



Type-II matrices ctd

Suppose W is a Schur-invertible matrix

Define vectors $w_{i/j}$ by

$$(w_{i/j})_k = \frac{w_{ki}}{w_{kj}} \quad (\text{ratio of columns})$$

If we use ∂_i to denote the diagonal matrix formed using the entries of w_{e_i} , then ∂_i is invertible and

$$w_{i/j} = \partial_j^{-1} w_{e_i}$$

The **Nomura algebra** \mathcal{N}_W of a Schur-invertible matrix W is the set of matrices for which each vector $W_{i,j}$ is an eigenvector. Clearly $I \in \mathcal{N}_W$.

Lemma If W_1 & W_2 are Schur invertible and equivalent, then $\mathcal{N}_{W_1} \cong \mathcal{N}_{W_2}$.

Lemma $\mathcal{N}_{W_1 \otimes W_2} \cong \mathcal{N}_{W_1} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{W_2}$

Lemma Assume W is square and Schur invertible.
Then W is a type-II matrix if and only if
 $J \in \mathcal{N}_W$.

Lemma $W_{k/i}^T W_{i/j} = n \delta_{j,k}$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} W_{k/i}^T W_{i/j} &= \sum_r \frac{W_{r,k}}{W_{r,i}} \frac{W_{r,i}}{W_{r,j}} = \sum_r W_{r,k} (W_{r,i}^{-1}) \\ &= (W^{(-)} e_j)^T W e_k \\ &= (W^{(-)T} W)_{j,k} \\ &= \delta_{j,k} n \end{aligned}$$

Suppose u_1, \dots, u_n are linearly independent eigenvectors for A . Set $U = [u_1, \dots, u_n]$. Then there is a diagonal matrix Δ such that $AU = U\Delta$, and then

$$U^{-1}A = \Delta U^{-1}$$

and the rows of U^{-1} are left eigenvectors for A .

Assume $(U^{-1})^T = [v_1, \dots, v_n]$. As

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1^T \\ \vdots \\ v_n^T \end{bmatrix} [u_1, \dots, u_n] = I,$$

also

$$I = [u_1 \dots u_n] \begin{bmatrix} v_1^T \\ \vdots \\ v_n^T \end{bmatrix} = \sum_i u_i v_i^T$$

This is almost a spectral decomposition of A — the matrices $v_i v_i^T$ sum to I , are idempotent & pairwise orthogonal, (But they are **not** symmetric)

Lemma If W is type-II, then \mathcal{N}_W is commutative.

Proof The vectors w_{1j}, \dots, w_{nj} are a basis of \mathbb{C}^n . As all matrices in \mathcal{N}_W are diagonalized by this basis, it is commutative.

If W is an $n \times n$ type-II matrix

$$Y_{i,j} := \frac{1}{n} W_{i,j} W_{j,i}^T$$

Let $\partial_i(W)$ be the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix given by

$$\partial_i(W)_{r,r} = W_{r,i}$$

We abbreviate $\partial_i(W)$ to ∂_i .

We note that $Y_{i,i} = \frac{1}{n} J$ and $Y_{i,j}^T = Y_{j,i}$

Remark If W is flat, $Y_{i,j}$ is Hermitian

Lemma $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_{ij} = I, \sum_{i=1}^n Y_{ji} = I$

Proof

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Y_{ij} = [W_{i1} \dots W_{in}] \begin{bmatrix} W_{j1}^T \\ \vdots \\ W_{jn}^T \end{bmatrix} = \partial_j^T W W^{(j)^T} \partial_j = nI.$$

We define \mathcal{Q}_W to be the $n \times n$ matrix over $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ with $(\mathcal{Q}_W)_{ij} = Y_{ij}$. It is the matrix of idempotents of W .

If W is flat, \mathcal{Q} is unitary and its entries are projections.

Theorem TFAE:

(a) $M \in \mathcal{N}_W$

(b) the vectors w_{ij} are eigenvectors for M

(c) the vectors w_{ij}^T are left eigenvectors for M

(d) M commutes with y_{ij} , for all i & j

Proof

(a) \Rightarrow (b) : definition of \mathcal{N}_W

(b) \Rightarrow (c) : page 6

(b), (c) \Rightarrow (d) } $Axy^T = xy^T A$: look at row & column spaces
(d) \Rightarrow (a) }

Corollary If W is type-II, then \mathcal{N}_W is transpose-closed.

Proof. If $MY_{ij} = Y_{ij}M$ then

$$Y_{ij}^T M^T = M^T Y_{ij}^T$$

and, since $Y_{ij}^T = Y_{ji}$, we have $M^T \in \mathcal{N}_W$.

Quantum permutations & colourings

A quantum permutation is an $n \times n$ matrix over the ring $\text{Mat}_{d \times d}(\mathbb{C})$ such that:

(a) each entry is a projection

(b) each row sums to I_d

(c) each column sums to I_d

examples:

(a) any permutation matrix

(b) the matrix of idempotents of a type-II matrix.

Alat



Lemma If P_1, \dots, P_m are $d \times d$ projections and $Q = \sum_i P_i$ is a projection, then $P_i P_j = \delta_{ij} P_i$.

Proof Assume U_1, \dots, U_m are matrices such that $U_i^* U_i = I$ & $U_i U_i^* = P_i$. Then

$$Q = [U_1, \dots, U_m] \begin{bmatrix} U_1^* \\ \vdots \\ U_m^* \end{bmatrix}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_1^* \\ \vdots \\ U_m^* \end{bmatrix} [U_1, \dots, U_m] = \begin{bmatrix} U_1^* U_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & U_m^* U_m \end{bmatrix}$$

is also a projection. As its diagonal is I , it is equal to I .

Corollary The projections in a row or column of a quantum permutation form a resolution of the identity. Consequently a quantum permutation is a unitary matrix.

[17-11-21]

Quantum colourings

If P_1, \dots, P_m and Q_1, \dots, Q_m are resolutions of I_d , we say they are **orthogonal** if

$$P_i Q_i = 0 \text{ for } i=1, \dots, m.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{Tr } P_i Q_i = 0 \\ \Rightarrow (P_i Q_i) = 0 \end{cases}$$

example: any two distinct rows of a quantum permutation.

A **quantum m -colouring** of a graph X on n vertices is an $n \times n$ matrix of $d \times d$ projections such that each row is a resolution of the identity and rows corresponding to adjacent vertices are orthogonal.

The characteristic matrix of a classical colouring is a quantum colouring (with $d=1$).

Lemma A quantum n -colouring of K_n is a quantum permutation.

Proof We need to show that each column of the quantum colouring sums to I_d . Since distinct entries in the same column are orthogonal, each column sum is a projection.

Assume M is the quantum n -colouring and $Q_j = \sum_{i=1}^n M_{ij}$.

Then Q_j represents orthogonal projection onto a subspace of \mathbb{C}^n , whence $\text{tr}(Q_j) \leq d$

Now $\sum_j \text{tr}(P_{ij}) = \text{tr}(I_d) = d$ and hence

$$\sum_{i,j} \text{tr}(P_{ij}) = nd$$

As $\sum_{i,j} P_{ij} = \sum_j Q_j$, we have

$$nd = \sum_j \text{tr}(Q_j) \leq nd.$$

Hence $\text{tr}(Q_j) = d$ for all j & our quantum colouring is a quantum permutation. \square

We saw that a classical m -colouring gave rise to a resolution of the identity with m terms. We can do the same thing for quantum colourings.

If $P = (P_{ij})$ is the matrix of a quantum colouring, we can form a diagonal matrix \mathcal{P}_i from the i -th column of P :

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ P_{nj} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} P_{1j} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & P_{nj} \end{bmatrix} =: \mathcal{P}_j$$

Here \mathcal{P}_i is a projection.

Further $P_i P_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and

$$\sum_i P_i = I$$

so we have a resolution of the identity.

Theorem P is a quantum c -colouring of X
if & only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^c P_i (A(X) \otimes I_d) P_i = 0.$$

Exercise

So there are unitary matrices U_1, \dots, U_c such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^c P_i \otimes P_i = \sum_{i=1}^c U_i \otimes U_i^*$$

and hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^c U_i (A \otimes I) U_i^* = 0.$$

It follows that $c \geq 1 - \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_n}$.