

Matrices over R, F[1]

We work with mabrices over a ring R. We assume R is commutative (which means we can use determinants.

Matnees A&B over R are equivalent if there are invertible matrices P and & such that

B = PAQ.

This is an equivalence relation.

Theorem Let IF be a field. Two n×n matrices over IF are similar if a only if EI-A and EI-B are equivalent over F[E].

We don't prove this now. Che reason it holds is that F[t] is a principal ideal domain.

Transfer matrices

Consider a dynamical system

 $\begin{array}{l} y_{n+1} = A y_n + B n_n \\ y_n = (y_n + D u_n) \end{array}$ Anxn B nxk

We are given xo, A, B, C, D. The sequence un (n>0) gives the inputs, they are the outputs. We use generating functions to determine the relation between (un)neo and (yn)nza.

Set $X(z) = \sum x_n z^n$, $U(z) = \sum u_n z^n$, $Y(z) = \sum y_n z^n$ Multiply the first equation in (1) by z^{n-1} and SUM ?

 $X(3') - x_0 = 3'A X(3) + 3'B(1(3))$ $\rightarrow (I - jA) X (j') = x_0 + j'B(I(j))$ $X(t) = x_{c} (I - \overline{z}' h)^{-1} + \overline{z}' (I - \overline{z} h)^{-1} B U(z)$

Multiplying the second equation by to and summing yields:

V(z) = (X(z) + DU(z)) $= \gamma_{6}((I - s'A)' + \tilde{s}'([I - s'A]'B H(s) + D H(s))$

Assume xo =0. Then

Y(3) = (C(3I-A)B+D)U(3)It's traditional to refer to control theory ((3I-A)"B+D convolutional code as the transfer function of our system.

Equivalence

We start with equivalence of 2x1 vectors over a ring R.

 $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -y & \varkappa \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ax + by \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Here arrby lies in the ideal (1,y) generated by x x y. If R is a principal ideal domain, then (x,y)=d, and we may choose a,b so that ax+by=d. Then, since dx & dly $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & b \\ -y_{1} & y_{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

As $det\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -y_{1} & x_{1} \end{pmatrix} = 1$ we see that the vectors $\begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} q \\ q \end{pmatrix}$ are equivalent.

Lemma Assume R is a principal ideal domain. If y = (x,) & R, then y is equivalent to g cd { x1,..., xm} e,

Lemma Assume Ris a principal ideal domain. Then A is equivalent to a matrix of the form



 $\begin{pmatrix}
a, & y, \\
o & B, \\
\vdots & B,
\end{pmatrix}$

Proof Using the previous lemma, A is

Equivalent to

Each entry of this matrix lies in the ideal generated by the elements of A; essume this ideal is generated by S. Applying the row version of the previous lemma, we bring the previous matrix to the form $\begin{bmatrix} d_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ B_2 & B_2 \end{bmatrix}$ where $d_2 d_1$. If $d_2 = d_1$, then $3_1 = 0$. So we continue; at each step either the (1,1)-entry decreases, or we're done.

We say an m×n matrix D is diagonal if Dij = O when i ≠j.

Existence of Smith normal form:

Theorem Any matrix over a principal ideal domains is equivalent to a diagonal matrix D such that Di, Di+, i+, for each i.

Proof Coly the divisibility is in question We have



We are left with uniqueness,

Fitting ideals

Binet-Cauchy Assume A & B are kxn matrices k sn. If C is kan and S is a k-subset of Shin, n3, define pp (C) to be the determinant of the kxk matrix formed by the columns of C indexed by S.

Theorem $det (AB^{T}) = \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for } \mathcal{A}}}^{T} P_{S}(A) P_{S}(B)$ |S| = k

The cases k=1 & k=n are familiar. Proof Recall that $det(tI + B^{T}A) = t^{A+k}det(tI + AB^{T})$ and consider the coefficient of the. On the right, it's det (ABT). On the left, we find the sum of the principal kxk minors of BTA. Now if IS = k & S = {1,...,n}, the (S,S)-minor of B'A is BT [A] Tao, via wikipedia Pg (B) p (A).

The Fitting ideal F. (A) of a matrix A is the ideal generated by the (kxk)-minors of A. It is defined over any commutative ring R. Over a principal ideal domain, there are elements for R such that Fr = (fr) Theorem If A and B are equivalent matrices, $F_{k}(A) = f_{k}(B)$ for all k.

Proof Assume P is invertible. By Binet-Cauchy, each rxr miner of PB lies in Fr(B). Hence F, (PB) & F, (B).

 \square

Since the ontries of P'lie in R

 $F_{\mu}(B) = F_{\mu}(P^{-1}(PB)) \leq F_{\mu}(PB),$

Finally, if D is a diagonal matrix & Dij Dit, it for each i, then Fr(D) = Drr

The terms Di; are the invariant factors of A. The invariant factors of tI-A are referred to as its elementary divisors. The product TT Dij of the elementary divisors is \$(A,t); further Dnn is the minimal polynomial of A.

Division

We want te divide F(t) = 2 F, tk by EI-A. We have

 $(tI-A)' = t' \ge A't'$ and hence the coefficient of t'' in F(+)(+I-A)" ir $F_{o}A^{j} + F_{1}A^{j+1} + \dots + F_{r}A^{j+r} = (F_{o} + F_{r}A + \dots + F_{r}A^{r})A^{j}$ Therefore F(t)(tI-A)' = Q(t) + F(A)(tI-A)' Polynemialand $F(t) = \mathcal{G}(t)(I-tA) + F(A)$

(HI-A) adj(HI-A) = Q(H)I

 $\mathcal{O}(b)I = \mathcal{O}(b)(HI-A) + \mathcal{O}(A)$

 $((HI-A) - \mathcal{G}(H))(HI-A) = \mathcal{G}(A)$

 $\Rightarrow \phi(A) = 0$

Cayley-Hamilton

Equivalence & Similarity

We redeem a debt by proving:

Theorem Let IF be a field. Two n×n matrices over IF are similar if a only if EI-A and tI-B are equivalent over F[t].

Proof You may prove that if H and B are similar, ET-A and ET-B are equivalent over F[t]. So assume we have invertible matrices P(1) & Q(1) such that P(4)(+I-A) = (+I-B)Q(4)

Then we may write

 $P(b) = (HI - B)P_0(H) + P_1$

 $G(t) = G(t)(bI-A) + G_{1}$

and therefore

 $D = ((tI - B)P(t) + P_1)(tI - A) - (tI - B)(Q_0(t)(tI - A) + Q_1))$

= $(HI-B)(P_{a}(H)-Q_{b}(H))(HI-A) + P_{a}(HI-A) - (HI-B)Q_{a}$

degree 72

degree sl

So Po(+) - Ro(+) and

 $P_{i}(I-A) = (I-I-B)Q_{1}$

We see that $P_r = Q_r$ and similarity follows if we prove Q_r is invertible. We may assume that $Q(t)^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_o(\mathcal{P}(t \mathcal{I} - B) + \mathcal{R}_1)$ $(Recall: G(t) = G_{o}(t)(bI-A) + G_{i})$ We have $I = (R_0 (+I-B) + R_1)(Q_0 (+I-A) + Q_1) = P_1 (+I-A)$ = $R_o(HI-B)R_i(HI-A) + R_i G_o(HI-A) + R_o(HI-B)G_i + R_i G_i$ = $(R_0(+I-B)R_1 + R_1G_0 + R_2P_1)(+I-A) + R_1G_1$ degree 21 constant

Therefore R, Q, = I & Q, (and P,) are

invertible.