{Tr}(P)$.
↑
By taking $Y = \mathbb{1}_X$

Thus, it suffices to show $(***) \geq \text{Tr}(P)$

For this purpose, we show $\frac{1}{2} \langle P, Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle P, Y^{-1} \rangle \geq \text{Tr}(P)$ for all $Y \in \text{Pd}(X)$.

Observe that $\frac{Y + Y^{-1}}{2} - \mathbb{1}_X = \frac{1}{2} (Y^{\frac{1}{2}} - Y^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 \in \text{Pas}(X)$.

" $\langle P, \frac{Y + Y^{-1}}{2} - \mathbb{1}_X \rangle \geq 0$, and thus $\frac{1}{2} \langle P, Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle P, Y^{-1} \rangle \geq \langle P, \mathbb{1}_X \rangle = \text{Tr}(P)$.

(proof of Thm 4, cont'd)

② $P, Q \in \text{Pd}(X)$.

Define $R := \sqrt{\sqrt{P} Q \sqrt{P}}$ and

$$Z := R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{P} Y \sqrt{P} R^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

$P, Q \in \text{Pd}(X)$, so $R \in \text{Pd}(X)$.
 $\therefore RR^{-1} = \mathbb{1}_X$.

We have $\langle R, Z \rangle = \langle R, R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{P} Y \sqrt{P} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle = \langle P, Y \rangle$

and $\langle R, Z^{-1} \rangle = \langle R, R^{\frac{1}{2}} P^{-\frac{1}{2}} Y^{-1} P^{-\frac{1}{2}} R^{\frac{1}{2}} \rangle$
 $= \langle \underbrace{P^{-\frac{1}{2}} R^2 P^{-\frac{1}{2}}}_{\sqrt{P} Q \sqrt{P}}, Y^{-1} \rangle = \langle Q, Y^{-1} \rangle$

$P \in \text{Pd}(X)$, so $PP^{-1} = \mathbb{1}_X$.

Since $P, R \in \text{Pd}(X)$, there is a one-to-one correspondence between Y and Z , and when Y ranges over all positive definite operators, so does Z .

$$\therefore \inf_{Y \in \text{Pd}(X)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \langle P, Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q, Y^{-1} \rangle \right] = \inf_{Z \in \text{Pd}(X)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \langle R, Z \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle R, Z^{-1} \rangle \right]$$

$$= \text{Tr}(R) = F(P, Q)$$

↑
step ①

(Proof of Thm 4, cont'd)

③ General case ($P, Q \in \text{Pos}(X)$)

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary positive real number.

$$\text{We have } \frac{1}{2} \langle P, Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q, Y^{-1} \rangle < \frac{1}{2} \langle P + \varepsilon \mathbb{1}_X, Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q + \varepsilon \mathbb{1}_X, Y^{-1} \rangle$$

Since $\text{Tr}(Y), \text{Tr}(Y^{-1}) > 0$.

$P + \varepsilon \mathbb{1}_X, Q + \varepsilon \mathbb{1}_X \in \text{Pd}(X)$

Taking the infimum over all $Y \in \text{Pd}(X)$, by step ②,

$$\inf_{Y \in \text{Pd}(X)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \langle P, Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q, Y^{-1} \rangle \right] < F(P + \varepsilon \mathbb{1}_X, Q + \varepsilon \mathbb{1}_X) \quad - (***)$$

Since (***) holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

considering the continuity of the fidelity, ($F(P, Q) = \| \sqrt{P} \sqrt{Q} \|_1$)

$$\inf_{Y \in \text{Pd}(X)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \langle P, Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q, Y^{-1} \rangle \right] \leq F(P, Q) \quad - \textcircled{1}$$

↑ Take the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

On the other hand, for any $Y \in \text{Pd}(X)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle P + \varepsilon \mathbb{1}_X, Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q + \varepsilon \mathbb{1}_X, Y^{-1} \rangle \geq F(P + \varepsilon \mathbb{1}_X, Q + \varepsilon \mathbb{1}_X).$$

By taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ on both sides, by continuity of fidelity and inner-product,

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle P, Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q, Y^{-1} \rangle \geq F(P, Q)$$

∴ By taking the infimum over all $Y \in \text{Pd}(X)$,

$$\inf_{Y \in \text{Pd}(X)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \langle P, Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q, Y^{-1} \rangle \right] \geq F(P, Q) \quad - \textcircled{2}$$

① and ② yield the desired expression. \square

Cor 2 (Alberti's Theorem) textbook Sec 3.2.2 p 48

Let \mathcal{X} be a CES, and let $P, Q \in \text{Pos}(\mathcal{X})$.

Then, $F(P, Q)^2 = \inf \{ \langle P, \gamma \rangle \langle Q, \gamma^{-1} \rangle \mid \gamma \in \text{Pd}(\mathcal{X}) \}$

(*****)

proof

If $P=0$ or $Q=0$, $F(P, Q) = 0$ and $(*****) = 0$.

So, the statement trivially holds.

In the following, we assume $P \neq 0$ and $Q \neq 0$.

By the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, $\rightarrow \sqrt{ab} \leq \frac{a+b}{2}$ for all $a, b \geq 0$.
 can be shown by $(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 \geq 0$.

$$\sqrt{\langle P, \gamma \rangle \langle Q, \gamma^{-1} \rangle} \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle P, \gamma \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q, \gamma^{-1} \rangle \quad \text{for any } \gamma \in \text{Pd}(\mathcal{X}).$$

\therefore By Thm 4,

$$\begin{aligned} (*****) &= \inf_{\gamma \in \text{Pd}(\mathcal{X})} \left[\langle P, \gamma \rangle \langle Q, \gamma^{-1} \rangle \right] \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \text{Pd}(\mathcal{X})} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \langle P, \gamma \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q, \gamma^{-1} \rangle \right)^2 \right] \\ &\stackrel{\frac{1}{2} \langle P, \gamma \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q, \gamma^{-1} \rangle \geq 0 \rightarrow}{=} \left(\inf_{\gamma \in \text{Pd}(\mathcal{X})} \left[\frac{1}{2} \langle P, \gamma \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q, \gamma^{-1} \rangle \right] \right)^2 \\ &= F(P, Q)^2 \end{aligned}$$

(Proof of Cor 2, cont'd)

On the other hand, observe that

$$\sqrt{\langle P, Y \rangle \langle Q, Y^{-1} \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle P, \alpha Y \rangle \langle Q, \alpha Y^{-1} \rangle} \quad \text{for any } P \in \text{Pd}(X) \text{ and } \alpha \neq 0.$$

$$\text{For } \alpha = \sqrt{\frac{\langle Q, Y^{-1} \rangle}{\langle P, Y \rangle}}, \quad \langle P, \alpha Y \rangle = \langle Q, \alpha Y^{-1} \rangle = \sqrt{\langle P, Y \rangle \langle Q, Y^{-1} \rangle}$$

For this choice of α , the arithmetic mean and the geometric mean of $\langle P, \alpha Y \rangle$ and $\langle Q, \alpha Y^{-1} \rangle$ become equal. (For $a, b \geq 0$, $\sqrt{ab} = \frac{a+b}{2}$ iff $a=b$)

$$\therefore \sqrt{\langle P, Y \rangle \langle Q, Y^{-1} \rangle} = \frac{1}{2} \langle P, \alpha Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Q, \alpha Y^{-1} \rangle \geq F(P, Q)$$

↑
Using Thm 4
since $\alpha Y \in \text{Pd}(X)$.

$$\therefore (\text{*****)} = \inf_{Y \in \text{Pd}(X)} \left[\langle P, Y \rangle \langle Q, Y^{-1} \rangle \right] \geq F(P, Q)^2 \quad \square$$

Note: In textbook, another proof of Thm 4 is also shown, which makes use of semi-definite programming (SDP).

In fact, Thm 3 and Thm 4 are related by the property called "strong duality", which is an important concept of SDP.

Fuchs - van de Graaf inequality sec 4.4

A relation between the fidelity and the distance induced by the Trace norm.

We first show the following technical lemma.

Lemma 2

Let \mathcal{X} be a CES, and let $P, Q \in \text{Pos}(\mathcal{X})$.

Then, $\|P - Q\|_1 \geq \|\sqrt{P} - \sqrt{Q}\|_2^2$.

Proof

Consider a spectral decomposition $\sqrt{P} - \sqrt{Q} = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{X})} \lambda_j x_j x_j^*$.

$$\|\sqrt{P} - \sqrt{Q}\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{X})} |\lambda_j|^2. \quad -①$$

Define $U = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{X})} \text{sign}(\lambda_j) x_j x_j^*$, where $\text{sign}(\lambda) := \begin{cases} 1 & (\lambda \geq 0) \\ -1 & (\lambda < 0) \end{cases}$.

Then, $(\sqrt{P} - \sqrt{Q})U = U(\sqrt{P} - \sqrt{Q}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{X})} |\lambda_j| x_j x_j^*$. -②

Using the identity $A^2 - B^2 = \frac{1}{2} [(A-B)(A+B) + (A+B)(A-B)]$, -③

$$\|P - Q\|_1 \geq |\text{Tr}[(P - Q)U]|$$

$$\stackrel{③}{=} \left| \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[(\sqrt{P} - \sqrt{Q})(\sqrt{P} + \sqrt{Q})U] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[(\sqrt{P} + \sqrt{Q})(\sqrt{P} - \sqrt{Q})U] \right|$$

$$\stackrel{②}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{X})} |\lambda_j| \underbrace{x_j (\sqrt{P} + \sqrt{Q}) x_j^*}_{\geq |x_j \sqrt{P} x_j^* - x_j \sqrt{Q} x_j^*|} \\ = |x_j (\sqrt{P} - \sqrt{Q}) x_j^*| = |\lambda_j|$$

$$\geq \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{X})} |\lambda_j|^2$$

$$\stackrel{①}{=} \|\sqrt{P} - \sqrt{Q}\|_2^2$$

□

Thm 5 (Fuchs-van de Graaf)

Let \mathcal{X} be a CES, and let $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$ be states.

Then,
$$1 - \frac{1}{2} \|\rho - \sigma\|_1 \leq F(\rho, \sigma) \leq \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{4} \|\rho - \sigma\|_1^2}$$

proof

First, we show the left-side inequality.

Observe that
$$\begin{aligned} \|\sqrt{\rho} - \sqrt{\sigma}\|_2^2 &= \text{Tr}[(\sqrt{\rho} - \sqrt{\sigma})^2] = \text{Tr}[\rho + \sigma - \sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\sigma} - \sqrt{\sigma}\sqrt{\rho}] \\ &= 2 - 2\text{Tr}[\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\sigma}] = 2 - 2F(\rho, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\rho - \sigma\|_1 \geq \|\sqrt{\rho} - \sqrt{\sigma}\|_2^2$ by Lem 2,

We have $\|\rho - \sigma\|_1 \geq 2 - 2F(\rho, \sigma)$, which is equivalent to the desired inequality.

Next, we show the right-side inequality.

Let \mathcal{Y} be a CES with $\dim(\mathcal{Y}) \geq \dim(\mathcal{X})$, and take purifications $u, v \in \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y}$ of ρ and σ satisfying $|\langle u, v \rangle| = F(\rho, \sigma)$. (Cor 1 and Thm 3)

By the monotonicity of the trace norm, (Sec 2.3.2)

$$\|\rho - \sigma\|_1 \leq \|uu^* - vv^*\|_1 \quad \text{--- ①}$$

Also, the trace norm of the difference of two pure states is evaluated as

$$\|uu^* - vv^*\|_1 = 2\sqrt{1 - |\langle u, v \rangle|^2} = 2\sqrt{1 - F(\rho, \sigma)^2} \quad \text{--- ②}$$

By ①, ②,
$$F(\rho, \sigma) \leq \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{4} \|\rho - \sigma\|_1^2} \quad \square$$