Anchored Graph Realization and Sensor Localization

Nathan Krislock, Veronica Piccialli, and Henry Wolkowicz

University of Rome and University of Waterloo

Outline

Problem Formulation, EDMCMatrix Reformulation, EDMC- Semidefinite Programming connection SDP Relaxation of Hard Constraint $\bar{Y} = PP^T$ Facial Reduction - Reduced Problem Model Adjoints/Duality for EDMC - RPrimal-Dual Bilinear Optimality Conditions (overdetermined) Robust Interior-Point algorithm - Gauss-Newton Direction, crossover, exact p-d feasibility, preconditioning MATLAB demonstration Concluding Remarks

Problem

- Ad hoc wireless sensor network
- A few anchors (e.g. with GPS/bulky) have fixed, known locations
- sensors within a given range have some known distance measurements (approximate)
- Problem: Determine positions of all sensors
- Parameters: Radio range, # of anchors, noise level
- Semidefinite Relaxations/Robust Algorithm

Problem Applications

- health, military, home
- natural habitat monitoring, earthquake detection, weather/current monitoring
- random deployment in inaccessible terrains or disaster relief operations

Problem Example with Radio Range



Figure 1: Connected Graph

Problem Formulation

• $p^1, \ldots, p^n \in \Re^r$ unknown (sensor) points $a^1, \ldots, a^m \in \Re^r$ known (anchor) points r embedding dimension (usually 2 or 3) • $A^T := [a^1, a^2, \dots, a^m] \quad X^T := [p^1, p^2, \dots, p^n]$ $P^T := (p^1, p^2, \dots, p^n, a^1, a^2, \dots, a^m)$ $P = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ A \end{pmatrix}$ rows are sensor/anchor points

Assumption (avoids some trivialities)

 The number of sensors and anchors, and the embedding dimension satisfy

n > m > r, $A^T e = 0$ and A is full rank.

Definitions

- index sets of existing values of distances d_{ij}
 between pairs of sensors, {pⁱ}₁ⁿ: *N_e* distance values
 N_u upper bounds on distances
 N_u lower bounds on distances
 Similarly, the index sets (*M_e*, *M_u*, *M_l*) are for
 pairs from {pⁱ}₁ⁿ (sensors) and {a^k}₁^m
 (anchors)
- partial EDM matrix E of squared distances

 $E_{ij} := \begin{cases} d_{ij}^2 & \text{if } ij \in \mathcal{N}_e \cup \mathcal{M}_e \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Definitions

Similarly, we define

 the (partial) matrix of (squared distances) upper bounds

U, using $ij \in \mathcal{N}_u \cup \mathcal{M}_u$

 and the (partial) matrix of (squared distances) lower bounds

L, using $ij \in \mathcal{N}_l \cup \mathcal{M}_l$

Weighted Least Squares Error

In the case E_{ij} have errors: Let W_p, W_a be weight matrices. We minimize the weighted least squares error. (*EDMC*)

$$f_1(P) := \sum_{\substack{(i,j)\in\mathcal{N}_e\\+\sum_{(i,k)\in\mathcal{M}_e}}} (W_p)_{ij} (\|p^i - p^j\|^2 - E_{ij})^2$$

HARD (nonconvex) Constrained LS

EDMC Problem:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f_1(P) \quad (\text{weighted least squares}) \\ \text{s.t.} & \|p^i - p^j\|^2 \leq U_{ij} \ \ \forall (i,j) \in \mathcal{N}_u \quad \left(n_u = \frac{|\mathcal{N}_u|}{2}\right) \\ & \|p^i - a^k\|^2 \leq U_{ik} \ \ \forall (i,k) \in \mathcal{M}_u \quad \left(m_u = \frac{|\mathcal{M}_u|}{2}\right) \\ & \|p^i - p^j\|^2 \geq L_{ij} \ \ \forall (i,j) \in \mathcal{N}_l \quad \left(n_l = \frac{|\mathcal{N}_l|}{2}\right) \\ & \|p^i - a^k\|^2 \geq L_{ik} \ \ \forall (i,k) \in \mathcal{M}_l \quad \left(m_l = \frac{|\mathcal{M}_l|}{2}\right) \end{array}$

$\mathcal{K}(SDP) = EDM$

 $B = PP^T$ (*SDP*). $B_{ii} = (p^i)^T p^i$; $B_{ij} = (p^i)^T p^j$ The squared distance

 $D_{ij} = ||p^i - p^j||^2 \quad (EDM)$ $= (p^i)^T p^i + (p^j)^T p^j - 2(p^i)^T p^j$ $= \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow$ $= (\operatorname{diag}(B)e^T + e\operatorname{diag}(B)^T - 2B)_{ij}$ $=: (\mathcal{K}(B))_{ij}$

 $D = \mathcal{K}(B)$ change $EDM D \leftrightarrow SDP B$

Löwner Partial Order

matrix inner-product $\langle M, N \rangle = \text{trace } M^T N$ and Frobenius norm $||M||^2 = \text{trace } M^T M$. In S^n , $n \times n$ symmetric matrices:

 $B \succeq 0$ (is positive semidefinite)

 $\exists P \text{ with } B = PP^T, \text{ rank } (B) = \text{rank } (P)$

the positive semidefinite (Löwner) partial order is:

 $A \succeq B \ (A \succ B) \text{ if } A - B \succeq 0 \ (A - B \succ 0)$

Matrix Reformulation of EDMC

Let $\bar{Y} := PP^T = \begin{pmatrix} XX^T & XA^T \\ AX^T & AA^T \end{pmatrix}$ We get the equivalent EDMC $f_2(\bar{Y}) := \frac{1}{2} \| W \circ (\mathcal{K}(\bar{Y}) - E) \|_F^2$ min $g_u(\bar{Y}) := H_u \circ (\mathcal{K}(\bar{Y}) - U) \leq 0$ subject to $g_l(\bar{Y}) := H_l \circ (\mathcal{K}(\bar{Y}) - L) \geq 0$ hard constraint $\overline{Y} - PP^T = 0$

SDP Relaxation of Hard Constraint

$$\bar{Y} = PP^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} XX^{T} & XA^{T} \\ AX^{T} & AA^{T} \end{pmatrix} \text{ holds}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$\bar{Y}_{11} = XX^{T} \text{ and } \bar{Y}_{21} = AX^{T}, \quad \bar{Y}_{22} = AA^{T}.$$
Relax $\bar{Y} = PP^{T}$ to (Löwner partial order)

$$\bar{Y}_{22} = AA^{T} \quad PP^{T} - \bar{Y} \preceq 0 \quad \text{quadr convex constr}$$
(But why this relaxation?)

Convex wrt Löwner Partial Order

The constraint $g(P, Y) = PP^T - Y \leq 0$ is \succeq -convex, since each function

 $\phi_Q(P,Y) = \operatorname{trace} Qg(P,Y)$ is convex $\forall Q \succeq 0$. Note

trace QPP^T = trace $QPIP^T$ = $\operatorname{vec}(P)^T (I \otimes Q) \operatorname{vec}(P)$

Hessian is $I \otimes Q \succeq 0$; and the cone **SDP** is self-polar.

Linearization of SDP Relaxation

 $PP^T - \bar{Y} \preceq 0, \qquad P = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ A \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{Y}_{22} = AA^T$ \iff (by Schur complement) $Z = \begin{pmatrix} I & P^T \\ P & \bar{Y} \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ A \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{Y}_{22} = AA^T$ \iff (ignore $\overline{\cdot}$) $Z = \begin{pmatrix} I & X^T & A^T \\ X & Y & Y_{21}^T \\ A & Y_{21} & AA^T \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{NOT!} \succ 0 \\ \Rightarrow Y_{21} = AX^T \end{pmatrix}$

Facial Reduction

$$Z_s := \begin{pmatrix} I & X^T \\ X & Y \end{pmatrix}$$

(NE 2×2 block) (Lin.Tr. but <u>NOT</u> onto)

THEOREM:

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} I & X^T & A^T \\ X & Y & Y_{21}^T \\ A & Y_{21} & AA^T \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0$$
$$\longleftrightarrow$$
$$Z_s \succeq 0 \text{ and } Y_{21} = AX^T$$

Facial Reduction -Proof Outline

(compact) singular value decomposition $\overline{A = U\Sigma V^{T}} \quad Um \times r, Vr \times r$ $Z = Z_{1} := \begin{pmatrix} I & X^{T} & V\Sigma U^{T} \\ X & Y & Y_{21}^{T} \\ U\Sigma V^{T} & Y_{21} & U\Sigma^{2} U^{T} \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0$ choose \overline{U} so that $\begin{pmatrix} U & \overline{U} \end{pmatrix}$ is orthogonal;

Facial Reduction -Proof Outline cont...

Nonsingular congruence (apply Sylvester Lemma on inertia)

$$\begin{array}{l}
0 \leq Z_2 := T^T Z T = \\
\begin{pmatrix}
V^T & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \left(U & \bar{U}\right)^T
\end{array} Z \begin{pmatrix}
V & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \left(U & \bar{U}\right)
\end{array}$$

Facial Reduction -Congruence cont...

 $=\begin{pmatrix} I & V^T X^T & \left(\Sigma & 0\right) \\ XV & Y & \left(Y_{21}^T U & Y_{21}^T \bar{U}\right) \\ \left(\Sigma \\ 0 & \left(U^T Y_{21}\right) & \left(\Sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\right) \end{pmatrix} \perp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{I} \end{pmatrix}$ $\Rightarrow Z \perp \begin{bmatrix} T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{I} \end{pmatrix} T^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overline{U}\overline{U}T \end{bmatrix} := Q$

Minimal and Conjugate Faces

Conjugate face to feasible set \mathcal{F}_Z is

$$\boldsymbol{SDP} \, \cap \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{U}\bar{U}^T \end{pmatrix} \right\}^{\perp}$$

Minimal face of SDP containing $\mathcal{F}_Z = \operatorname{cone} \mathcal{F}_Z$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & U \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}_{+}^{2r+n} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & U \end{pmatrix}^{T}$$

Minimal Face

each given feasible $Z = \begin{pmatrix} I & X^T & A^T \\ X & Y & Y_{21}^T \\ A & Y_{21} & AA^T \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0,$ can be expressed as (using $A = U\Sigma V^T$) $= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & U \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & X^{T} & V\Sigma \\ X & Y & XV\Sigma \\ \Sigma V^{T} & \Sigma V^{T}X^{T} & \Sigma^{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & U \end{pmatrix}$

Matrix \leftrightarrow Vector Notation I

vector $v = \operatorname{vec} V$ is matrix V taken columnwise $\operatorname{sblk}_{21} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X^T \\ X & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{2}X$ pulls out the 21 block -

the $\sqrt{2}$ is for isometry in Frobenius norm

$$x := \operatorname{vec} \left(\operatorname{sblk}_{21} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X^T \\ X & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \sqrt{2} \operatorname{vec} (X)$$
$$y := \operatorname{svec} (Y) \quad (Y = Y^T, \text{ isometry})$$

$\begin{array}{l} Matrix \leftrightarrow Vector \\ Notation \ II \end{array}$

(adjoints: $\operatorname{sblk}_{21}^*(X) =$) $\operatorname{sBlk}_{21}(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X^T \\ X & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\operatorname{svec}^{-1}(\cdot) = \operatorname{svec}^*(\cdot) = \operatorname{sMat}(\cdot)$

 $\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{x}(x) := \operatorname{sBlk}_{21}(\operatorname{Mat}(x)), \quad \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{y}(y) := \operatorname{sBlk}_{2}(\operatorname{sMat}(x)),$ $\mathcal{Z}_{s}(x,y) := \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{x}(x) + \mathcal{Z}_{s}^{y}(y), \quad Z_{s} := \operatorname{sBlk}_{1}(I) + \mathcal{Z}_{s}(x)$

to build
$$Z_s = \begin{pmatrix} I & X^T \\ X & Y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}^{r+n}$$

$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Matrix} \leftrightarrow \textbf{Vector} \\ \textbf{Notation} \textbf{III} \end{array}$

 $\mathcal{Y}^{x}(x) = \mathrm{sBlk}_{21}(A\mathrm{Mat}(x)^{T}), \ \mathcal{Y}^{y}(y) = \mathrm{sBlk}_{1}(\mathrm{sMat}(x)^{T}), \ \mathcal{Y}^{y}(x, y) = \mathcal{Y}^{x}(x) + \mathcal{Y}^{y}(y), \ \overline{Y} = \mathrm{sBlk}_{2}(AA^{T}) + \mathcal{Y}(x)$

$$\bar{E} := W \circ \left[E - \mathcal{K}(\mathrm{sBlk}_2(AA^T)) \right]$$

$$\bar{U} := H_u \circ \left[\mathcal{K}(\mathrm{sBlk}_2(AA^T)) - U \right]$$

$$\bar{L} := H_l \circ \left[L - \mathcal{K}(\mathrm{sBlk}_2(AA^T)) \right]$$

The unknown matrix \overline{Y} is equal to $\mathcal{Y}(x, y)$ (with additional constant 2, 2 block), i.e. unknowns are the vectors x, y.

Equivalent Reduced Problem Model

(EDMC-R)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \min & f_3(x,y) := \frac{1}{2} \| W \circ (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x,y))) - \bar{E} \|_F^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & g_u(x,y) := H_u \circ \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x,y)) - \bar{U} &\leq 0 \\ & g_l(x,y) := \bar{L} - H_l \circ \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x,y)) &\leq 0 \\ & & \operatorname{sBlk}_1(I) + \mathcal{Z}_s(x,y) &\succeq 0 \end{array}$

(objective is ℓ_2 rather than ℓ_1 in the literature, e.g. H. Jin(05), A. So, Y. Ye(05), P. Biswas, T. Liang, K. Toh, T. Wang, Y. Ye(06).)

Problems with Relaxation

- 1. $\{(\bar{Y}, P) : \bar{Y} = PP^T\} \subset \{(\bar{Y}, P) : \bar{P}P^T \bar{Y} \leq 0\}$ (But, is Lagrangian relaxation stronger?)
- 2. linearization (using Schur complement) results in a constraint that is *NOT* onto, i.e. two relaxations *NOT* numerically equivalent
- 3. Least squares problem is (usually) underdetermined.

Lagrangian of EDMC - R

$$L(x, y, \Lambda_u, \Lambda_l, \Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \| W \circ \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x, y) - \bar{E}) \|_F^2 + \langle \Lambda_u, H_u \circ \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x, y)) - \bar{U} \rangle + \langle \Lambda_l, \bar{L} - H_l \circ \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x, y)) \rangle - \langle \Lambda, \text{sBlk}_1(I) + \mathcal{Z}_s(x, y) \rangle,$$

where $0 \leq \Lambda_u, 0 \leq \Lambda_l \in \mathcal{S}^{m+n}, \quad 0 \leq \Lambda \in \mathcal{S}^{m+n}$

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1 & \Lambda_{21}^T \\ \Lambda_{21} & \Lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \langle A, B \rangle = \operatorname{trace} A^T B.$$

Matrix \leftrightarrow Vector Dual Variable Notation

$$\lambda_{u} := \operatorname{svec} (\Lambda_{u}), \quad \lambda_{l} := \operatorname{svec} (\Lambda_{l}), \\ h_{u} := \operatorname{svec} (H_{u}), \quad h_{l} := \operatorname{svec} (H_{l}), \\ \lambda := \operatorname{svec} (\Lambda), \quad \lambda_{1} := \operatorname{svec} (\Lambda_{1}), \\ \lambda_{2} := \operatorname{svec} (\Lambda_{2}), \quad \lambda_{21} := \operatorname{vec} \operatorname{sblk}_{21}(\Lambda).$$

Adjoints

To differentiate the Lagrangian, we need the adjoints of the various linear transformations, e.g. part of \mathcal{K} :

- $\mathcal{D}_e(B) = \operatorname{diag}(B) e^T + e \operatorname{diag}(B)^T$
- $\mathcal{D}_e^*(D) = 2 \text{Diag}(De)$
- $\langle \mathcal{D}_e(B), D \rangle = \operatorname{trace} \left(\operatorname{diag} \left(B \right) e^T D + e \operatorname{diag} \left(B \right)^T D \right)$
 - = trace $(De(\operatorname{diag} B)^T + De(\operatorname{diag} B)^T)$
 - = 2trace $(\operatorname{diag} B)^T (De)$
 - $= \langle B, \mathcal{D}_e^*(D) \rangle, \forall D, B$

Primal-Dual Optimal. Conditions 1

THEOREM: The primal-dual variables $x, y, \Lambda, \lambda_u, \lambda_l$ are optimal for EDMC - R if and only if:

1. Primal Feasibility:

The slack variables satisfy

 $S_u = \overline{U} - H_u \circ (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x, y))), \ s_u = \operatorname{svec} S_u \ge 0$ $S_l = H_l \circ (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x, y))) - \overline{L}, \ s_l = \operatorname{svec} S_l \ge 0$ and

 $Z_s = \operatorname{sBlk}_1(I) + \operatorname{sBlk}_2 \operatorname{sMat}(y) + \operatorname{sBlk}_{21} \operatorname{Mat}$ $\succeq 0$

Primal-Dual Optimal. Conditions 2a

2a. Dual Feasibility:

The stationarity equations (\Rightarrow exact p-d feas.) $(\mathcal{Z}_s^x)^*(\Lambda) = \lambda_{21}$ (eliminated) $= [W \circ (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Y}^x)]^* (W \circ \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x,y)) + \left[H_u \circ (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Y}^x)\right]^* (\Lambda_u)$ $-\left[H_l\circ(\mathcal{KY}^x)\right]^*(\Lambda_l)$ $(\mathcal{Z}_{s}^{y})^{*}(\Lambda) = \lambda_{2}$ (eliminated) $= [W \circ (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Y}^y)]^* (W \circ \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x,y)) - \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{Y}(x,y))) - \mathcal{Y}(y)]^*$ $+ \left[H_u \circ (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Y}^y)\right]^* (\Lambda_u)$ $-\left[H_l\circ(\mathcal{KY}^y)\right]^*(\Lambda_l)$

Primal-Dual Optimal. Conditions 2b

2b. Dual Feasibility: Nonnegativity $\Lambda = \operatorname{sBlk}_1 \operatorname{sMat}(\lambda_1) + \operatorname{sBlk}_2 \operatorname{sMat}(\lambda_2) + \operatorname{sBlk}_{21} \operatorname{Mat}(\lambda_{21}) \succeq 0;$

 $\lambda_u \ge 0; \lambda_l \ge 0$

 $\Lambda = \Lambda(\lambda_1, x, y, \lambda_u, \lambda_l)$ (from stationarity)

Primal-Dual Optimal. Conditions 3 (C.S.)

3. Complementary Slackness:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \lambda_u \circ s_u &=& 0 \\ \lambda_l \circ s_l &=& 0 \\ \Lambda Z_s &=& 0 \end{array}$$

(equivalently trace $\Lambda Z_s = 0$)

Perturbed Compl. Slack. Conditions

$$F_{\mu}(x, y, \lambda_{u}, \lambda_{l}, \lambda_{1}) := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{u} \circ s_{u} - \mu_{u}e \\ \lambda_{l} \circ s_{l} - \mu_{l}e \\ \boxed{\Lambda Z_{s} - \mu_{c}I} \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

where $s_u = s_u(x, y)$, $s_l = s_l(x, y)$, $\Lambda = \Lambda(\lambda_1, x, y, \lambda_u, \lambda_l)$, $Z_s = Z_s(x, y)$ an <u>overdetermined</u> bilinear system with $(m_u + n_u) + (m_l + n_l) + (n + r)^2$ equations $nr + t(n) + (m_u + n_u) + (m_l + n_l) + t(r)$ variables.

Gauss-Newton Search Direction

$$\Delta s := \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \\ \Delta \lambda_u \\ \Delta \lambda_l \\ \Delta \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

overdetermined linearized system is:

 $F'_{\mu}(\Delta s) \cong F'_{\mu}(x, y, \lambda_u, \lambda_l, \lambda_1)(\Delta s) = -F_{\mu}(x, y, \lambda_u, \lambda_l, \lambda_l)$

Notation - Compos. of Lin. Tr.

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{H}^{x}(x) &:= H \circ (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}^{x}(x))), \\ \mathcal{K}_{H}^{y}(y) &:= H \circ (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}^{y}(y))), \\ \mathcal{K}_{H}(x,y) &:= H \circ (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Y}(x,y))). \end{aligned}$

GN: Three blocks of Equations

1. $\lambda_u \circ \operatorname{svec} \mathcal{K}_{H_u}(\Delta x, \Delta y) + s_u \circ \Delta \lambda_u = \mu_u e - \lambda_u \circ s_u$ **2.** $\lambda_l \circ \operatorname{svec} \mathcal{K}_{H_l}(\Delta x, \Delta y) + s_l \circ \Delta \lambda_l = \mu_l e - \lambda_l \circ s_l$ 3. $\Lambda \mathcal{Z}_{s}(\Delta x, \Delta y) + [\text{sBlk}_{1}(\text{sMat}(\Delta \lambda_{1}))]$ + sBlk₂ (sMat { $(\mathcal{K}_W^y)^*\mathcal{K}_W(\Delta x, \Delta y)$ + $(\mathcal{K}_{H_u}^y)^* (\operatorname{sMat}(\Delta \lambda_u)) - (\mathcal{K}_{H_l}^y)^* (\operatorname{sMat}(\Delta \lambda_l)) \}$ +sBlk₂₁ (Mat { $(\mathcal{K}_W^x)^*\mathcal{K}_W(\Delta x, \Delta y)$ $+(\mathcal{K}_{H_{u}}^{x})^{*}(\mathrm{sMat}(\Delta\lambda_{u})) - (\mathcal{K}_{H_{l}}^{x})^{*}(\mathrm{sMat}(\Delta\lambda_{l}))$ $= \mu_c I - \Lambda Z_s$

Initial Str. Feas. Start Heuristic

If the graph is connected, we can use the stationarity equations and get a strictly feasible primal-dual starting point and *maintain exact numerical primal-dual feasibility* throughout the iterations.

Diagonal Preconditioning

Given $A \in \mathcal{M}^{m \times n}$, $m \ge n$ full rank matrix; and using condition number of $K \succ 0$: $\omega(K) = \frac{\operatorname{trace}(K)/n}{\det(K)^{1/n}}$, the <u>optimal diagonal scaling</u> $\min_{D \succ 0} \omega\left((AD)^T(AD)\right), \quad D^* = \operatorname{Diag}\left(1/||A_{:,i}||\right)$ (cite Dennis-W.) Therefore, need to evaluate columns of

 $F'_{\mu}(\cdot)$ (can be done explicitly/efficiently)

(Partial block Cholesky precondioning)

dens: W .75,L .8; n 15, m 5, r 2

nf	optvalue	relaxation	cond.number	$sv(\mathcal{Z}_s)$	${ m SV}(F_{\mu}')$
0.0000e+000	3.9909e-009	1.1248e-005	3.8547e+006	15	19
5.0000e-002	7.5156e-004	4.4637e-002	1.0244e+011	6	27
1.0000e-001	3.7103e-003	1.1286e-001	1.9989e+010	5	25
1.5000e-001	6.2623e-003	1.3125e-001	1.0065e+010	6	14
2.0000e-001	1.3735e-002	1.3073e-001	6.8833e+009	7	12
2.5000e-001	2.3426e-002	2.4828e-001	2.4823e+010	8	6
3.0000e-001	6.0509e-002	2.3677e-001	3.4795e+010	7	7
3.5000e-001	5.5367e-002	3.7260e-001	2.3340e+008	6	4
4.0000e-001	7.6703e-002	3.6343e-001	8.9745e+010	8	3
4.5000e-001	1.2493e-001	6.9625e-001	3.2590e+010	6	9
5.0000e-001	1.3913e-001	3.9052e-001	2.2870e+005	8	0
5.5000e-001	8.8552e-002	3.8742e-001	5.8879e+007	8	2
6.0000e-001	4.2425e-001	4.1399e-001	4.9251e+012	8	4

dens: W .75,L .8; n 15, m 5, r 2

nf	optvalue	relaxation	cond.number	$sv(\mathcal{Z}_s)$	$sv(F'_{\mu})$
0.0000e+000	3.9909e-009	1.1248e-005	3.8547e+006	15	19
5.0000e-002	7.5156e-004	4.4637e-002	1.0244e+011	6	27
5.5000e-001	8.8552e-002	3.8742e-001	5.8879e+007	8	2
6.0000e-001	4.2425e-001	4.1399e-001	4.9251e+012	8	4
6.5000e-001	2.0414e-001	6.6054e-001	2.4221e+010	7	4
7.0000e-001	1.2028e-001	3.4328e-001	1.9402e+010	7	6
7.5000e-001	2.6590e-001	7.9316e-001	1.3643e+011	7	4
8.0000e-001	4.7155e-001	3.7822e-001	6.6910e+009	8	2
8.5000e-001	1.8951e-001	5.8652e-001	1.4185e+011	6	7
9.0000e-001	2.1741e-001	9.8757e-001	2.9077e+005	8	0
9.5000e-001	4.4698e-001	4.6648e-001	2.7013e+006	9	2

 Table 1: Robust Algorithm for III-posed Problem

 Anchored Graph Realization and Sensor Localization - p.43