

AN ALGORITHM FOR PACKING NON-ZERO A -PATHS IN GROUP-LABELLED GRAPHS

MARIA CHUDNOVSKY, WILLIAM H. CUNNINGHAM, JIM GEELEN

Received September 9, 2004

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an oriented graph whose edges are labelled by the elements of a group Γ and let $A \subseteq V$. An A -path is a path whose ends are both in A . The *weight* of a path P in G is the sum of the group values on forward oriented arcs minus the sum of the backward oriented arcs in P . (If Γ is not abelian, we sum the labels in their order along the path.) We give an efficient algorithm for finding a maximum collection of vertex-disjoint A -paths each of non-zero weight. When $A = V$ this problem is equivalent to the maximum matching problem.

1. Introduction

Let Γ be a group; we will use additive notation for groups, although they need not be abelian. A Γ -labelled graph is a graph G in which each edge $e = uv \in E(G)$ is assigned weights $\omega_G(e, u), \omega_G(e, v) \in \Gamma$ where $\omega_G(e, u) = -\omega_G(e, v)$. Let G be a Γ -labelled graph and let $A \subseteq V(G)$. An A -path is a path, with at least one edge, whose ends are both in A . Now, if $P = (v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, v_2, \dots, e_k, v_k)$ is a path in G , then the *weight of P* , denoted $\omega_G(P)$, is defined to be $\sum_{i=1}^k \omega_G(e_i, v_i)$.

We are interested in the maximum number of vertex-disjoint A -paths each of non-zero weight, which we denote by $\nu(G, A)$. Chudnovsky *et al.* [1] gave a min-max theorem for $\nu(G, A)$; they also discuss motivation for the

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 05C22

This research was partially conducted during the period Chudnovsky served as a Clay Mathematics Institute Long-Term Prize Fellow. The research was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada.

non-zero A -paths problem. In particular, they show that Mader's \mathcal{S} -path problem [4] is a special case. The only previously known algorithm for Mader's \mathcal{S} -path problem was obtained by Lovász via a reduction to linear matroid matching [2]. We present an algorithm for finding a maximum collection of vertex-disjoint non-zero A -paths that runs in time $O(|V(G)|^6)$. In our complexity calculations, group operations (such as addition and comparison) are treated as elementary operations. Our algorithm is similar to an algorithm of Lovász and Plummer [3, p. 376] for finding a maximum matching. Lovász and Plummer cleverly abstract an algorithm from what would otherwise appear to be a nonconstructive proof of the Edmonds–Gallai Structure Theorem (see [3]). Using a similar approach, we obtain an algorithm from our proof of [Theorem 1.3](#), which is a structure theorem for non-zero A -paths. [Theorem 1.3](#) is closely related to a structure theorem of Sebő and Szegő [5] for Mader's \mathcal{S} -path problem; our results were, however, obtained independently.

Let $E_0(G, A)$ denote the set of all edges $e = uv \in E$ whose ends are both in A and that have $\omega_G(e, v) = 0$; note that deleting such edges does not affect ν . Let $\text{def}(G, A) = |A| - 2\nu(G, A)$; we call this the *deficiency*. Let $\text{odd}(G, A)$ denote the number of components H of $G - E_0(G, A)$ with $|V(H) \cap A|$ odd. Finally let $X, A' \subseteq V(G)$ such that $A \cup X \subseteq A'$. It is straightforward to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{def}(G, A) &\geq \text{def}(G, A') - |A' - A| \\ &\geq \text{def}(G - X, A' - X) - |A' - A| - |X| \\ &\geq \text{odd}(G - X, A' - X) - |A' - A| - |X|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in V$ and let $\delta \in \Gamma$. We will construct a new Γ -labelled graph G' from G by changing the labels as follows. For each edge $e = uv$ in G we define

$$\omega_{G'}(e, u) = \begin{cases} \omega_G(e, u) + \delta, & \text{if } u = x \\ -\delta + \omega_G(e, u), & \text{if } v = x \\ \omega_G(e, u), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We say that G' is obtained from G by *shifting* by δ at x . Note that, if $x \notin A$, then this shift does not change the weight of any A -path (even when Γ is non-abelian). If G' is a Γ -labelled graph obtained by a sequence of shifting operations on vertices not in A , then we say that G and G' are *A -equivalent*. The main theorem in [1] is:

Theorem 1.1. *Let Γ be a group, let G be a Γ -labelled graph, and let $A \subseteq V(G)$. Then there exists a Γ -labelled graph G' that is A -equivalent to G and there exist sets $X, A' \subseteq V(G)$ with $A \cup X \subseteq A'$ such that*

$$\text{def}(G, A) = \text{odd}(G' - X, A' - X) - |A' - A| - |X|.$$

Our structure theorem provides a canonical choice for A' and X in [Theorem 1.1](#). Before stating the structure theorem we need some definitions; we start by clarifying our notation.

A *path* is a sequence $P = (v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, v_2, \dots, e_k, v_k)$ where v_0, \dots, v_k are distinct vertices of G and e_i has ends v_{i-1} and v_i for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Thus P is ordered in that it has distinguished *start* (v_0) and *end* (v_k). The path $(v_k, e_k, v_{k-1}, \dots, v_1, e_1, v_0)$ is denoted by \bar{P} . We allow paths consisting of a single vertex; we refer to such paths as *trivial*. We denote by $E(P)$ and $V(P)$ the set of edges and vertices of P , respectively.

An A -*collection* is a set Π of vertex disjoint paths such that:

1. each vertex in A is either the start or the end of a path in Π ,
2. the start of each path $P \in \Pi$ is in A , and
3. if $P \in \Pi$ is non-trivial and has its end in A , then $\omega_G(P) \neq 0$.

A path $P \in \Pi$ is *loose* if it is trivial or its end is not in A ; thus each path in Π is either an A -path or it is loose (not both). The *value* of an A -collection Π , denoted $\text{val}_A(\Pi)$ or $\text{val}(\Pi)$, is the number of A -paths that it contains. The A -collection is *optimal* if $\text{val}(\Pi) = \nu(G, A)$; note that there are optimal A -collections. Let $\mathcal{P}(G, A)$ denote the set of all A -collections and let $\mathcal{P}^*(G, A)$ denote the set of all optimal A -collections.

Given an A -collection Π , let $B_A(\Pi)$ (or $B(\Pi)$) denote the set of pairs $(v, \omega_G(P))$ where v is the end of a loose path $P \in \Pi$. Note that $|B(\Pi)| = |A| - 2\text{val}_A(\Pi)$. Now let $\mathcal{R}(G, A) = \cup\{B(\Pi) : \Pi \in \mathcal{P}^*(G, A)\}$; the pairs in $\mathcal{R}(G, A)$ are called *reachable pairs*.

For each vertex $v \in V(G)$, we let $\Gamma(G, A, v) = \{\alpha \in \Gamma : (v, \alpha) \in \mathcal{R}(G, A)\}$. Now we let

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(G, A) &= \{v \in V(G) : |\Gamma(G, A, v)| = 1\}, \\ D_2(G, A) &= \{v \in V(G) : |\Gamma(G, A, v)| \geq 2\}, \text{ and} \\ D(G, A) &= D_1(G, A) \cup D_2(G, A); \end{aligned}$$

$D(G, A)$ is the set of *reachable* vertices. Note that $D_1(G, A)$ and $D_2(G, A)$ are not affected by shifting on a vertex $v \notin A$.

For $X \subseteq V(G)$, we let $N_G(X)$ denote the set of vertices in $V(G) - X$ that are adjacent to a vertex in X . To make use of the coming structure theorem, we need the following easy lemma.

Lemma 1.2. *Let G be a Γ -labelled graph and let $A \subseteq V(G)$. Then there exists a Γ -labelled graph G' that is A -equivalent to G and such that:*

- (1) for each $v \in D_1(G', A)$, $\Gamma(G', A, v) = \{0\}$, and

(2) for each $u \in N_{G'}(D(G', A)) - A$, there exists $uv = e \in E(G')$ such that $\omega_{G'}(e, v) \in \Gamma(G', A, v)$.

Proof. Suppose that $v \in D_1(G, A)$ and that $\Gamma(G, A, v) = \{\alpha\}$. If $v \in A$, then $\alpha = 0$. On the other hand, if $v \notin A$ and G' is obtained from G by shifting by $-\alpha$ at v , then $\Gamma(G', A, v) = \{0\}$ and $\Gamma(G', A, y) = \Gamma(G, A, y)$ for all $y \in V(G) - \{v\}$.

Now suppose that $uv = e \in E(G)$ where $u \notin A \cup D(G, A)$ and $v \in D(G, A)$. Let $\alpha \in \Gamma(G, A, v)$ and let G' be the Γ -labelled graph obtained from G by shifting by $\omega_G(e, v) - \alpha$ at u . Then $\omega_{G'}(e, v) = \alpha$ and $\Gamma(G', A, y) = \Gamma(G, A, y)$ for all $y \in V(G)$. ■

We can now state our structure theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let Γ be a group, let G be a Γ -labelled graph, and let $A \subseteq V(G)$. Now let $A' = A \cup N_G(D(G, A)) \cup D_1(G, A)$ and let $X = N_{G-E_0(G, A')}(D(G, A))$. If (G, A) satisfies:*

- (1) for each $v \in D_1(G, A)$, $\Gamma(G, A, v) = \{0\}$, and
- (2) for each $u \in N_G(D(G, A)) - A$, there exists $uv = e \in E(G)$ such that $\omega_G(e, v) \in \Gamma(G, A, v)$,

then $\text{def}(G, A) = \text{odd}(G - X, A' - X) - |A' - A| - |X|$.

2. Proof of the structure theorem

In this section we outline a proof of the structure theorem; this outline is intended to motivate the main steps in the algorithm. Throughout the rest of the paper we let Γ be a group, we let G be a group labelled graph, and we let $A \subseteq V(G)$.

It is an easy but important observation that the sets $D_1(G, A)$, $D_2(G, A)$, and $\Gamma(G, A, v)$ are determined by $\mathcal{R}(G, A)$. This allows us to prove [Theorem 1.3](#) inductively by changing G and A in ways that do not effect $\mathcal{R}(G, A)$. We begin with two easy observations:

2.1. *If $u \in A - D(G, A)$, then $\nu(G - u, A - \{u\}) = \nu(G, A) - 1$ and $\mathcal{R}(G, A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(G - u, A - \{u\})$.*

2.2. *If $u \in V(G) - A$ and $\Gamma(G, A, u) \subseteq \{0\}$, then $\nu(G, A \cup \{u\}) = \nu(G, A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(G, A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(G, A \cup \{u\})$.*

In the next two results we provide additional hypotheses to [2.1](#) and [2.2](#) so that the above inclusions hold with equality. We will not prove these lemmas now since they follow immediately from more general results ([Lemmas 4.3](#) and [4.4](#)) proved later.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $u \in A - D(G, A)$. If there exists $uv = e \in E(G)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(G, A, v)$ such that $\omega_G(e, u) \neq -\alpha$, then $\nu(G - u, A - \{u\}) = \nu(G, A) - 1$ and $\mathcal{R}(G, A) = \mathcal{R}(G - u, A - \{u\})$.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let $u \in V(G) - A$ where $\Gamma(G, A, u) \subseteq \{0\}$. If there exists $uv = e \in E(G)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(G, A, v)$ such that $\omega_G(e, u) = -\alpha$, then $\nu(G, A \cup \{u\}) = \nu(G, A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(G, A) = \mathcal{R}(G, A \cup \{u\})$.*

With the main ingredients in place, we can begin the proof of the structure theorem. Suppose that:

- (1) for each $v \in D_1(G, A)$, $\Gamma(G, A, v) = \{0\}$, and
- (2) for each $u \in N_G(D(G, A)) - A$, there exists $uv = e \in E(G)$ such that $\omega_G(e, v) \in \Gamma(G, A, v)$.

Now let $A' = A \cup N_G(D(G, A)) \cup D_1(G, A)$ and $X = N_{G-E_0(G, A')}(D(G, A))$.

Lemma 2.5. *$\nu(G, A) = \nu(G - X, A' - X) + |X|$ and $\mathcal{R}(G, A) = \mathcal{R}(G - X, A' - X)$. Hence $\text{def}(G, A) = \text{def}(G - X, A' - X) - |A' - A| - |X|$.*

Proof. Let $A'' = A \cup N_G(D(G, A))$. First we consider $u \in A'' - A$. By (2), there exists $uv = e \in E(G)$ such that $\omega_G(e, v) \in \Gamma(G, A, v)$. Then, by Lemma 2.4, $\nu(G, A \cup \{u\}) = \nu(G, A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(G, A) = \mathcal{R}(G, A \cup \{u\})$. Hence $D(G, A) = D(G, A \cup \{u\})$ and $A'' = A \cup N_G(D(G, A)) = A \cup N_G(D(G, A \cup \{u\}))$. Inductively we conclude that $\nu(G, A'') = \nu(G, A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(G, A'') = \mathcal{R}(G, A)$.

Now consider $u \in D_1(G, A) - A = A' - A''$. By (1), we have $\Gamma(G, A, u) = \{0\}$ and, hence, $\Gamma(G, A'', u) = \{0\}$. Thus there exists $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}^*(G, A'')$ such that $(u, 0) \in B(\Pi)$. Let $P \in \Pi$ be the path ending at u , let v be the vertex preceding u on P , let P_v be the initial subpath of P ending at v , and let $e = uv$. Note that $\omega_G(P_v) - \omega_G(e, v) = \omega_G(P) = 0$. Thus $\omega_G(P_v) = \omega_G(e, v)$. Let $\Pi_v = (\Pi - \{P\}) \cup \{P_v\}$. Now Π_v is an optimal A'' -collection with $(v, \omega_G(P_v)) \in B(\Pi_v)$. Therefore $\omega_G(e, v) \in \Gamma(G, A, v)$. Hence, by Lemma 2.4, $\nu(G, A'' \cup \{u\}) = \nu(G, A'') = \nu(G, A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(G, A'' \cup \{u\}) = \mathcal{R}(G, A'') = \mathcal{R}(G, A)$. Inductively this proves that $\nu(G, A) = \nu(G, A')$ and $\mathcal{R}(G, A) = \mathcal{R}(G, A')$.

Note that $X \subseteq A'$. Now consider $u \in X$. By the definition of X , there exists $uv = e \in E(G) - E_0(G, A')$ where $v \in D(G, A')$. We claim that there exists $\alpha \in \Gamma(G, A', v)$ such that $\omega_G(e, v) \neq \alpha$; for this it suffices to consider $v \in D_1(G, A)$. In this case $\Gamma(G, A', v) = \{0\}$ and, since $u, v \in A'$, $\omega_G(e, v) \neq 0$, as required. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, $\nu(G - u, A' - \{u\}) = \nu(G, A') - 1$ and $\mathcal{R}(G, A') = \mathcal{R}(G - u, A' - \{u\})$. Inductively it follows that $\nu(G, A) = \nu(G - X, A' - X) + |X|$ and $\mathcal{R}(G, A) = \mathcal{R}(G - X, A' - X)$, as required. ■

We need one more definition. A *critical pair* (G, A) consists of a Γ -labelled graph G and a set $A \subseteq V(G)$ such that G is connected, $D_1(G, A) = A$, $D_2(G, A) = V(G) - A$, and $E_0(G, A) = \emptyset$.

Now let $A_1 = A' - X$ and $G_1 = G - X$. The next lemma follows from the definition of G_1 and A_1 .

Lemma 2.6. *For each component H of $G_1 - E_0(G_1, A_1)$, either $\text{def}(H, V(H) \cap A_1) = 0$ or $(H, V(H) \cap A_1)$ is critical.*

Proof. Let $G_2 = G_1 - E_0(G_1, A_1)$. By Lemma 2.5, $\mathcal{R}(G_1, A_1) = \mathcal{R}(G, A)$ and, hence, $\mathcal{R}(G_2, A_1) = \mathcal{R}(G, A)$. Moreover $\mathcal{R}(G_2, A_1)$ is the union of the sets $\mathcal{R}(H, A_1 \cap V(H))$ taken over all components H of G_2 . Note that, if $uv = e \in E(G)$ with $u \in V(G) - D(G, A)$ and $v \in D(G, A)$, then either $u \in X$ or $e \in E_0(G, A')$. Thus, if H is a component of G_2 , then either $V(H) \subseteq D(G_2, A_1)$ or $V(H) \cap D(G_2, A_1) = \emptyset$. If $V(H) \cap D(G_2, A_1) = \emptyset$, then $\text{def}(H, V(H) \cap A_1) = 0$. Thus we may assume that $V(H) \subseteq D(G_2, A_1)$. Since H is a component of G_2 , $D_1(H, V(H) \cap A_1) = D_1(G_2, A_1) \cap V(H)$ and $D_2(H, V(H) \cap A_1) = D_2(G_2, A_1) \cap V(H)$. By the definition of A' , a vertex $v \in D(G_2, A_1)$ is in $D_1(G_2, A_1)$ if and only if $v \in A_1$. Hence $(H, V(H) \cap A_1)$ is critical, as required. ■

The final lemma was proved in [1]; we prove a more general lemma later (see 4.5).

Lemma 2.7. *If (G, A) is a critical pair, then $\text{def}(G, A) = 1$ and, hence, $|A|$ is odd.*

It follows from Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7 that $\text{def}(G_1, A_1) = \text{odd}(G_1, A_1)$. Therefore

$$\text{def}(G, A) = \text{odd}(G - X, A' - X) - |A' - A| - |X|.$$

This completes the proof of the structure theorem.

3. The exchange property

Chudnovsky *et al.* [1] proved that $\{B(\Pi) : \Pi \in \mathcal{P}^*(G, A)\}$ is the set of bases of a matroid. The following lemma extends that result by providing an exchange property on all A -collections. The proof is essentially the same as the proof given in [1]. (For sets A and B , we let $A \Delta B = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$.)

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Pi_1, \Pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ and let $p_1 \in B(\Pi_1) - B(\Pi_2)$. Then there exists $\Pi'_1 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ and $p_2 \in B(\Pi_1) \cup B(\Pi_2)$ such that $B(\Pi'_1) = B(\Pi_1) \Delta \{p_1, p_2\}$. Moreover, given Π_1, Π_2 , and p_1 , we can find Π'_1 and p_2 in $O(|V(G)|^2)$ time.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B(\Pi) : \Pi \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)\}$. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that there exist

3.1.1. $\Pi_1, \Pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ and $p_1 = (u, \alpha) \in B(\Pi_1) - B(\Pi_2)$ such that $B(\Pi_1) \Delta \{p_1, p_2\} \notin \mathcal{B}$ for each $p_2 \in B(\Pi_1) \cup B(\Pi_2)$.

Given an A -collection Π , we let $E(\Pi)$ denote the union of the edge sets $(E(P) : P \in \Pi)$.

3.1.2. We choose Π_1, Π_2 , and $p_1 = (u, \alpha)$ satisfying 3.1.1 with $|E(\Pi_1) \cup E(\Pi_2)|$ as small as possible.

We use the following claim repeatedly.

3.1.3. There do not exist $\Pi'_1 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ and $p_2 \in V(G) \times \Gamma$ such that $B(\Pi'_1) = B(\Pi_1) \Delta \{p_1, p_2\}$ and $|E(\Pi'_1) \cup E(\Pi_2)| < |E(\Pi_1) \cup E(\Pi_2)|$.

Proof of claim. Suppose otherwise. By 3.1.1, $p_2 \notin B(\Pi_1) \cup B(\Pi_2)$. However, $|E(\Pi'_1) - E(\Pi_2)| < |E(\Pi_1) - E(\Pi_2)|$. So, by 3.1.2, Π'_1, Π_2 , and p_2 do not satisfy 3.1.1. That is, there exists an element $p_3 \in B(\Pi_2) - B(\Pi'_1)$ such that $B(\Pi'_1) \Delta \{p_2, p_3\} \in \mathcal{B}$. However, $B(\Pi_1) \Delta \{p_1, p_3\} = B(\Pi'_1) \Delta \{p_2, p_3\} \in \mathcal{B}$, contradicting 3.1.1. ■

Let $p_1 = (u, \alpha)$ and let $P = (v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, e_k, v_k)$ be the path in Π_1 ending at u ; thus P is loose. By possibly reversing the order, we may assume that there is a path $P' = (v'_0, e'_1, v'_1, \dots, e'_l, v'_l)$ in Π_2 that starts at v_0 . Suppose that P is not contained in P' . Now let Π'_1 be the A -collection obtained from Π_1 by replacing P with the trivial path (v_0) . Note that $B(\Pi'_1) = B(\Pi_1) \Delta \{p_1, (v_0, 0)\}$ and $|E(\Pi'_1) \cup E(\Pi_2)| < |E(\Pi_1) \cup E(\Pi_2)|$, contradicting 3.1.3. Hence P is contained in P' .

Suppose that P' is disjoint from each path in $\Pi_1 - \{P\}$ and let Π'_1 be obtained from Π_1 by replacing P with P' . Note that $B(\Pi'_1) = B(\Pi_1) \Delta \{p_1, (v'_l, \omega_G(P'))\}$ and $(v'_l, \omega_G(P')) \in B(\Pi_2)$, contradicting 3.1.1. Therefore there is some vertex that is both on P' and on a path in Π_1 other than P ; let v'_i be the first such vertex on P' and let $Q = (u_0, f_1, u_1, \dots, f_m, u_m)$ be the path of Π_1 containing v'_i . Suppose that $u_j = v'_i$.

For a walk $W = (x_0, f_1, x_1, \dots, f_p, x_p)$ and $0 \leq a \leq b \leq p$ we denote the walks $(x_a, f_{a+1}, x_{a+1}, \dots, f_b, x_b)$ and $(x_b, f_b, x_{b-1}, \dots, f_{a+1}, x_a)$ by $W[x_a, x_b]$ and $W[x_b, x_a]$ respectively.

We consider two cases.

Case 1. Q is a loose path.

Let P_1 be the A -path obtained by concatenating $P'[v'_0, \dots, v'_i]$ with $Q[u_j, \dots, u_0]$ and let P_2 be the path obtained by concatenating $P'[v'_0, \dots, v'_i]$ with $Q[u_j, \dots, u_m]$.

Case 1.1. $\omega_G(P_1) \neq 0$.

Let $\Pi'_1 = (\Pi_1 - \{P, Q\}) \cup P_1$. Note that $B(\Pi'_1) = B(\Pi_1) - \{p_1, (u_m, \omega_G(Q))\}$ and $(u_m, \omega_G(Q)) \in B(\Pi_1)$, contradicting 3.1.1.

Case 1.2. $\omega_G(P_1) = 0$.

Thus $\omega(P'[v'_0, v'_i]) = \omega(Q[u_0, u_j])$ and, hence, $\omega(P_2) = \omega(Q)$. Now let Π'_1 be the A -collection obtained from Π_1 by replacing P and Q with P_2 and the trivial path (u_0) . Note that $B(\Pi'_1) = (B(\Pi_1) - \{p_1\}) \cup \{(u_0, 0)\}$. Moreover, since $\omega_G(P_1) = 0$, $P_1 \neq P'$. Thus there is an edge of $Q[u_0, u_j]$ that is not in $E(\Pi_2)$. So, $|E(\Pi'_1) \cup E(\Pi_2)| < |E(\Pi_1) \cup E(\Pi_2)|$, contradicting 3.1.3.

Case 2. Q is an A -path.

Let P_1 and P_2 be the A -paths in $G[E(P') \cup E(Q)]$ that both start at v_0 and that end with u_0 and u_m respectively. Note that $\omega(P_1) + \omega(Q) - \omega(P_2) = 0$ and $\omega(Q) \neq 0$, so either $\omega(P_1) \neq 0$ or $\omega(P_2) \neq 0$. Moreover, either P' is loose (and hence different from P_1 and P_2) or $\omega(P') \neq 0$. Thus either $\omega(P_1) \neq 0$ and $P_2 \neq P'$ or $\omega(P_2) \neq 0$ and $P_1 \neq P'$. By possibly swapping P_1 and P_2 and reversing Q , we may assume that $\omega(P_2) \neq 0$ and $P_1 \neq P'$. Let Π'_1 be the A -collection obtained from Π_1 by replacing P and Q with P_2 and the trivial path (u_0) . Note that $B(\Pi'_1) = (B(\Pi_1) - \{p_1\}) \cup \{(u_0, 0)\}$. Moreover, since $P_1 \neq P'$ there is an edge of $Q[u_0, u_j]$ that is not in $E(\Pi'_1) \cup E(\Pi_2)$. Thus $|E(\Pi'_1) \cup E(\Pi_2)| < |E(\Pi_1) \cup E(\Pi_2)|$, contradicting 3.1.3. This final contradiction completes the proof.

The above proof can easily be made algorithmic with the stated running time. ■

We now prove a useful application of the exchange property.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Pi_1, \Pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ and let $B_1 \subseteq B_A(\Pi_1)$. Then there exists $\Pi_3 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that either:*

- (1) $\text{val}(\Pi_3) = \text{val}(\Pi_1)$ and $B_1 \subseteq B(\Pi_3)$ and $B(\Pi_3) - B_1 \subseteq B(\Pi_2)$, or
- (2) $\text{val}(\Pi_3) = \text{val}(\Pi_1) + 1$ and $|B(\Pi_3) \cap B_1| \geq |B_1| - 1$.

Moreover, we can find such Π_3 in $O(|V(G)|^3)$ time.

Proof. We assume that:

3.2.1. *Among all $\Pi'_1 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ with $B_1 \subseteq B(\Pi'_1)$ and $\text{val}(\Pi'_1) = \text{val}(\Pi_1)$ we choose Π'_1 minimizing $|B(\Pi'_1) - B(\Pi_2)|$.*

We may assume that there exists $p_1 \in B(\Pi'_1) - (B_1 \cup B(\Pi_2))$, since otherwise $\Pi_3 := \Pi'_1$ satisfies (1). By the exchange property, there exists $\Pi_3 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ and $p_2 \in B(\Pi'_1) \cup B(\Pi_2)$ such that $B(\Pi_3) = B(\Pi'_1) \Delta \{p_1, p_2\}$.

Case 1. $p_2 \in B(\Pi'_1)$.

Thus $\text{val}(\Pi_3) = \text{val}(\Pi'_1) + 1$ and $|B(\Pi_3) \cap B_1| \geq |B_1| - 1$, satisfying (2).

Case 2. $p_2 \in B(\Pi_2) - B(\Pi'_1)$.

Thus $\text{val}(\Pi_3) = \text{val}(\Pi'_1)$, $B_1 \subseteq B(\Pi_3)$, and $|B(\Pi_3) - B(\Pi_2)| < |B(\Pi'_1) - B(\Pi_2)|$, contradicting 3.2.1.

That completes the proof; this proof can clearly be made algorithmic with the stated running time. ■

The following two lemmas are consequences of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\Pi_1, \Pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ with $\text{val}(\Pi_2) = \text{val}(\Pi_1) + 1$, let $uv = e \in E(G)$, let (u, α) and p be distinct elements of $B(\Pi_1)$, and let $(v, \beta) \in B(\Pi_2)$ where $\alpha + \omega_G(e, v) - \beta \neq 0$. Then there exists $\Pi_3 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that $\text{val}(\Pi_3) = \text{val}(\Pi_2)$ and either $(u, \alpha) \in B(\Pi_3)$ or $p \in B(\Pi_3)$. Moreover, we can find such Π_3 in $O(|V(G)|^3)$ time.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 with $B_1 = \{p, (u, \alpha)\}$, we get one of the following two cases.

Case 1. *There exists $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that $\text{val}(\Pi) = \text{val}(\Pi_1)$ and $B_1 \subseteq B(\Pi)$ and $B(\Pi) - B_1 \subseteq B(\Pi_2)$.*

Since $|B(\Pi)| = 2\text{val}(\Pi) = 2\text{val}(\Pi_2) + 2 = |B(\Pi_2)| + |B_1|$ and $B(\Pi) - B_1 \subseteq B(\Pi_2)$, we have $B(\Pi_2) \subseteq B(\Pi)$. Thus $p, (u, \alpha), (v, \beta) \in B(\Pi)$. Let P_u and P_v be the loose paths in Π ending at u and v respectively. Now let $P = (P_u, e, \bar{P}_v)$, where \bar{P}_v denotes the reverse of the path P_v . Note that P is an A -path and $\omega_G(P) = \alpha + \omega_G(e, v) - \beta \neq 0$. Now let $\Pi_3 = (\Pi - \{P_u, P_v\}) \cup \{P\}$. Note that $\text{val}_A(\Pi_3) = \text{val}(\Pi_2)$ and $p \in B(\Pi_3)$, as required.

Case 2. *There exists $\Pi_3 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that $\text{val}(\Pi_3) = \text{val}(\Pi_2)$ and $|B(\Pi_3) \cap B_1| \geq |B_1| - 1$.*

Thus either $(u, \alpha) \in B(\Pi_3)$ or $p \in B(\Pi_3)$, as required.

This proof is clearly constructive with the stated running time. ■

The next lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.2; we omit the easy proof.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\Pi_1, \Pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ with $\text{val}(\Pi_2) = \text{val}(\Pi_1)$, let $p_1 \in B(\Pi_1)$, and let p_2 and p_3 be distinct elements of $B(\Pi_2)$. Then there exists $\Pi_3 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that either:*

- (1) $\text{val}(\Pi_3) = \text{val}(\Pi_1)$, $p_1 \in B(\Pi_3)$, and either $p_2 \in B(\Pi_3)$ or $p_3 \in B(\Pi_3)$, or
- (2) $\text{val}(\Pi_3) = \text{val}(\Pi_1) + 1$.

Moreover, we can find such Π_3 in $O(|V(G)|^3)$ time.

4. Key lemmas

In this section we prove constructive analogues of some of the lemmas in [Section 2](#).

Throughout this section we let G be a Γ -labelled graph, $A \subseteq V(G)$, and $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G, A)$. We use the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\mathcal{P}, A) &= \max(\text{val}_A(\Pi) : \Pi \in \mathcal{P}), \\ \text{def}(\mathcal{P}, A) &= |A| - 2\nu(\mathcal{P}, A), \\ \mathcal{P}^* &= \{\Pi \in \mathcal{P} : \text{val}_A(\Pi) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}, A) &= \cup(B_A(\Pi) : \Pi \in \mathcal{P}^*). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each $v \in V(G)$, we let

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{P}, A, v) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : (v, \gamma) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}, A)\}.$$

In addition, we define:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(\mathcal{P}, A) &= \{v \in V(G) : |\Gamma(\mathcal{P}, A, v)| = 1\}, \\ D_2(\mathcal{P}, A) &= \{v \in V(G) : |\Gamma(\mathcal{P}, A, v)| > 1\}, \text{ and} \\ D(\mathcal{P}, A) &= D_1(\mathcal{P}, A) \cup D_2(\mathcal{P}, A). \end{aligned}$$

We begin with some easy observations relating to [2.1](#) and [2.2](#):

4.1. *Let $u \in A - D(\mathcal{P}, A)$. If there exists $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(G - u, A - \{u\})$ such that $\text{val}_{A - \{u\}}(\Pi) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$, then there exists $\Pi' \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that $(u, 0) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P} \cup \{\Pi'\}, A)$.*

4.2. *Let $u \in V(G) - A$ with $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}, A, u) \subseteq \{0\}$. If there exists $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(G, A \cup \{u\})$ such that $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A) + 1$, then there exists $\Pi' \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that either $\text{val}_A(\Pi') > \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ or $\text{val}_A(\Pi') = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and there exists $\alpha \in \Gamma - \{0\}$ such that $(u, \alpha) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P} \cup \{\Pi'\}, A)$.*

The next result generalizes [Lemma 2.3](#).

Lemma 4.3. *Let $u \in A - D(\mathcal{P}, A)$, $uv = e \in E(G)$, and $\alpha \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P}, A, v)$ such that $\omega_G(e, u) \neq -\alpha$. If $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(G - u, A - \{u\})$ with $\text{val}_{A - \{u\}}(\Pi) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A) - 1$ and $p \in B_{A - \{u\}}(\Pi) - \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}, A)$, then there exists $\Pi' \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that $\text{val}_A(\Pi') = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and either $(u, \alpha + \omega_G(e, u)) \in B(\Pi')$ or $p \in B(\Pi')$. Moreover, if $|\mathcal{P}| \leq 2|V(G)|$, then we can find such Π' in $O(|V(G)|^3)$ time.*

Proof. Let Π_1 be the A -collection obtained by adding the trivial path (u) to Π . Note that $\text{val}_A(\Pi_1) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A) - 1$ and $p, (u, 0) \in B_A(\Pi_1)$. Let $\Pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}^*$ with $(v, \alpha) \in B_A(\Pi_2)$. Now $\text{val}_A(\Pi_2) = \text{val}_A(\Pi_1) + 1$. Therefore, by [Lemma 3.3](#), we find $\Pi' \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ with $\text{val}_A(\Pi') = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and either $(u, 0) \in B_A(\Pi')$ or $p \in B_A(\Pi')$. Now Π' satisfies the lemma.

This proof is clearly constructive with the stated running time. ■

The next result generalizes [Lemma 2.4](#).

Lemma 4.4. *Let $u \in V(G) - A$ with $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}, A, u) \subseteq \{0\}$, let $uv = e \in E(G)$ with $\omega_G(e, v) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P}, A, v)$. If $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(G, A \cup \{u\})$ with $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and $p \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi) - \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}, A)$, then there exists $\Pi' \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that either $\text{val}_A(\Pi') > \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ or $\text{val}_A(\Pi') = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and either $p \in B(\Pi')$ or there exists $(u, \alpha) \in B(\Pi')$ with $\alpha \neq 0$. Moreover, if $|\mathcal{P}| \leq 2|V(G)|$, then we can find such Π' in $O(|V(G)|^3)$ time.*

Proof. Note that, if $v \in A$, then, since $\omega_G(e, v) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P}, A, v)$, we have $\omega_G(e, v) = 0$. On the other hand, if $v \notin A$, then, by possibly shifting, we may assume that $\omega_G(e, v) = 0$. Let $p = (w, \delta)$. We break the proof into the following cases.

Case 1. *There exists $\Pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A \cup \{u\})$ with $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_1) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and $p \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_1)$, such that u is not the start of the loose path in Π_1 containing w .*

There is a path $P \in \Pi_1$ whose start or end is u . Suppose that P is a loose path with respect to $A \cup \{u\}$; thus u is the start of P and P does not contain w . Then $\Pi' := \Pi_1 - \{P\}$ satisfies the lemma. Therefore we may assume that P is an $A \cup \{u\}$ -path; furthermore, by possibly reversing P , we may assume that u is the end of P . Let $\alpha = \omega_G(P)$. Since P is an $A \cup \{u\}$ -path in Π_1 , we have $\alpha \neq 0$. Now note that $\Pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$, $\text{val}_A(\Pi_1) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A) - 1$, and $p, (u, \alpha) \in B_A(\Pi_1)$. Let $\Pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}^*$ with $(v, 0) \in B_A(\Pi_2)$. Applying [Lemma 3.3](#) to Π_1 and Π_2 we find $\Pi' \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ with $\text{val}_A(\Pi') = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and either $p \in B_A(\Pi')$ or $(u, \alpha) \in B_A(\Pi')$, as required by the lemma.

Case 2. *There exists $\Pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A \cup \{u\})$ with $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A) + 1$.*

There is a path $P \in \Pi_1$ whose start or end is u . If P is a loose path, then $\Pi' := \Pi_1 - \{P\}$ satisfies the lemma. Therefore we may assume that P is an $A \cup \{u\}$ -path; furthermore, by possibly reversing P , we may assume that u is the end of P . Let $\alpha = \omega_G(P)$. Since P is an $A \cup \{u\}$ -path in Π_1 , we have $\alpha \neq 0$. Now note that Π_1 is an A -collection, $\text{val}_A(\Pi_1) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$, and $(u, \alpha) \in B_A(\Pi_1)$. Thus $\Pi' := \Pi_1$ satisfies the lemma.

Case 3. *There exists $\Pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A \cup \{u\})$ with $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_1) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and there exists $(z, \beta) \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_1) - \{(w, \delta)\}$ with $zu = f \in E(G)$.*

Let $P \in \Pi_1$ be the path ending at w . We may assume that u is the start of P , since otherwise we reduce to [Case 1](#). Let $P_z \in \Pi_1$ be the path ending at z , let $P_u = (P_z, f, u)$, and let $P_w = (P_z, f, P)$. Let $\alpha = \omega_G(P_u)$. Note that $\omega_G(P_w) = \alpha + \delta$, so either $\alpha \neq 0$ or $\omega_G(P_w) = \delta$. Suppose that $\alpha \neq 0$. Let $\Pi' = (\Pi_1 - \{P, P_z\}) \cup \{P_u\}$. Note that $\text{val}_A(\Pi') = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and $(u, \alpha) \in B_A(\Pi')$, as required. Now suppose that $\omega_G(P_w) = \delta$. Let $\Pi' = (\Pi_1 - \{P, P_z\}) \cup \{P_w\}$. Note that $\text{val}_A(\Pi') = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and $(w, \delta) \in B_A(\Pi')$, as required.

Case 4. *There exists $\Pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A \cup \{u\})$ such that $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_2) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and $(z, \beta), (v, 0) \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_2)$ where $zu = f \in E(G)$ and $(z, \beta) \notin \{(w, \delta), (v, 0)\}$.*

Note that, since $(v, 0) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}, A)$, we have $(v, 0) \neq (w, \delta)$. Recall that $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(G, A \cup \{u\})$, $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$, and $(w, \delta) \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi)$. Applying [Lemma 3.4](#) to $\Pi_1 := \Pi$ and Π_2 , we find $\Pi_3 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that either $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3) > \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$, or $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and either $(v, 0), (w, \delta) \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3)$ or $(z, \beta), (w, \delta) \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3)$. The case that $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3) > \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ reduces to [Case 2](#) and the case that $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and either $(v, 0), (w, \delta) \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3)$ or $(z, \beta), (w, \delta) \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3)$ reduces to [Case 3](#).

Case 5. *There exists $\Pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A \cup \{u\})$ such that $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_2) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and $(u, 0), (v, 0) \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_2)$.*

Note that, since $(v, 0) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}, A)$, we have $(v, 0) \neq (w, \delta)$. Recall that $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(G, A \cup \{u\})$, $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$, and $(w, \delta) \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi)$. Applying [Lemma 3.4](#) to $\Pi_1 := \Pi$ and Π_2 , we find $\Pi_3 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that either $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3) > \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$, or $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and either $(u, 0), (w, \delta) \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3)$ or $(v, 0), (w, \delta) \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3)$. The case that $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3) > \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ reduces to [Case 2](#); the case that $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and $(v, 0), (w, \delta) \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3)$ reduces to [Case 3](#); and the case that $\text{val}_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3) = \nu(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and $(u, 0), (w, \delta) \in B_{A \cup \{u\}}(\Pi_3)$ reduces to [Case 1](#).

Let $\Pi_v \in \mathcal{P}^*$ with $(v, 0) \in B_A(\Pi_v)$. We may assume that there is a path $P \in \Pi_v$ that contains u , since otherwise $\Pi_2 := \Pi_v \cup \{u\}$ meets the criteria of [Case 5](#).

Case 6. *P is a loose path with respect to A .*

For any $y \in V(P)$, we let P_y denote the initial segment of P ending at y . We may assume that $\omega_G(P_u) = 0$, since otherwise $\Pi' := (\Pi_v - \{P\}) \cup \{P_u\}$ satisfies the lemma. Now we may assume that v is the end of P , since otherwise $\Pi_2 := (\Pi_v - \{P\}) \cup \{P_u\}$ meets the criteria of [Case 5](#). Now let z be the vertex preceding u on P and let P' be the subpath of P starting at u and ending at v . Let $\beta = \omega_G(P_z)$. We may assume that $(z, \beta) \neq (w, \delta)$, since

otherwise $\Pi' := (\Pi_v - \{P\}) \cup \{P_z\}$ satisfies the lemma. Finally, we see that $\Pi_2 := (\Pi_v - \{P\}) \cup \{P_z, P'\}$ meets the criteria of [Case 4](#).

Case 7. P is an A -path.

For any $y \in V(P)$, we let P_y denote the initial segment of P ending at y . Note that, by possibly reversing the direction of P , we may assume that $\omega_G(P_u) \neq 0$; let $\alpha = \omega_G(P_u)$. Let z be the vertex on P immediately following u , let P' denote the subpath of \bar{P} that ends at z , and let $\beta = \omega_G(P')$. We may assume that $(z, \beta) = (w, \delta)$, since otherwise $\Pi_2 := (\Pi_v - \{P\}) \cup \{P_u, P'\}$ meets the criteria of [Case 4](#). Let $Q \in \Pi_v$ be the path ending at v . Let $P'' = (Q, e, \bar{P}_u)$. Note that P'' is an A -path and that $\omega_G(P'') = \omega_G(Q) + \omega_G(e, u) - \omega_G(P_u) = -\alpha \neq 0$. Therefore $\Pi' := (\Pi_v - \{P, Q\}) \cup \{P', P''\}$ satisfies the lemma.

That completes the proof; this proof can easily be made algorithmic with the stated running time. ■

We say that (G, A) is \mathcal{P} -critical if G is connected, $E_0(G, A) = \emptyset$, $D_1(\mathcal{P}, A) = A$, and $D_2(\mathcal{P}, A) = V(G) - A$. The next result generalizes [Lemma 2.7](#).

Lemma 4.5. *If (G, A) is \mathcal{P} -critical and $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}, A) > 1$, then there exists $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that $\text{val}_A(G) = \nu_A(\mathcal{P}) + 1$. Moreover, if $|\mathcal{P}| \leq 2|V(G)|$, then we can find such Π' in $O(|V(G)|^4)$ time.*

Proof. We start by considering an easy case.

Case 1. *There exists $\Pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ with $\text{val}_A(\Pi_1) = \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$ and there exists $(u, \alpha), (v, \beta) \in B_A(\Pi_1)$ where $uv = e \in E(G)$.*

We break this into two further subcases.

Case 1.1. $\alpha + \omega_G(e, v) - \beta \neq 0$.

Let $P_u, P_v \in \Pi_1$ be the paths ending at u and v respectively and let $P = (P_u, e, \bar{P}_v)$. Note that P is an A -path and that $\omega_G(P) = \alpha + \omega_G(e, v) - \beta \neq 0$. Thus $\Pi := (\Pi_1 - \{P_u, P_v\}) \cup \{P\}$ satisfies the lemma.

Case 1.2. $\alpha + \omega_G(e, v) - \beta = 0$.

Note that, since (G, A) is \mathcal{P} -critical, either $u \notin A$ or $v \notin A$. By possibly swapping u and v , we may assume that $v \notin A$. Then, since (G, A) is \mathcal{P} -critical, there exists $\beta' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P}, A, v) - \{\beta\}$. Let $\Pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}^*$ with $(v, \beta') \in B_A(\Pi_2)$. Applying [Lemma 3.4](#) to Π_2 and Π_1 , we find $\Pi_3 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that either $\text{val}_A(\Pi_3) > \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$ or $\text{val}_A(\Pi_3) = \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$ and either $(u, \alpha), (v, \beta') \in B_A(\Pi_3)$ or $(v, \beta), (v, \beta') \in B_A(\Pi_3)$. If $\text{val}_A(\Pi_3) > \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$, then $\Pi := \Pi_3$ satisfies the lemma. Also, note that $B_A(\Pi_3)$ cannot contain both (v, β) and (v, β') . Therefore we may assume that $(u, \alpha), (v, \beta') \in B_A(\Pi_3)$. Now, since $\beta \neq \beta'$, we have $\alpha + \omega_G(e, v) - \beta' \neq \alpha + \omega_G(e, v) - \beta = 0$. Therefore $\Pi_1 := \Pi_3$ satisfies the criterion for [Case 1.1](#).

(*) Among all triples $(\Pi_1, (v_1, \alpha_1), (v_2, \alpha_2))$ where $\Pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$, $\text{val}_A(\Pi_1) = \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$, and $(v_1, \alpha_1), (v_2, \alpha_2) \in B_A(\Pi_1)$ we choose the triple such that the distance between v_1 and v_2 in G is minimum.

In view of [Case 1](#), we may assume that v_1 is not adjacent to v_2 . Let P be a shortest (v_1, v_2) -path and let u be an internal vertex of P . Since (G, A) is \mathcal{P} -critical, there exists $\beta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P}, A, u)$. Let $\Pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}^*$ with $(u, \beta) \in B_A(\Pi_2)$. Applying [Lemma 3.4](#) to Π_2 and Π_1 , we find $\Pi_3 \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that either $\text{val}_A(\Pi_3) > \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$ or $\text{val}_A(\Pi_3) = \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$ and $(u, \beta), (v_i, \alpha_i) \in B_A(\Pi_3)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. If $\text{val}_A(\Pi_3) > \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$, then $\Pi := \Pi_3$ satisfies the lemma. Thus, by symmetry, we may assume that $\text{val}_A(\Pi_3) = \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$ and $(u, \beta), (v_1, \alpha_1) \in B_A(\Pi_3)$. However, since v_1 is closer to u than it is to v_2 , we have a contradiction to (*).

That completes the proof; this proof can easily be made algorithmic with the stated running time. ■

5. The algorithm

Throughout the algorithm we maintain a set $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G, A)$. We are primarily interested in the sets $D_1(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and $D_2(\mathcal{P}, A)$. Therefore, by removing unnecessary A -collections from \mathcal{P} , we keep

$$|\mathcal{P}| \leq |D_1(\mathcal{P}, A)| + 2|D_2(\mathcal{P}, A)| \leq 2|V(G)|.$$

If $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G, A)$, then we say that \mathcal{P}_2 is *richer* than \mathcal{P}_1 , with respect to A , if either $\nu_A(\mathcal{P}_2) > \nu_A(\mathcal{P}_1)$ or $\nu_A(\mathcal{P}_2) = \nu_A(\mathcal{P}_1)$ and $|D_1(\mathcal{P}_2, A)| + 2|D_2(\mathcal{P}_2, A)| > |D_1(\mathcal{P}_1, A)| + 2|D_2(\mathcal{P}_1, A)|$.

By possibly shifting (as we did in [Lemma 1.2](#)), we may assume that (G, A) satisfies:

- (1) for each $v \in D_1(\mathcal{P}, A)$, $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}, A, v) = \{0\}$, and
- (2) for each $u \in N_G(D(\mathcal{P}, A)) - A$, there exists $uv = e \in E(G)$ such that $\omega_G(e, v) \in \Gamma(G, A, v)$.

Now let $A' = A \cup N_G(D(G, A)) \cup D_1(G, A)$ and $X = N_{G-E_0(G, A')}(D(G, A))$.

Optimality condition. *If $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}, A) = \text{odd}(G - X, A' - X) - |A' - A| - |X|$, then the A -collections in \mathcal{P}^* are optimal.*

Proof. Note that $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}, A) \geq \text{def}(G, A) \geq \text{odd}(G - X, A' - X) - |A' - A| - |X|$. Thus, if $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}, A) = \text{odd}(G - X, A' - X) - |A' - A| - |X|$, then $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}, A) = \text{def}(G, A)$ and, hence, each A -collection in \mathcal{P}^* is optimal. ■

In each iteration of the algorithm, if $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}, A) \neq \text{odd}(G - X, A' - X) - |A' - A| - |X|$, then we find an A -collection Π such that $\mathcal{P} \cup \{\Pi\}$ is richer than \mathcal{P} .

Hence in at most $O(|V(G)|^2)$ iterations we will find an optimal A -collection. It remains to show how we find the promised A -collection Π .

We omit the elementary proof of the next lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $A_1, X_1 \subseteq V(G)$ such that $A \cup X_1 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A'$ and $X_1 \subseteq X$. Then, in $O(|V(G)|^3)$ time, we can construct $\mathcal{P}_1 \subset \mathcal{P}(G - X_1, A_1 - X_1)$ such that either $\nu_{A_1}(\mathcal{P}_1) > \nu_A(\mathcal{P}) - |X_1|$ or $\nu_{A_1}(\mathcal{P}_1) = \nu_A(\mathcal{P}) - |X_1|$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}, A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1)$.*

Lemma 5.2. *Let $A'' \subseteq A'$ with $A \subseteq A''$. Suppose that $\Pi' \in \mathcal{P}(G, A'')$ where either*

- (i) $\nu_{A''}(\mathcal{P}') > \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$ or
- (ii) $\nu_{A''}(\mathcal{P}') = \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$ and there exists $(v, \beta) \in B_{A''}(\Pi') - \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}, A)$ where $v \notin D_2(\mathcal{P}, A)$.

Then, in $O(|V(G)|^4)$ time, we can find $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that $\mathcal{P} \cup \{\Pi\}$ is richer than \mathcal{P} .

Proof. The proof is inductive on $|A'' - A|$. If $A'' = A$, then $\Pi := \Pi'$ satisfies the lemma. Thus we may assume that there exists $a \in A'' - A$. Let $A_1 = A'' - \{a\}$. By Lemma 5.1, we can construct $\mathcal{P}_1 \subset \mathcal{P}(G, A_1)$ such that either $\nu_{A_1}(\mathcal{P}_1) > \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$ or $\nu_{A_1}(\mathcal{P}_1) = \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}, A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1)$. Inductively, we may assume that $\nu_{A_1}(\mathcal{P}_1) = \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$, $D_1(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1) = D_1(\mathcal{P}, A)$, and $D_2(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1) = D_2(\mathcal{P}, A)$. Now, by Lemma 4.4, we can construct $\Pi'' \in \mathcal{P}(G, A_1)$ such that $\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \{\Pi''\}$ is richer than \mathcal{P}_1 with respect to A_1 . ■

The next lemma is proved similarly; we leave the details to the reader.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $X' \subseteq X$. Suppose that $\Pi' \in \mathcal{P}(G - X', A' - X')$ where either*

- (i) $\nu_{A' - X'}(\mathcal{P}') > \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$ or
- (ii) $\nu_{A' - X'}(\mathcal{P}') = \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$ and there exists $(v, \beta) \in B_{A' - X'}(\Pi') - \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}, A)$ where $v \notin D_2(\mathcal{P}, A)$.

Then, in $O(|V(G)|^4)$ time, we can find $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that $\mathcal{P} \cup \{\Pi\}$ is richer than \mathcal{P} .

Let $G_1 = G - X$ and let $A_1 = A' - X$. Now, by Lemma 5.1, we can construct $\mathcal{P}_1 \subset \mathcal{P}(G_1, A_1)$ such that either $\nu_{A_1}(\mathcal{P}_1) > \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$ or $\nu_{A_1}(\mathcal{P}_1) = \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}, A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1)$. By Lemma 5.3, we may assume that $\nu_{A_1}(\mathcal{P}_1) = \nu_A(\mathcal{P})$, $D_1(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1) = D_1(\mathcal{P}, A)$, and $D_2(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1) = D_2(\mathcal{P}, A)$. Now let $G_2 = G_1 - E_0(G_1, A_1)$. Note that no A_1 -collection in G_1 uses an edge in $E_0(G_1, A_1)$, so $\mathcal{P}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G_2, A_1)$. Note that, if we can find $\Pi' \in \mathcal{P}(G_2, A_1)$ such that $\text{val}_{A_1}(\Pi') > \nu_{A_1}(\mathcal{P}_1)$, then, by Lemma 5.3, we can construct $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(G, A)$ such that $\mathcal{P} \cup \{\Pi\}$ is richer than \mathcal{P} .

Let H be a component of G_2 , let $A_H = A_1 \cap V(H)$. For each $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(G_2, A_1)$, we let $\Pi|H$ denote the restriction of Π to H and let $\Pi - H$ denote the restriction of Π to $G_2 - H$. Let $\Pi_1, \Pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}_1^*$. Suppose that $\text{val}_{A_H}(\Pi_1|H) > \text{val}_{A_H}(\Pi_2|H)$. Now let $\Pi' = (\Pi_2 - H) \cup (\Pi_1|H)$. Note that $\Pi' \in \mathcal{P}(G_2, A_1)$ and that $\text{val}_{A_1}(\Pi') > \nu_{A_1}(\mathcal{P}_1)$, as required. Therefore we may assume that, for all $\Pi_1, \Pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}_1^*$, we have $\text{val}_{A_H}(\Pi_1|H) > \text{val}_{A_H}(\Pi_2|H)$. Let $\mathcal{P}_H = \{\Pi|H : \Pi \in \mathcal{P}_1^*\}$.

Lemma 5.4. *For each component H of G_2 , either $\text{def}(H, A_H) = 0$ or (H, A_H) is \mathcal{P}_H -critical.*

Proof. Note that, if $uv = e \in E(G)$ with $u \in V(G) - D(\mathcal{P}, A)$ and $v \in D(\mathcal{P}, A)$, then either $u \in X$ or $e \in E_0(G, A')$. Moreover, $D(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1) = D(\mathcal{P}, A)$. Thus, if H is a component of G_2 , then either $V(H) \subseteq D(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1)$ or $V(H) \cap D(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1) = \emptyset$. If $V(H) \cap D(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1) = \emptyset$, then $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}_H, A_H) = 0$. Thus we may assume that $V(H) \subseteq D(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1)$. Note that, since H is a component of G_2 , $D_1(\mathcal{P}_H, A_H) = D_1(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1) \cap V(H)$ and $D_2(\mathcal{P}_H, A_H) = D_2(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1) \cap V(H)$. By the definition of A' , a vertex $v \in D(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1)$ is in $D_1(\mathcal{P}_1, A_1)$ if and only if $v \in A_1$. Hence H is \mathcal{P}_H -critical, as required. ■

Suppose that (H, A_H) is \mathcal{P}_H -critical and that $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}_H, A_H) > 1$. Then, by Lemma 4.5, we can construct $\Pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}(H, A_H)$ such that $\text{val}_{A_H}(\Pi_1) > \nu(\mathcal{P}_H, A_H)$. Now let $\Pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}_1^*$ and let $\Pi' = \Pi_1 \cup (\Pi_2 - H)$. Note that $\Pi' \in \mathcal{P}(G_2, A_1)$ and that $\text{val}_{A_1}(\Pi') > \nu_{A_1}(\mathcal{P}_1)$, as required. Therefore we may assume that: *For each component H of G_2 , we have $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}_H, A_H) \leq 1$.* Thus $\text{def}(G_1, A_1) = \text{odd}(G_1, A_1)$. So, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{def}(\mathcal{P}, A) &= \text{def}(G - X, A' - X) - |A' - A| - |X| \\ &= \text{odd}(G - X, A' - X) - |A' - A| - |X|, \end{aligned}$$

as required. This completes the description and proof of the algorithm.

Let $n = |V(G)|$. The algorithm, as stated, requires $O(n^6)$ time. The complexity in Lemma 3.2 can be improved from $O(n^3)$ to $O(n^2)$, by combining the proofs of Lemma 3.2 and 3.1. This reduces the overall complexity of our algorithm from $O(n^6)$ to $O(n^5)$.

Acknowledgements

We thank Bert Gerards for his contributions towards the formulation and proof of Theorem 1.3. We also thank the anonymous referee for their careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- [1] M. CHUDNOVSKY, J. GELEN, B. GERARDS, L. GODDYN, M. LOHMAN and P. SEYMOUR: Packing non-zero A -paths in group-labelled graphs, *Combinatorica* **26(5)** (2006), 521–532.
- [2] L. LOVÁSZ: Matroid matching and some applications, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **28** (1980), 208–236.
- [3] L. LOVÁSZ and M. D. PLUMMER: *Matching Theory*, North-Holland, 1986.
- [4] W. MADER: Über die Maximalzahl kreuzungsfreier H -Wege, *Archiv der Mathematik (Basel)* **31** (1978), 382–402.
- [5] A. SEBŐ and L. SZEGŐ: The path-packing structure of graphs, in: *Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization (Proceedings 10th IPCO Conference, New York, 2004; D. Bienstock, G. Nemhauser, eds.)* [Lecture Notes in Computer Science **3064**], Springer, Berlin, 2004, pp. 256–270.

Maria Chudnovsky

*Department of Industrial Engineering
and Operations Research
Columbia University
New York, NY 10027
USA*

William H. Cunningham

*Department of Combinatorics and Optimization
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, N2L 3G1
Canada*

Jim Geelen

*Department of Combinatorics and Optimization
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, N2L 3G1
Canada*