

Calculus 1 Assignment 9 Solutions

Alex Cowan

cowan@math.columbia.edu

1.

a) We can split the interval $[0, 1]$ into 4 equal parts: $[\frac{0}{4}, \frac{1}{4}]$, $[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{2}{4}]$, $[\frac{2}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$, and $[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{4}]$. For each of these parts, we can estimate the area under the curve $y = x^2$ with a rectangle with base equal to the length of the interval, and height equal to the value of the function $f(x) = x^2$ evaluated at the right endpoint. The areas of these four rectangles are $\frac{1}{4} \cdot (\frac{1}{4})^2$, $\frac{1}{4} \cdot (\frac{2}{4})^2$, $\frac{1}{4} \cdot (\frac{3}{4})^2$, and $\frac{1}{4} \cdot (\frac{4}{4})^2$. Our estimate of the total area is then

$$\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{2}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{4}{4}\right)^2 = \frac{1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + 4^2}{4^3}.$$

b) Using the same idea as above, but with n rectangles instead of 4, we'll obtain the sum

$$\frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{k=1}^n k^2.$$

A quick google search tells us that this expression is equal to $\frac{1}{n^3} \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$. To obtain the area exactly, we should then take the limit as n goes to infinity of this approximate area. This limit is equal to $\frac{1}{3}$.

2.

a) We can split the interval $[0, 1]$ into four intervals, like we did in problem 1a). For each of these intervals $[x_\ell, x_r]$, we can use the same idea for approximation as given in the problem statement, which is to approximate the length of the curve as the distance between the "starting point" (x_ℓ, x_ℓ^2) and the "ending point" (x_r, x_r^2) . This distance is equal to $\sqrt{(x_r - x_\ell)^2 + (x_r^2 - x_\ell^2)^2}$. We should then approximate the total length of our curve as being the sum of these four distances. If our intervals are $[\frac{0}{4}, \frac{1}{4}]$, $[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{2}{4}]$, $[\frac{2}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$, and $[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{4}]$, then this comes out to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{0}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{0}{4}\right)^2\right)^2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{2}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{2}{4}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2\right)^2} \\ & \quad + \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{2}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{2}{4}\right)^2\right)^2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{4}{4} - \frac{3}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{4}{4}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2\right)^2} \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^4 \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{k}{4}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{k-1}{4}\right)^2\right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

b) Using the same reasoning as above, but with n intervals instead of 4, gives the estimate

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right)^2\right)^2}$$

for the length of the curve. Taking the limit as n goes to infinity then gives the length of the curve exactly.

If we think of the individual terms as being of the form $\sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2}$, then maybe we'll have the idea of factoring out a Δx to get $\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)^2} \Delta x$. Then as we take the limit, it can be verified that $\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}$ becomes the derivative $\frac{dy}{dx}$, so people usually instead write that the length of the curve is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{1 + f' \left(\frac{k}{n} \right)^2},$$

where here $f(x) = x^2$.

3. The choice doesn't matter in the limit. We split the interval of time $[0, 3h]$ into n intervals of equal length. When we take the right endpoints of those intervals to sample our velocity function $v(t)$, we get that our estimate for the total distance travelled is

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{3h}{n} v \left(k \frac{3h}{n} \right),$$

and if we use left endpoints instead, then our estimate is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{3h}{n} v \left(k \frac{3h}{n} \right).$$

The difference between these two estimates is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{3h}{n} v \left(k \frac{3h}{n} \right) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{3h}{n} v \left(k \frac{3h}{n} \right) \\ &= \left[\frac{3h}{n} v \left(1 \cdot \frac{3h}{n} \right) + \frac{3h}{n} v \left(2 \cdot \frac{3h}{n} \right) + \frac{3h}{n} v \left(3 \cdot \frac{3h}{n} \right) + \cdots + \frac{3h}{n} v \left((n-1) \cdot \frac{3h}{n} \right) + \frac{3h}{n} v \left(n \cdot \frac{3h}{n} \right) \right] \\ & \quad - \left[\frac{3h}{n} v \left(0 \cdot \frac{3h}{n} \right) + \frac{3h}{n} v \left(1 \cdot \frac{3h}{n} \right) + \frac{3h}{n} v \left(2 \cdot \frac{3h}{n} \right) + \cdots + \frac{3h}{n} v \left((n-1) \cdot \frac{3h}{n} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{3h}{n} v \left(n \cdot \frac{3h}{n} \right) - \frac{3h}{n} v \left(0 \cdot \frac{3h}{n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(all the middle terms appear in both sums and thus disappear when taking the difference). This quantity goes to 0 as n goes to infinity. This phenomenon can also be observed by drawing rectangles. If you draw n rectangles, the difference between their areas is given by n small rectangles on the diagonal of area $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2})$. Thus the difference in area is $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1})$.