

# DISTANCE-REGULAR GRAPHS THAT SUPPORT A UNIFORM STRUCTURE

(IN COLLABORATION WITH B. FERNÁNDEZ, Š. MIKLAVIČ, AND G. MONZILLO)

**Roghayeh Maleki**

University of Primorska-Slovenia

Combinatorics Seminar-University of Waterloo

13/Nov/2023



# AIM OF THE TALK

The aim of this talk is to

# AIM OF THE TALK

The aim of this talk is to

Classify non-bipartite distance-regular graphs with classical parameters which support a uniform structure.

We analyze three cases:

- ▶ Non-bipartite distance regular graphs of **negative type**.
- ▶ Non-bipartite distance regular graphs with classical parameters with  $q = 1$ .
- ▶ Non-bipartite distance regular graphs with classical parameters with  $q \geq 2$ .

## Part 1

### Preliminaries

# PRELIMINARIES

- ▶  $\Gamma = (X, \mathcal{R})$ : simple, finite, and connected graph,
- ▶  $\partial(x, y) :=$  distance between  $x$  and  $y$ , where  $x, y \in X$ ,
- ▶  $\varepsilon(x) = \max\{\partial(x, y) \mid y \in X\}$  (eccentricity of  $x$ ),
- ▶  $D = \max\{\varepsilon(x) \mid x \in X\}$  (diameter of  $\Gamma$ )
- ▶  $\Gamma_i(x) = \{y \in X \mid \partial(x, y) = i\}$  (In particular,  $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma_1(x)$ ).
- ▶ For an integer  $k \geq 0$ , we say that  $\Gamma$  is *regular* with valency  $k$  whenever  $|\Gamma(x)| = k$  for all  $x \in X$ .

# PRELIMINARIES

- ▶ Adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma$  defined by

$$(A)_{xy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \partial(x, y) = 1, \\ 0 & \partial(x, y) \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

- ▶  $V$ : vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  consisting of column vectors whose coordinates are indexed by  $X$ .  
 $M_{|X|}(\mathbb{C})$ :  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra consisting of all matrices whose rows and columns are indexed by  $X$  and whose entries are in  $\mathbb{C}$ .  
 $V$ : the *standard module*

# PRELIMINARIES

## Definition 3.1

Fix  $x \in X$  and let  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x)$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$  let  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $M_{|X|}(\mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$(E_i^*)_{yy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \partial(x, y) = i, \\ 0 & \partial(x, y) \neq i \end{cases} \quad (y \in X)$$

$E_i^*$  is called the  *$i$ -th dual idempotent* of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ .

# PRELIMINARIES

## Definition 3.1

Fix  $x \in X$  and let  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x)$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$  let  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $M_{|X|}(\mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$(E_i^*)_{yy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \partial(x, y) = i, \\ 0 & \partial(x, y) \neq i \end{cases} \quad (y \in X)$$

$E_i^*$  is called the  *$i$ -th dual idempotent* of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ .

## Definition 3.2

*Terwilliger algebra*  $T := T(x)$  of  $\Gamma$ , with respect to  $x$ , is a subalgebra of  $M_{|X|}(\mathbb{C})$ , generated by the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma$  and the dual idempotents.



# PRELIMINARIES

## Definition 3.1

Fix  $x \in X$  and let  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x)$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$  let  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $M_{|X|}(\mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$(E_i^*)_{yy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \partial(x, y) = i, \\ 0 & \partial(x, y) \neq i \end{cases} \quad (y \in X)$$

$E_i^*$  is called the  *$i$ -th dual idempotent* of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ .

## Definition 3.2

*Terwilliger algebra*  $T := T(x)$  of  $\Gamma$ , with respect to  $x$ , is a subalgebra of  $M_{|X|}(\mathbb{C})$ , generated by the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma$  and the dual idempotents.

►  $T$ -module is a vector subspace  $W$  of  $V$ , which is invariant for every  $t \in T$ :

$$tW \subseteq W \text{ for all } t \in T.$$

# PRELIMINARIES

## Definition 3.3

*Let  $W$  denote an irreducible  $T$ -module. Then,  $W$  is an orthogonal direct sum of the nonvanishing spaces among  $E_0^*W, E_1^*W, \dots, E_{D(X)}^*W$ . We define*

# PRELIMINARIES

## Definition 3.3

Let  $W$  denote an irreducible  $T$ -module. Then,  $W$  is an orthogonal direct sum of the nonvanishing spaces among  $E_0^*W, E_1^*W, \dots, E_{D(X)}^*W$ . We define

- ▶ *endpoint* of  $W$       $r = \min\{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \varepsilon, E_i^*W \neq 0\}$
- ▶ *diameter* of  $W$       $d = |\{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \varepsilon, E_i^*W \neq 0\}| - 1$

# PRELIMINARIES

## Definition 3.3

Let  $W$  denote an irreducible  $T$ -module. Then,  $W$  is an orthogonal direct sum of the nonvanishing spaces among  $E_0^*W, E_1^*W, \dots, E_{D(X)}^*W$ . We define

- ▶ *endpoint* of  $W$       $r = \min\{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \varepsilon, E_i^*W \neq 0\}$
- ▶ *diameter* of  $W$       $d = |\{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \varepsilon, E_i^*W \neq 0\}| - 1$

In addition,

- ▶ Irreducible  $T$ -module  $W$  is called *thin*, whenever

$$\dim E_i^*W \leq 1 \quad \text{for each } 0 \leq i \leq \varepsilon.$$

# PRELIMINARIES

## Matrices $L, F, R$

Define  $L = L(x)$ ,  $F = F(x)$ , and  $R = R(x)$  in  $M_{|X|}(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{\varepsilon} E_{i-1}^* A E_i^*, \quad F = \sum_{i=0}^{\varepsilon} E_i^* A E_i^*, \quad R = \sum_{i=0}^{\varepsilon-1} E_{i+1}^* A E_i^*.$$

We refer to  $L$ ,  $F$ , and  $R$  as the *lowering*, *flat*, and *raising* matrices with respect to  $x$ , respectively.

► Note that

$$F_{(z,y)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \partial(z, y) = 1 \text{ and } \partial(x, z) = \partial(x, y) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$R_{(z,y)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \partial(z, y) = 1 \text{ and } \partial(x, z) = \partial(x, y) + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

► Moreover,  $L, F, R \in T$ ,  $F = F^\top$ ,  $R = L^\top$ , and  $A = L + F + R$ .

# UNIFORM STRUCTURE FOR A BIPARTITE GRAPH

## Definition 3.4

Assume  $\Gamma = (X, \mathcal{R})$  is bipartite. Fix a vertex  $x \in X$ . Define the following partial order  $\leq$  on  $X$ :

for all  $y, z \in X$ , let  $y \leq z$  whenever  $\partial(x, y) + \partial(y, z) = \partial(x, z)$ .

This allows us to directly translate the definition of a uniform poset to the setting of bipartite graphs.

# UNIFORM STRUCTURE FOR A BIPARTITE GRAPH

## Definition 3.5

A *parameter matrix*  $U = (e_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \varepsilon}$  is defined to be a tridiagonal matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ , satisfying the following properties:

- ▶  $e_{ii} = 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$ ),
- ▶  $e_{i, i-1} \neq 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$  or  $e_{i-1, i} \neq 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$ , and
- ▶ the principal submatrix  $(e_{ij})_{s \leq i, j \leq t}$  is nonsingular for  $1 \leq s \leq t \leq \varepsilon$ .

For convenience we write  $e_i^- := e_{i, i-1}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$  and  $e_i^+ := e_{i, i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \varepsilon - 1$ .

We also define  $e_1^- := 0$  and  $e_\varepsilon^+ := 0$ .

# UNIFORM STRUCTURE FOR A BIPARTITE GRAPH

## Definition 3.5

A *parameter matrix*  $U = (e_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \varepsilon}$  is defined to be a tridiagonal matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ , satisfying the following properties:

- ▶  $e_{ii} = 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$ ),
- ▶  $e_{i, i-1} \neq 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$  or  $e_{i-1, i} \neq 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$ , and
- ▶ the principal submatrix  $(e_{ij})_{s \leq i, j \leq t}$  is nonsingular for  $1 \leq s \leq t \leq \varepsilon$ .

For convenience we write  $e_i^- := e_{i, i-1}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$  and  $e_i^+ := e_{i, i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \varepsilon - 1$ . We also define  $e_1^- := 0$  and  $e_\varepsilon^+ := 0$ .

- ▶ Let  $\Gamma$  be a bipartite graph. A **uniform structure** of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$  is a pair  $(U, f)$  where  $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^\varepsilon$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{C}^\varepsilon$ , such that

$$e_i^- RL^2 + LRL + e_i^+ L^2R = f_i L$$

is satisfied on  $E_i^*V$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$



# UNIFORM STRUCTURE FOR A BIPARTITE GRAPH

## Theorem 1 (P. Terwilliger- 1990)

Let  $\Gamma = (X, \mathcal{R})$  be a bipartite graph and fix  $x \in X$ . Let  $T = T(x)$  denote the corresponding Terwilliger algebra. Assume that  $\Gamma$  admits a uniform structure with respect to  $x$ . Then, the following assertions hold:

- (i) Every irreducible  $T$ -module is thin.
- (ii) The isomorphism class of any irreducible  $T$ -module  $W$  is determined by its endpoint and its diameter.

# GRAPHS THAT SUPPORT A UNIFORM STRUCTURE

## Definition 3.6

Consider  $\Gamma = (X, \mathcal{R})$ : a non-bipartite graph, fix  $x \in X$  and let

$$\mathcal{R}_f = \mathcal{R} \setminus \{yz \mid \partial(x, y) = \partial(x, z)\}.$$

We define  $\Gamma_f = \Gamma_f(x)$  to be the graph with vertex set  $X$  and edge set  $\mathcal{R}_f$ , and we observe that  $\Gamma_f$  is bipartite and connected.

# GRAPHS THAT SUPPORT A UNIFORM STRUCTURE

## Definition 3.6

Consider  $\Gamma = (X, \mathcal{R})$ : a non-bipartite graph, fix  $x \in X$  and let

$$\mathcal{R}_f = \mathcal{R} \setminus \{yz \mid \partial(x, y) = \partial(x, z)\}.$$

We define  $\Gamma_f = \Gamma_f(x)$  to be the graph with vertex set  $X$  and edge set  $\mathcal{R}_f$ , and we observe that  $\Gamma_f$  is bipartite and connected.

- ▶ The graph  $\Gamma$  **supports a uniform structure with respect to  $x$** , if  $\Gamma_f$  admits a uniform structure with respect to  $x$ .

## SOME OBSERVATIONS

Let  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x)$  and let  $T_f = T_f(x)$  be the Terwilliger algebra of  $\Gamma_f$ . Then,

- ▶ since  $X$  is also the vertex set of  $\Gamma_f$ , we observe that  $V$  is also the standard module for  $\Gamma_f$ .
- ▶ the flat matrix of  $\Gamma_f$  is the zero matrix and we have  $A_f = L + R$ .
- ▶ for  $0 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$ , The  $i$ -th dual idempotents of  $\Gamma_f$  with respect to  $x$  is equal to  $E_i^*$ , and we have  $T_f = \langle L, R, E_{i=0}^{*\varepsilon} \rangle$ .

## SOME OBSERVATIONS

Let  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x)$  and let  $T_f = T_f(x)$  be the Terwilliger algebra of  $\Gamma_f$ . Then,

- ▶ since  $X$  is also the vertex set of  $\Gamma_f$ , we observe that  $V$  is also the standard module for  $\Gamma_f$ .
- ▶ the flat matrix of  $\Gamma_f$  is the zero matrix and we have  $A_f = L + R$ .
- ▶ for  $0 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$ , The  $i$ -th dual idempotents of  $\Gamma_f$  with respect to  $x$  is equal to  $E_i^*$ , and we have  $T_f = \langle L, R, E_{i=0}^{*\varepsilon} \rangle$ .

### Lemma 1 (Connection between a $T$ -module and a $T_f$ -module)

Let  $W$  denote a  $T$ -module. Then,

- ▶  $W$  is also a  $T_f$ -module.
- ▶ If  $W$  is a thin irreducible  $T$ -module, then  $W$  is a thin irreducible  $T_f$ -module.

## SOME OBSERVATIONS

Let  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x)$  and let  $T_f = T_f(x)$  be the Terwilliger algebra of  $\Gamma_f$ . Then,

- ▶ since  $X$  is also the vertex set of  $\Gamma_f$ , we observe that  $V$  is also the standard module for  $\Gamma_f$ .
- ▶ the flat matrix of  $\Gamma_f$  is the zero matrix and we have  $A_f = L + R$ .
- ▶ for  $0 \leq i \leq \varepsilon$ , The  $i$ -th dual idempotents of  $\Gamma_f$  with respect to  $x$  is equal to  $E_i^*$ , and we have  $T_f = \langle L, R, E_{i=0}^{*\varepsilon} \rangle$ .

### Lemma 1 (Connection between a $T$ -module and a $T_f$ -module)

Let  $W$  denote a  $T$ -module. Then,

- ▶  $W$  is also a  $T_f$ -module.
- ▶ If  $W$  is a thin irreducible  $T$ -module, then  $W$  is a thin irreducible  $T_f$ -module.

☞ Here we must mention that the following might happen.

- $W$  is irreducible as a  $T$ -module, but reducible as a  $T_f$ -module.
- $W$  and  $W'$  are non-isomorphic as  $T$ -modules, but they are isomorphic as  $T_f$ -modules.

⇒ Both of them happen in the case of *Doob graphs*.

# DISTANCE REGULAR GRAPHS


We know

- ▶ The Terwilliger algebra of the graph  $\Gamma$  and its modules,
- ▶ uniform structure for bipartite graphs,
- ▶ The graph  $\Gamma$  *supports a uniform structure with respect to  $x$* , if  $\Gamma_f$  admits a uniform structure with respect to  $x$ .

# DISTANCE REGULAR GRAPHS

We know

- ▶ The Terwilliger algebra of the graph  $\Gamma$  and its modules,
- ▶ uniform structure for bipartite graphs,
- ▶ The graph  $\Gamma$  *supports a uniform structure with respect to  $x$* , if  $\Gamma_f$  admits a uniform structure with respect to  $x$ .

 Distance regular graphs with classical parameters.



# DISTANCE REGULAR GRAPHS

## Definition 3.7

- ▶ The graph  $\Gamma$  is *distance-regular* whenever, for all integers  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$  and all  $x, y \in X$  with  $\partial(x, y) = h$ , the number  $p_{ij}^h := |\Gamma_i(x) \cap \Gamma_j(y)|$  is independent of the choice of  $x, y$ . The constants  $(p_{ij}^h)$  are known as the intersection numbers of  $\Gamma$ . For convenience,

$$c_i := p_{1\ i-1}^i \quad (1 \leq i \leq D),$$

$$a_i := p_{1\ i}^i \quad (0 \leq i \leq D),$$

$$b_i := p_{1\ i+1}^i \quad (0 \leq i \leq D-1),$$

$$k_i := p_{ii}^0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq D).$$

- ▶  $\Gamma$  is bipartite iff  $a_i = 0$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq D$ .

# DISTANCE REGULAR GRAPHS

## Definition 3.7

- ▶ The graph  $\Gamma$  is *distance-regular* whenever, for all integers  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$  and all  $x, y \in X$  with  $\partial(x, y) = h$ , the number  $p_{ij}^h := |\Gamma_i(x) \cap \Gamma_j(y)|$  is independent of the choice of  $x, y$ . The constants  $(p_{ij}^h)$  are known as the intersection numbers of  $\Gamma$ . For convenience,

$$c_i := p_{1\ i-1}^i \quad (1 \leq i \leq D),$$

$$a_i := p_{1\ i}^i \quad (0 \leq i \leq D),$$

$$b_i := p_{1\ i+1}^i \quad (0 \leq i \leq D-1),$$

$$k_i := p_{ii}^0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq D).$$

- ▶  $\Gamma$  is bipartite iff  $a_i = 0$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq D$ .

## Definition 3.8

A distance regular graph  $\Gamma$  is called a *near polygon* whenever  $a_i = a_1 c_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq D-1$  and  $\Gamma$  does not contain the complete multipartite graph  $K_{1,1,2}$  as an induced subgraph.

# DISTANCE REGULAR GRAPHS

## Definition 3.9 (Distance-regular graphs with classical parameters)

The graph  $\Gamma$  is said to have classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$  whenever the intersection numbers of  $\Gamma$  satisfy

$$\begin{cases} c_i = \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \left(1 + \alpha \begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) & (1 \leq i \leq D), \\ b_i = \left(\begin{bmatrix} D \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \left(\beta - \alpha \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) & (0 \leq i \leq D-1) \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} j \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} := 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{j-1}.$$

Note that  $q$  is an integer and  $q \notin \{0, -1\}$ .

# DISTANCE REGULAR GRAPHS

## Definition 3.10

Let  $\Gamma = (X, \mathcal{R})$  denote a distance-regular non-bipartite graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$ , intersection numbers  $b_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D - 1$ ),  $c_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq D$ ), and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_D$ . The graph  $\Gamma$  is *tight* whenever the equality holds in

$$\left( \theta_1 + \frac{b_0}{a_1 + 1} \right) \left( \theta_D + \frac{b_0}{a_1 + 1} \right) \geq -\frac{b_0 a_1 b_1}{(a_1 + 1)^2}.$$

# DISTANCE REGULAR GRAPHS

From now on we,

- ▶ Let  $\Gamma = (X, \mathcal{R})$  denote a distance-regular non-bipartite graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$ , intersection numbers  $b_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D - 1$ ),  $c_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq D$ ), and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_D$ .
- ▶ Fix  $x \in X$ , and let  $T = T(x)$  be the Terwilliger algebra of  $\Gamma$  and  $E_i^*$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ) be the dual idempotents of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ .
- ▶ Let  $L$ ,  $F$ , and  $R$  denote the corresponding lowering, flat, and raising matrices, respectively.
- ▶ Let  $T_f = T_f(x)$  be the Terwilliger algebra of  $\Gamma_f$ . Note that  $T_f$  is generated by the matrices  $L$ ,  $R$ , and  $E_i^*$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ).

## Part 2

## Part 2

- ▶ DRGs with classical parameters of **negative type**.

## Part 2

- ▶ DRGs with classical parameters of **negative type**.
- ▶ Distance-regular graphs with classical parameters with  $q = 1$ .



# DRGs WITH CLASSICAL PARAMETERS OF NEGATIVE TYPE

DRGs with classical parameters of **negative type** that support a uniform structure

# DRGs WITH CLASSICAL PARAMETERS OF **NEGATIVE TYPE** THAT SUPPORT A UNIFORM STRUCTURE

$$q \leq -2$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with classical parameters of negative type.

**Question.** Which graphs,  $\Gamma$ , in this category support a uniform structure?

We split the analysis of this question into three cases:

# DRGs WITH CLASSICAL PARAMETERS OF **NEGATIVE TYPE** THAT SUPPORT A UNIFORM STRUCTURE

$$q \leq -2$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with classical parameters of negative type.

**Question.** Which graphs,  $\Gamma$ , in this category support a uniform structure?

We split the analysis of this question into three cases:

- ▶ **Case 1.**  $\Gamma$  has intersection number  $a_1 \neq 0$  and is not a near polygon.
- ▶ **Case 2.**  $\Gamma$  has intersection number  $a_1 = 0$ .
- ▶ **Case 3.**  $\Gamma$  is a near polygon.

**CASE 1.**  $\Gamma$  HAS INTERSECTION NUMBER  $a_1 \neq 0$  AND IS NOT A NEAR POLYGON.

### Proposition 1 (Š.Miklavič - 2009)

Assume that  $\Gamma$  is of negative type with  $a_1 \neq 0$  and it is not a near polygon. Then, the following statements hold.

- ▶ Up to isomorphism there is a unique irreducible module with endpoint 1 which is non-thin.
- ▶ Let  $W$  denote a non-thin irreducible  $T$ -module with endpoint 1. Pick a non-zero  $w \in E_1^*W$ . Then, the following vectors form a basis for  $W$ :

$$E_i^*A_{i-1}w \quad (1 \leq i \leq D), \quad E_i^*A_{i+1}w \quad (2 \leq i \leq D-1). \quad (1)$$

## CASE 1.

**Theorem** [ B. Fernández, R.M., Š.Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023]

Assume that  $\Gamma$  is of negative type with  $a_1 \neq 0$  and it is not a near polygon. Then,  $\Gamma$  does not support a uniform structure with respect to  $x$ .

## CASE 1.

**Theorem** [ B. Fernández, R.M., Š.Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023]

Assume that  $\Gamma$  is of negative type with  $a_1 \neq 0$  and it is not a near polygon. Then,  $\Gamma$  does not support a uniform structure with respect to  $x$ .

### Proof.

- ▶ Let  $W$  denote a non-thin irreducible  $T$ -module with endpoint 1 (which is unique),
- ▶ pick a non-zero  $w \in E_1^*W$  ( $W$  is also a  $T_f$ -module),
- ▶ let  $W' \subseteq W$  be an irreducible  $T_f$ -module which contains  $w$ ,
- ▶ using the action of  $L$  and  $R$  on the basis from Proposition 1, we observe that the vectors  $Rw$  and  $LR^2w$  are linearly independent.
- ▶  $W'$  is non-thin,
- ▶ by Theorem 1,  $\Gamma$  does not support a uniform structure.

## CASE 2. $\Gamma$ HAS INTERSECTION NUMBER $a_1 = 0$ .

**Theorem** [ B. Fernández, R.M., Š.Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023]

Assume that  $\Gamma$  is of negative type with  $a_1 = 0$ . Then,  $\Gamma$  does not support a uniform structure with respect to  $x$ .

### CASE 3. $\Gamma$ IS A NEAR POLYGON.

We first recall following results for distance-regular graphs of negative type with  $a_1 \neq 0$  and  $c_2 > 1$ .

#### Theorem 2 (Chih-wen Weng - 1999)

Assume  $\Gamma$  has classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$  where  $D \geq 4$ . Suppose  $q \leq -2$ ,  $a_1 \neq 0$ , and  $c_2 > 1$ . Then, one of the following hold.

- ▶  $\Gamma$  is the dual polar graph  ${}^2A_{2D-1}(-q)$ .
- ▶  $\Gamma$  is Hermitian forms graph  $Her_{-q}(D)$ .
- ▶  $\alpha = (q - 1)/2$ ,  $\beta = -(1 + q^D)/2$ , and  $-q$  is a power of an odd prime.

#### Corollary 1

Assume  $\Gamma$  has classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$ . Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a regular near polygon with  $q \leq -2$ . Then, either  $\Gamma$  is the dual polar graph  ${}^2A_{2D-1}(-q)$  or  $D = 3$ .



### CASE 3. $\Gamma$ IS A NEAR POLYGON.

Therefore, we have the following result.

**Theorem** [ B. Fernández, R.M., Š.Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023]

Let  $\Gamma$  denote the dual polar graph  ${}^2A_{2D-1}(-q)$ . Then,

$$-\frac{q^4}{q^2+1}RL^2 + LRL - \frac{q^{-2}}{q^2+1}L^2R = (-q)^{2D-1}L \quad (\text{C. Worawannotai - 2013})$$

is satisfied on  $E_i^*V$  for  $1 \leq i \leq D$ . Therefore,  $\Gamma$  supports a uniform structure with respect to  $x$ , where  $e_i^- = -q^4/(q^2+1)$  ( $2 \leq i \leq D$ ),  $e_i^+ = -q^{-2}/(q^2+1)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq D-1$ ), and  $f_i = (-q)^{2D-1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq D$ ).

Distance-regular graphs with classical parameters  $(D, \alpha, \beta, q = 1)$

# DISTANCE-REGULAR GRAPHS WITH CLASSICAL PARAMETERS WITH $q = 1$

We have the following classification for DRGs with classical parameters with  $q = 1$ .

## Theorem 3 (Theorem 6.1.1 - Brouwer, Cohen, and Neumaier)

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with classical parameters with  $q = 1$ . Then,  $\Gamma$  is one of the following graphs:

- ▶ Johnson graph  $J(n, D)$ ,  $n \geq 2D$ , (*tight:  $n = 2D$* )
- ▶ Gosset graph, (*tight*)
- ▶ Hamming graph  $H(D, n)$ ,
- ▶ Halved cube  $\frac{1}{2}H(n, 2)$ , (*tight:  $n$  even*)
- ▶ Doob graph  $D(n, m)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $m \geq 0$ .

We analyze each of these families in order to see which one admits a uniform structure.

# DISTANCE-REGULAR GRAPHS WITH CLASSICAL PARAMETERS WITH $q = 1$

**Theorem** [ B. Fernández, R.M., Š.Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023]

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a tight graph with classical parameters with  $q = 1$ . Then,  $\Gamma$  does not support a uniform structure with respect to  $x$ .

## Corollary 2

*If  $\Gamma$  is one of the following graphs,*

- 1. Johnson graph  $J(2D, D)$ ,*
- 2. Gosset graph,*
- 3. Halved cube  $\frac{1}{2}H(n, 2)$  with  $n$  even,*

*then,  $\Gamma$  does not support a uniform structure with respect to  $x$ .*

# JOHNSON GRAPHS $J(n, D)$ WITH $n > 2D$

**Theorem** [ B. Fernández, R.M., Š.Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023]

Let  $\Gamma = J(n, D)$  with  $n \geq 2D$ . Then,  $\Gamma$  does not support a uniform structure.

## HAMMING GRAPH $H(D, n)$ WITH $n \geq 3$

**Theorem** [ B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023]

Let  $\Gamma$  denote the Hamming graph  $H(D, n)$  with  $n \geq 3$ . Then,

$$-\frac{1}{2}RL^2 + LRL - \frac{1}{2}L^2R = (n-1)L$$

is satisfied on  $E_i^*V$  for  $1 \leq i \leq D$  and  $\Gamma$  supports a uniform structure with respect to  $x$ , where  $e_i^- = -\frac{1}{2}$  ( $2 \leq i \leq D$ ),  $e_i^+ = -\frac{1}{2}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq D-1$ ), and  $f_i = n-1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq D$ ).

# HALVED CUBES $\frac{1}{2}H(n, 2)$ WITH $n$ ODD.

**Theorem** [ B. Fernández, R.M., Š.Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023]

Let  $\Gamma$  denote the Halved cube  $\frac{1}{2}H(n, 2)$  with  $n$  odd,  $n \geq 7$ . Recall that  $D = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor = (n - 1)/2$ . Then,

$$e_i^- RL^2 + LRL + e_i^+ L^2R = f_i L$$

is satisfied on  $E_i^*V$  for  $1 \leq i \leq D$ , where

$$e_i^- = \frac{4i - 1 - 2D}{6 - 8i + 4D} \quad (2 \leq i \leq D) \quad e_i^+ = \frac{4i - 5 - 2D}{6 - 8i + 4D} \quad (1 \leq i \leq D - 1)$$
$$f_i = -(4i - 5)(4i - 1) + (16i - 12)D - 4D^2 \quad (1 \leq i \leq D).$$

Therefore,  $\Gamma$  supports a uniform structure with respect to  $x$ .

## DOOB GRAPHS $D(n, m)$ WHERE $n \geq 1, m \geq 0$

**Theorem** [ B. Fernández, R.M., Š.Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023]

Let  $\Gamma$  denote the Doob graph  $D(n, m)$  with  $n \geq 1, m \geq 0$  and  $D = 2n + m \geq 3$ .  
Then,

$$-\frac{1}{2}RL^2 + LRL - \frac{1}{2}L^2R = 3L$$

is satisfied on  $E_i^*V$  for  $1 \leq i \leq D$  and  $\Gamma$  supports a strongly uniform structure with respect to  $x$ , where  $e_i^- = -\frac{1}{2}(2 \leq i \leq D)$ ,  $e_i^+ = -\frac{1}{2}(1 \leq i \leq D - 1)$ , and  $f_i = 3(1 \leq i \leq D)$ .



## SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS

Non-bipartite distance-regular graphs with classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $q \leq 1$ .

## SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS

Non-bipartite distance-regular graphs with classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $q \leq 1$ .

- ▶  $q \leq -2$  (negative type)

## SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS

Non-bipartite distance-regular graphs with classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $q \leq 1$ .

►  $q \leq -2$  (negative type)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \text{ has intersection number } a_1 \neq 0 \text{ and is not a near polygon } \times \\ \Gamma \text{ has intersection number } a_1 = 0 \times \\ \Gamma \text{ is a near polygon} \end{array} \right.$$

## SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS

Non-bipartite distance-regular graphs with classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $q \leq 1$ .

►  $q \leq -2$  (negative type)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \text{ has intersection number } a_1 \neq 0 \text{ and is not a near polygon } \times \\ \Gamma \text{ has intersection number } a_1 = 0 \times \\ \Gamma \text{ is a near polygon } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \text{ is a dual polar graph, } D \geq 4 \checkmark \\ \Gamma \text{ has diameter } D = 3 ? \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. .$$

## SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS

Non-bipartite distance-regular graphs with classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $q \leq 1$ .

►  $q \leq -2$  (negative type)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \text{ has intersection number } a_1 \neq 0 \text{ and is not a near polygon } \times \\ \Gamma \text{ has intersection number } a_1 = 0 \times \\ \Gamma \text{ is a near polygon } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \text{ is a dual polar graph, } D \geq 4 \checkmark \\ \Gamma \text{ has diameter } D = 3 ? \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. .$$

►  $q = 1$

## SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS

Non-bipartite distance-regular graphs with classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $q \leq 1$ .

►  $q \leq -2$  (negative type)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \text{ has intersection number } a_1 \neq 0 \text{ and is not a near polygon } \times \\ \Gamma \text{ has intersection number } a_1 = 0 \times \\ \Gamma \text{ is a near polygon } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \text{ is a dual polar graph, } D \geq 4 \checkmark \\ \Gamma \text{ has diameter } D = 3 ? \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. .$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{► } q = 1 \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Johnson graph } J(n, D), n \geq 2D \times \\ \text{Gosset graph } \times \\ \text{Hamming graph } H(D, n) \text{ where } n \geq 3 \checkmark \\ \text{Halved cube } \frac{1}{2}H(n, 2) \end{array} \right.$$

## SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS

Non-bipartite distance-regular graphs with classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $q \leq 1$ .

►  $q \leq -2$  (negative type)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \text{ has intersection number } a_1 \neq 0 \text{ and is not a near polygon } \times \\ \Gamma \text{ has intersection number } a_1 = 0 \times \\ \Gamma \text{ is a near polygon } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \text{ is a dual polar graph, } D \geq 4 \checkmark \\ \Gamma \text{ has diameter } D = 3 ? \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. .$$

$$\text{► } q = 1 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Johnson graph } J(n, D), n \geq 2D \times \\ \text{Gosset graph } \times \\ \text{Hamming graph } H(D, n) \text{ where } n \geq 3 \checkmark \\ \text{Halved cube } \frac{1}{2}H(n, 2) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n \text{ even } \times \\ n \geq 7 \text{ odd } \checkmark \end{array} \right. \\ \text{Doob graph } D(n, m) \text{ with } n \geq 1, m \geq 0 \checkmark \end{array} \right.$$

## Part 3

Distance-regular graphs with classical parameters  $(D, \alpha, \beta, q \geq 2)$



## LOCAL GRAPH

From now on we let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with classical parameters  $(D, \alpha, \beta, q)$ , where  $D \geq 4$ , and  $q \geq 2$ . Fix  $x \in X$  and assume that  $\Gamma$  supports a uniform structure with respect to  $x$ . Moreover, we assume that every irreducible  $T$ -module with endpoint one is thin.

- ▶ Let  $\Delta = \Delta(x)$  be the subgraph of  $\Gamma$  induced on the set of vertices adjacent to  $x$  in  $\Gamma$ . We refer to  $\Delta$  as the **local graph** of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ .
- ▶ If  $W$  is any thin irreducible  $T$ -module with endpoint 1, then  $E_1^*W$  is a one-dimensional eigenspace for  $E_1^*AE_1^*$ , whose eigenvalue  $\eta$  is called the *local eigenvalue of  $W$* .  $\Rightarrow \eta \in \{\eta_2, \eta_3, \dots, \eta_k\}$ , so  $\tilde{\theta}_1 \leq \eta \leq \tilde{\theta}_D$ .

# LOCAL GRAPH

## Remark 1

Let  $W$  be a thin irreducible  $T$ -module with endpoint 1, it is known that the local eigenvalue of  $W$  belongs to  $\{-q - 1, \beta - \alpha - 1, -1, \alpha \frac{q^{D-1} - 1}{q - 1} - 1\}$ .

Now, we further assume that  $\alpha \neq 0$  and we have the following.

## Theorem 4

$\Delta$  is a connected strongly regular graph with eigenvalues

$$\{a_1, \alpha \frac{q^{D-1} - 1}{q - 1} - 1, -q - 1\}$$

## LOCAL GRAPH

### Theorem 5 ( B. Fernández, R.Maleki, Š.Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023)

Assume  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Let  $(n = b_0, k = a_1, \lambda, \mu)$  denote the parameters of  $\Delta$ . Then,

$$\beta = \alpha \frac{q^{D+1} - 1}{q - 1} - q.$$

In particular,

$$n = b_0 = \frac{(q^D - 1)(\alpha q^{D+1} - q^2 + q - \alpha)}{(q - 1)^2}, \quad k = a_1 = \frac{(q + 1)(\alpha q^D - q - \alpha + 1)}{q - 1},$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\alpha q^D + \alpha q^2 - q^2 - \alpha q - q - \alpha + 2}{q - 1}, \quad \mu = \alpha(q + 1).$$

## A KEY TOOL

### Theorem 6 (A. Neumaier, 1979)

Let  $G$  be a strongly regular graph with parameters  $(n, k, \lambda, \mu)$  and eigenvalues  $k > r > s$ . Assume that  $s < -1$  is integral. Then, at least one of the following conditions must hold:

1.  $r \leq \frac{s(s+1)(\mu+1)}{2} - 1$ ;
2.  $\mu = s^2$  (in which case  $G$  is a Steiner graph derived from a Steiner 2-system in which each line contains  $s$  points);
3.  $\mu = s(s+1)$  (in which case  $G$  is a Latin square graph derived from an  $s$ -net).

## TWO FEASIBLE PARAMETER SETS

### **Lemma 2 ( B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023)**

*Let  $\Delta$  be the local graph of  $\Gamma$  with eigenvalues  $a_1, \alpha^{\frac{D-1-1}{q-1}} - 1$ , and  $-q - 1$ . Then the case (1) in Neumaier's Theorem cannot happen.*

## TWO FEASIBLE PARAMETER SETS

### Lemma 2 ( B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023)

Let  $\Delta$  be the local graph of  $\Gamma$  with eigenvalues  $a_1, \alpha \frac{q^{D-1}-1}{q-1} - 1$ , and  $-q - 1$ . Then the case (1) in Neumaier's Theorem cannot happen.

- Cases (2) and (3) are both feasible, and this leads us to the following observation.

$$(D, q, \alpha, \beta) = (D, q, q, \frac{q^2(q^D - 1)}{q - 1})$$

or

$$(D, q, \alpha, \beta) = (D, q, q + 1, \frac{q^{D+1}(q + 1) - q^2 - 1}{q - 1})$$

## MORE RESULTS

**Theorem 7 ( B. Fernández, R.Maleki, Š.Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023)**

*The family of distance regular graphs with classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta) = (D, q, q + 1, \frac{q^{D+1}(q+1)-q^2-1}{q-1})$  does not exist.*

**Proof.**

First of all, for  $D \geq 6$  the intersection number  $p_{33}^6$  is an integer only for  $q = 2, 4$ . next, the multiplicity of the second eigenvalue is not an integer.  $\square$

## MORE RESULTS

### Theorem 8 ( B. Fernández, R. Maleki, Š. Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023)

*Let  $D \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ . The family of distance regular graphs with classical parameters  $(D, q, \alpha, \beta) = (D, q, q, \frac{q^2(q^D-1)}{q-1})$  does not exist.*

#### **Proof.**

First of all, the multiplicity of the second eigenvalue is an integer only for  $D$  even. Second, the multiplicity of the third eigenvalue is an integer only when  $D \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ . □



## MAIN THEOREM

### Theorem 9 ( B. Fernández, R.Maleki, Š.Miklavič, G. Monzillo - 2023)

*We have that either  $\alpha = 0$ , or  $D \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$  and  $\Gamma$  has classical parameters*

$$\left( D, q, q, \frac{q^2(q^D - 1)}{q - 1} \right).$$

### Remark 2

*Computational results show that for  $D \leq 3000$ , the valency  $k_D$  and the multiplicity  $f_D$  of the eigenvalue  $\theta_D$  are NOT integers.*

# A CONJECTURE

## Conjecture 1

*There exists NO distance-regular graph with classical parameters*

$$\left( D, q, q, \frac{q^2(q^D - 1)}{q - 1} \right)$$

*with  $q \geq 2$  and  $D \geq 4$ .*

## Remark 3

*Assuming the aforementioned conjecture is true, we have distance regular graphs with classical parameters only when  $\alpha = 0$ .*

