Taking advantage of Degeneracy in Cone Optimization with Applications to Sensor Network Localization and Molecular Conformation

Henry Wolkowicz

Dept. of Combinatorics and Optimization University of Waterloo

Tues. July 19, 3-5PM, Room:14



Outline

Part I: Sensor Network Localization, SNL, Henry Wolkowicz

- exploiting implicit degeneracy
- solving huge problems
- high accuracy (low rank) solutions

(With: N. Krislock, F. Rendl)

Part II: Preprocessing and Reduction for Degenerate Semidefinite Programs, Y-L (Vris) Cheung

- backward stable preprocessing technique using rank-revealing rotations
- (strict) complementarity and duality gaps

(With: S. Schurr and H. Wolkowicz)

Part I: Sensor Network Localization, SNL, Problem (Exploiting (Implicit) Degeneracy)

SNL - a Fundamental Problem of Distance Geometry; easy to describe - dates back to Grasssmann 1886

- *n* ad hoc wireless sensors (nodes) to locate in ℝ^r, (*r* is embedding dimension; sensors *p_i* ∈ ℝ^r, *i* ∈ *V* := 1,..., *n*)
- *m* of the sensors are anchors, *p_i*, *i* = *n m* + 1,..., *n*) (positions known, using e.g. GPS)
- pairwise distances $D_{ij} = ||p_i p_j||^2$, $ij \in E$, are known within radio range R > 0

٩

$$P^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X^{T} & A^{T} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$$

Applications

Horst Stormer (Nobel Prize, Physics, 1998), "21 Ideas for the 21st Century", Business Week. 8/23-30, 1999

Untethered micro sensors will go anywhere and measure anything - traffic flow, water level, number of people walking by, temperature. This is developing into something like a nervous system for the earth, a skin for the earth. The world will evolve this way.

Tracking Humans/Animals/Equipment/Weather (smart dust)

- geographic routing; data aggregation; topological control; soil humidity; earthquakes and volcanos; weather and ocean currents; radiation levels.
- military; tracking of goods; vehicle positions; surveillance; random deployment in inaccessible terrains.
- brain scans

Graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \omega)$

- node set $\mathcal{V} = \{1, \ldots, n\}$
- edge set $(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$; $\omega_{ij} = \|p_i p_j\|^2$ known approximately
- The anchors form a clique (complete subgraph)
- Realization of *G* in ℜ^r: a mapping of node v_i → p_i ∈ ℜ^r with squared distances given by ω.

Corresponding Partial Euclidean Distance Matrix, EDM

 $m{D}_{ij} = \left\{egin{array}{cc} d_{ij}^2 & ext{if} & (i,j) \in \mathcal{E} \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \ (ext{unknown distance}), \end{array}
ight.$

 $d_{ij}^2 = \omega_{ij}$ are known squared Euclidean distances between sensors p_i, p_j ; anchors correspond to a clique.

Sensor Localization Problem/Partial EDM

Sensors • and Anchors



Distance Geometry Description

From Experimental data, e.g. NMR spectroscopy

- a list of distances (lower and upper bounds on the distances between pairs of atoms)
- chirality constraints (chirality of its rigid quadruples of atoms)

Connections to Semidefinite Programming (SDP)

S^n_+ , Cone of (symmetric) SDP matrices in S^n ; $x^T A x \ge 0$

inner product $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{trace } AB$ Löwner (psd) partial order $A \succeq B, A \succ B$

$D = \mathcal{K}(B) \in \mathcal{E}^n$, $B = \mathcal{K}^{\dagger}(D) \in \mathcal{S}^n \cap \mathcal{S}_C$ (centered Be = 0)

 $P^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{1} & p_{2} & \dots & p_{n} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}^{r \times n};$ $B := PP^{T} \in \mathcal{S}_{+}^{n} \text{ (Gram matrix of inner products);}$ $\operatorname{rank} B = r; \text{ let } D \in \mathcal{E}^{n} \text{ corresponding EDM ; } e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{T}$

$$(\text{to } D \in \mathcal{E}^n) \quad D = \left(\|p_i - p_j\|_2^2 \right)_{i,j=1}^n \\ = \left(p_i^T p_i + p_j^T p_j - 2p_i^T p_j \right)_{i,j=1}^n \\ = \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{diag} \left(B \right) e^T + e \text{diag} \left(B \right)^T - 2E \\ = : \mathcal{D}_e(B) - 2B \\ = : \mathcal{K} \left(B \right) \quad (\text{from } B \in \mathcal{S}^n_+). \end{array} \right]$$

Euclidean Distance, EDM, and Semidefinite, SDP, Matrices

Moore-Penrose Generalized Inverse \mathcal{K}^{\dagger}

 $\begin{array}{ll} B \succeq 0 & \Longrightarrow & D = \mathcal{K}(B) = \mathrm{diag}\,(B)\,\mathrm{e}^{T} + \mathrm{e}\,\mathrm{diag}\,(B)^{T} - 2B \in \mathcal{E} \\ D \in \mathcal{E} & \Longrightarrow & B = \mathcal{K}^{\dagger}(D) = -\frac{1}{2}J\,(\mathrm{offDiag}\,)J) \succeq 0, \, De = 0 \end{array}$

Theorem (Schoenberg, 1935)

A (hollow) matrix D with diag (D) = 0($D \in S_H$) is a Euclidean distance matrix if and only if

 $B = \mathcal{K}^{\dagger}(D) \succeq 0.$

And

embdim (D) = rank
$$(\mathcal{K}^{\dagger}(D))$$
, $\forall D \in \mathcal{E}^{n}$

$$(\mathcal{S}^n:)\quad \mathcal{K}\,:\,\mathcal{S}^n_+\cap\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{C}}\to\mathcal{E}^n\subset\mathcal{S}^n\,\cap\,\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{H}}\qquad\leftarrow:\,\mathcal{T}\qquad(:\mathcal{E}^n)$$

Linear Transformations: $\mathcal{D}_{v}(B), \mathcal{K}(B), \mathcal{T}(D)$

- allow: $\mathcal{D}_v(B) := \operatorname{diag}(B) v^T + v \operatorname{diag}(B)^T$; $\mathcal{D}_v(y) := yv^T + vy^T$
- adjoint $\mathcal{K}^*(D) = 2(\text{Diag}(De) D)$.
- *K* is 1-1, onto between centered & hollow subspaces :
 S_C := {*B* ∈ *Sⁿ* : *Be* = 0};
 S_H := {*D* ∈ *Sⁿ* : diag (*D*) = 0} = *R* (offDiag)
 J := *I* ¹/₁*ee^T* (orthogonal projection onto *M* := {*e*}[⊥]);

•
$$\mathcal{T}(D) := -\frac{1}{2} J$$
offDiag $(D) J \quad (= \mathcal{K}^{\dagger}(D))$

Popular Techniques; SDP Relax.; Highly Degen.

Nearest, Weighted, SDP Approx. (relax/discard rank B)

- $\min_{B \succeq 0, B \in \Omega} \|H \circ (\mathcal{K}(B) D)\|$; rank B = r; typical weights: $H_{ij} = 1/\sqrt{D_{ij}}$, if $ij \in E$.
- with rank constraint: a non-convex, NP-hard program
- SDP relaxation is convex, <u>BUT</u>: expensive/low accuracy/implicitly highly degenerate (cliques restrict ranks of feasible *B*s)

Instead: (Shall) Take Advantage of Degeneracy!

- **9** given clique α , $|\alpha| = k$; with corresp. principal EDM block $D[\alpha]$; and embed. dim. $= t \le r < k$
- IMPLIES a restriction on rank of corresp. Gram matrix: rank (*K*[†](*D*[α])) = *t* ≤ *r*
- IMPLIES a restriction on rank of principal block of main Gram matrix: rank (*B*[α]) ≤ rank (*K*[†](*D*[α])) + 1
- IMPLIES rank $B = \operatorname{rank} (\mathcal{K}^{\dagger}(D)) \leq n (k t 1)$
- IMPLIES Slater's CQ (strict feasibility) fails



- $F \subseteq K$ is a face of K, denoted $F \subseteq K$, if $(x, y \in K, \frac{1}{2}(x + y) \in F) \implies (\operatorname{cone} \{x, y\} \subseteq F).$
- $F \triangleleft K$, if $F \trianglelefteq K$, $F \neq K$; F is proper face if $\{0\} \neq F \triangleleft K$.
- $F \leq K$ is exposed if: intersection of K with a hyperplane.
- face(S) denotes smallest face of K that contains set S.
- if S is convex set, F is a face
 minimal face face (S) = F iff S ∩ relint (F) ≠ Ø

S^n_+ is a Facially Exposed Cone

All faces are exposed.

Facial Structure of SDP Cone; Equivalent SUBSPACES

Face $F \leq S_{+}^{n}$ Equivalence to $\mathcal{R}(U)$ Subspace of \mathbb{R}^{n} $F \leq S_{+}^{n}$ determined by range of any $S \in \text{relint } F$, i.e. let $S = U \Gamma U^{T}$ be compact spectral decomposition; $\Gamma \in S_{++}^{t}$ is diagonal matrix of pos. eigenvalues; $F = U S_{+}^{t} U^{T}$ (*F* associated with $\mathcal{R}(U)$) dim F = t(t+1)/2.

face F representation by subspace $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R}(T)$

(subspace) $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R}(T)$, *T* is $n \times t$ full column, then:

 $F := TS_+^t T^T \trianglelefteq S_+^n$, relint $(F) = TS_{++}^t T^T$

Basic Single Clique/Facial Reduction

$\overline{D} \in \mathcal{E}^{k}$, $\alpha \subseteq 1: n$, $|\alpha| = k$, $D[\alpha]$ principal submatrix

Define $\mathcal{E}^n(\alpha, \overline{D}) := \{ D \in \mathcal{E}^n : D[\alpha] = \overline{D} \}.$

Given \overline{D} ; find a corresponding $B \succeq 0$; find the corresponding face; find the corresponding subspace.

if $\alpha = 1$: k; embedding dim embdim $(\overline{D}) = t \le r$ $D = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{D} & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix},$

BASIC THEOREM for Single Clique/Facial Reduction

THEOREM 1: Single Clique/Facial Reduction

Let:
$$\overline{D} := D[1:k] \in \mathcal{E}^k$$
, $k < n$, embdim $(\overline{D}) = t \le r$;
 $B := \mathcal{K}^{\dagger}(\overline{D}) = \overline{U}_B S \overline{U}_B^T$, $\overline{U}_B \in \mathcal{M}^{k \times t}$, $\overline{U}_B^T \overline{U}_B = I_t$, $S \in S_{++}^t$;
 $U_B := \begin{bmatrix} \overline{U}_B & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} e \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}^{k \times (t+1)}$, $U := \begin{bmatrix} U_B & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-k} \end{bmatrix}$, and
 $\begin{bmatrix} V & \frac{U^T e}{\|U^T e\|} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}^{n-k+t+1}$ orthogonal. Then:
face $\mathcal{K}^{\dagger} \left(\mathcal{E}^n(1:k, \overline{D}) \right) = \left(US_+^{n-k+t+1}U^T \right) \cap S_C \\ = (UV)S_+^{n-k+t}(UV)^T$

Note that the minimal face is defined by the subspace $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R}(UV)$. We add $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}e$ to represent $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{K})$; then we use V to eliminate e to recover a <u>centered</u> face.

Facial Reduction for Disjoint Cliques

Corollary from Basic Theorem

let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell \subseteq 1:n$ pairwise disjoint sets, wlog: $\alpha_i = (k_{i-1} + 1): k_i, k_0 = 0, \alpha := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \alpha_i = 1: |\alpha|$ let $\overline{U}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{|\alpha_i| \times (t_i + 1)}$ with full column rank satisfy $e \in \mathcal{R}(\overline{U}_i)$ and k_{i-1} t_i+1 $n-k_i$ $U_{i} := \begin{array}{ccc} k_{i-1} \\ |\alpha_{i}| \\ n-k_{i} \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{U}_{i} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-|\alpha_{i}|+t_{i}+1)}$ The minimal face is defined by $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R}(U)$: $U := \begin{array}{c} |\alpha_1| \\ \vdots \\ |\alpha_\ell| \\ n - |\alpha| \\ - |\alpha| \\ n - |\alpha| \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{U}_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \bar{U}_\ell & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & I \\ \end{array} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n - |\alpha| + t + 1)},$ where $t := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} t_i + \ell - 1$. And $e \in \mathcal{R}(\vec{U})$.

Sets for Intersecting Cliques/Faces



For each clique $|\alpha| = k$, we get a corresponding face/subspace $(k \times r \text{ matrix})$ representation. We now see how to handle two cliques, α_1, α_2 , that intersect.

Two (Intersecting) Clique Reduction/Subsp. Repres.

THEOREM 2: Clique/Facial Intersection Using Subspace Intersection

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \subseteq 1: n; \quad k := |\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2| \\ \text{For } i = 1, 2: \ \bar{D}_i := D[\alpha_i] \in \mathcal{E}^{k_i}, \text{ embedding dimension } t_i; \\ B_i := \mathcal{K}^{\dagger}(\bar{D}_i) = \bar{U}_i S_i \bar{U}_i^T, \ \bar{U}_i \in \mathcal{M}^{k_i \times t_i}, \ \bar{U}_i^T \bar{U}_i = I_{t_i}, \ S_i \in S_{++}^{t_i}; \\ U_i := \begin{bmatrix} \bar{U}_i & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_i}} e \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}^{k_i \times (t_i+1)}; \text{ and } \bar{U} \in \mathcal{M}^{k \times (t+1)} \text{ satisfies} \\ \mathcal{R}(\bar{U}) = \mathcal{R}\left(\begin{bmatrix} U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\bar{k}_3} \end{bmatrix} \right) \cap \mathcal{R}\left(\begin{bmatrix} I_{\bar{k}_1} & 0 \\ 0 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \right), \text{ with } \bar{U}^T \bar{U} = I_{t+1} \\ \text{cont...} \end{cases}$$

Two (Intersecting) Clique Reduction, cont...

THEOREM 2 Nonsing. Clique/Facial Inters. cont...

cont... with

$$\mathcal{R}(\bar{U}) = \mathcal{R}\left(\begin{bmatrix} U_1 & 0\\ 0 & I_{\bar{k}_3} \end{bmatrix}\right) \cap \mathcal{R}\left(\begin{bmatrix} I_{\bar{k}_1} & 0\\ 0 & U_2 \end{bmatrix}\right), \text{ with } \bar{U}^T \bar{U} = I_{t+1};$$
let: $U := \begin{bmatrix} \bar{U} & 0\\ 0 & I_{n-k} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}^{n \times (n-k+t+1)} \text{ and}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} V & \frac{U^T e}{\|U^T e\|} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}^{n-k+t+1} \text{ be orthogonal. Then}$$

$$\boxed{\prod_{i=1}^2 \operatorname{face} \mathcal{K}^{\dagger} \left(\mathcal{E}^n(\alpha_i, \bar{D}_i)\right)}_{=} \left(U\mathcal{S}_+^{n-k+t+1}U^T\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_C$$

$$= (UV)\mathcal{S}_+^{n-k+t}(UV)^T$$

Expense/Work of (Two) Clique/Facial Reductions

Subspace Intersection for Two Intersecting Cliques/Faces

Suppose:

$$U_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{1}' & 0 \\ U_{1}'' & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } U_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & U_{2}'' \\ 0 & U_{2}' \end{bmatrix}$$

Then:

$$U := \begin{bmatrix} U'_1 \\ U''_1 \\ U'_2 (U''_2)^{\dagger} U''_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad U := \begin{bmatrix} U'_1 (U''_1)^{\dagger} U''_2 \\ U''_2 \\ U''_2 \\ U''_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $(Q_1 =: (U_1'')^{\dagger}U_2'', Q_2 = (U_2'')^{\dagger}U_1''$ orthogonal/rotation) (Efficiently) satisfies

 $\mathcal{R}(U) = \mathcal{R}(U_1) \cap \mathcal{R}(U_2)$

Two (Intersecting) Clique Reduction Figure



COR. Intersection with Embedding Dim. *r*/Completion

Hypotheses of Theorem 2 holds. Let $\overline{D}_i := D[\alpha_i] \in \mathcal{E}^{k_i}$, for $i = 1, 2, \beta \subseteq \alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2, \gamma := \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2, \overline{D} := D[\beta], B := \mathcal{K}^{\dagger}(\overline{D}), \quad \overline{U}_{\beta} := \overline{U}(\beta, :), \text{ where } \overline{U} \in \mathcal{M}^{k \times (t+1)} \text{ satisfies}$ intersection equation of Theorem 2. Let $\begin{bmatrix} \bar{V} & \frac{\bar{U}^T e}{\|\bar{U}^T e\|} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}^{t+1}$ be orthogonal. Let $Z := (J\overline{U}_{\beta}\overline{V})^{\dagger}B((J\overline{U}_{\beta}\overline{V})^{\dagger})^{T}$. If the embedding dimension for \overline{D} is r, THEN t = r in Theorem 2, and $Z \in S_+^r$ is the unique solution of the equation $(J\bar{U}_{\beta}\vec{V})Z(J\bar{U}_{\beta}\bar{V})^{T}=B$, and the exact completion is $D[\gamma] = \mathcal{K} (PP^T)$ where $P := UVZ^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\gamma| \times r}$

Rotate to Align the Anchor Positions

- Given $P = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ such that $D = \mathcal{K}(PP^T)$
- Solve the orthogonal Procrustes problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \|A - P_2 Q\| \\ \text{s.t.} & Q^T Q = I \end{array}$$

 $P_2^T A = U \Sigma V^T$ SVD decomposition; set $Q = U V^T$; (Golub/Van Loan, Algorithm 12.4.1)

• Set *X* := *P*₁*Q*

Algorithm: Four Cases



ALGOR: clique union; facial reduct.; delay compl.

Initialize: Find initial set of cliques.

 $C_i := \{j : (D_p)_{ij} < (R/2)^2\}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$

Iterate

- For $|C_i \cap C_j| \ge r + 1$, do Rigid Clique Union
- For $|C_i \cap \mathcal{N}(j)| \ge r + 1$, do Rigid Node Absorption
- For $|C_i \cap C_j| = r$, do Non-Rigid Clique Union (lower bnds)
- For |C_i ∩ N (j)| = r, do Non-Rigid Node Absorp. (lower bnds)

Finalize

When \exists a clique containing all anchors, use computed facial representation and positions of anchors to solve for *X*

Results - Data for Random Noisless Problems

- 2.16 GHz Intel Core 2 Duo, 2 GB of RAM
- Dimension r = 2
- Square region: [0, 1] × [0, 1]
- m = 9 anchors
- Using only Rigid Clique Union and Rigid Node Absorption
- Error measure: Root Mean Square Deviation

$$\mathsf{RMSD} = \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\boldsymbol{p}_i - \boldsymbol{p}_i^{\mathsf{true}}\|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

Results - Large n (SDP size $O(n^2)$)

n # of Sensors Located

n # sensors \ R	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.04
2000	2000	2000	1956	1374
6000	6000	6000	6000	6000
10000	10000	10000	10000	10000

CPU Seconds

# sensors \ R	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.04
2000	1	1	1	3
6000	5	5	4	4
10000	10	10	9	8

RMSD (over located sensors)

n # sensors \ R	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.04
2000	4e-16	5e-16	6e-16	3e-16
6000	4e-16	4e-16	3e-16	3e-16
10000	3e-16	5e-16	4e-16	4e-16

Large-Scale Problems						
	# sensors	# anchors	radio range	RMSD	Time	
	20000	9	.025	5e-16	25s	
	40000	9	.02	8e-16	1m 23s	
	60000	9	.015	5e-16	3m 13s	
	100000	9	.01	6e-16	9m 8s	

Size of SDPs Solved: $N = \binom{n}{2}$ (# vrbls)

 \mathcal{E}_n (density of \mathcal{G}) = πR^2 ; $M = \mathcal{E}_n(|E|) = \pi R^2 N$ (# constraints) Size of SDP Problems: $M = [3,078,915 \ 12,315,351 \ 27,709,309 \ 76,969,790]$ $N = 10^9 [0.2000 \ 0.8000 \ 1.8000 \ 5.0000]$

Nearest EDM

- Given clique α ; corresp. EDM $D_{\epsilon} = D + N_{\epsilon}$, N_{ϵ} noise
- we need to find the smallest face containing $\mathcal{E}^n(\alpha, D)$.
- $\begin{cases} \min & \|\mathcal{K}(X) D_{\epsilon}\| \\ \text{s.t.} & \operatorname{rank}(X) = r, Xe = 0, X \succeq 0 \\ & X \succeq 0. \end{cases}$
- Eliminate the constraints: $Ve = 0, V^T V = I$, $\mathcal{K}_V(X) := \mathcal{K}(VXV^T)$:

$$U_r^* \in \operatorname{argmin}_{s.t.} \quad \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathcal{K}_V(UU^T) - D_{\epsilon} \right\|_F^2$$

s.t. $U \in M^{(n-1)r}$.

The nearest EDM is $D^* = \mathcal{K}_V(U_r^*(U_r^*)^T)$.

Solve Overdetermined Nonlin. Least Squares Prob.

Newton (expensive) or Gauss-Newton (less accurate)

$$F(U) := us2vec\left(\mathcal{K}_V(UU^T) - D_\epsilon
ight), \quad \min_U f(U) := rac{1}{2} \left\|F(U)
ight\|^2$$

Derivatives: gradient and Hessian

$$abla f(U)(\Delta U) = \langle 2\left(\mathcal{K}_V^*\left[\mathcal{K}_V(UU^{\mathsf{T}}) - D_\epsilon
ight]
ight)U, \Delta U
angle$$

$$\nabla^2 f(U) = 2 \operatorname{vec} \left(\mathcal{L}_U^* \mathcal{K}_V^* \mathcal{K}_V S_{\Sigma} \mathcal{L}_U + \mathcal{K}_V^* \left(\mathcal{K}_V (UU^T) - D_{\epsilon} \right) \right) \operatorname{Mat}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_U(\cdot) = \cdot U^T$; $S_{\Sigma}(U) = \frac{1}{2}(U + U^T)$

random noisy probs; r = 2, m = 9, nf = 1e - 6

• Using only Rigid Clique Union, preliminary results:

n/R	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6
1000	1.00	5.00	11.00	40.00	124.00
2000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	7.00
3000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
4000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
5000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

n/R	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6
1000	9.43	6.98	5.57	5.04	4.05
2000	12.46	12.18	12.43	11.18	9.89
3000	18.08	18.50	19.07	18.33	16.33
4000	25.18	24.01	24.02	23.80	22.12
5000	38.13	31.66	30.26	30.32	29.88

cpu seconds

remaining cliques

n/R	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6
1000	-3.28	-4.19	-2.92	Inf	Inf
2000	-3.63	-3.81	-3.82	-2.39	-3.73
3000	-3.51	-3.98	-3.25	-3.90	-3.28
4000	-4.15	-4.05	-3.52	-3.04	-3.33
5000	-4.80	-4.38	-3.89	-4.13	-3.40

max-log-error

- SDP relaxation of SNL is highly (implicitly) degenerate: The feasible set of this SDP is restricted to a low dim. face of the SDP cone, causing the Slater constraint qualification (strict feasibility) to fail
- We take advantage of this degeneracy by finding explicit representations of intersections of faces of the SDP cone corresponding to unions of intersecting cliques
- <u>Without</u> using an SDP-solver (eg. SeDuMi or SDPT3), we quickly compute the exact solution to the SDP relaxation

Thanks for your attention!

Taking advantage of Degeneracy in Cone Optimization with Applications to Sensor Network Localization and Molecular Conformation

Henry Wolkowicz

Dept. of Combinatorics and Optimization University of Waterloo

Tues. July 19, 3-5PM, Room:14