

# Introduction to Quantum Information Processing

QIC 710 / CS 768 / PH 767 / CO 681 / AM 871

## Lecture 13 (2017)

**Jon Yard**

QNC 3126

[jyard@uwaterloo.ca](mailto:jyard@uwaterloo.ca)

<http://math.uwaterloo.ca/~jyard/qic710>

# The magic square game

# Magic square game

**Problem:** fill in the matrix with bits such that each row has even parity and each column has odd parity

$a_{11}$	$a_{12}$	$a_{13}$	even
$a_{21}$	$a_{22}$	$a_{23}$	even
$a_{31}$	$a_{32}$	$a_{33}$	even
odd	odd	odd	

**IMPOSSIBLE**

		orange
teal	teal	purple
		orange

**Game:** ask Alice to fill in one row and Bob to fill in one column

They **win** iff parities are correct and bits agree at intersection

**Success probabilities:** 8/9 classical and 1 quantum

# Distance measures for quantum states

# Distance measures

Some simple (and often useful) measures:

- **Euclidean distance:**  $\| |\phi\rangle - |\psi\rangle \|_2$
- **Fidelity:**  $|\langle \phi | \psi \rangle|$

Small Euclidean distance implies “closeness” but large Euclidean distance need not imply “far away” (for example,  $|\psi\rangle$  vs  $-|\psi\rangle$ )

Not so clear how to extend these for mixed states ...

... though fidelity does generalize, to  $F(\rho, \sigma) = \text{Tr} \sqrt{\rho^{1/2} \sigma \rho^{1/2}}$

# Trace norm – preliminaries (1)

For a normal matrix  $M$  and a function  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we define the matrix  $f(M)$  as follows:

Write  $M = U^\dagger D U$ , where  $D$  is diagonal (we can do this because normal matrices are unitarily diagonalizable).

Now, define  $f(M) = U^\dagger f(D) U$ , where

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_d \end{pmatrix} \quad f(D) = \begin{pmatrix} f(\lambda_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & f(\lambda_2) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & f(\lambda_d) \end{pmatrix}$$

# Trace norm – preliminaries (2)

For a normal matrix  $M = U^\dagger D U$ , define  $|M|$  in terms of replacing  $D$  with

$$|D| = \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda_1| & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & |\lambda_2| & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & |\lambda_d| \end{pmatrix}$$

More generally, can define  $|M| = \sqrt{M^\dagger M}$  for **all** matrices  $M$  (not necessarily normal ones), since  $M^\dagger M$  is positive semidefinite.

# Trace norm/distance – definition

The **trace norm** of  $M$  is  $\|M\|_{\text{tr}} = \|M\|_1 = \text{Tr}|M| = \text{Tr}\sqrt{M^\dagger M}$ .

Intuitively, it's the 1-norm of the eigenvalues (or, in the non-normal case, the *singular values*) of  $M$

The **trace distance** between  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  is defined as  $\|\rho - \sigma\|_1$ .

Why is this a meaningful distance measure between quantum states?

**Theorem:** for any two quantum states  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$ , the **optimal** measurement procedure for distinguishing between them succeeds with probability  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|\rho - \sigma\|_1$



# Distinguishing between two arbitrary quantum states

# Holevo-Helstrom Theorem (1)

**Theorem:** for any two quantum states  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$ , the optimal measurement procedure for distinguishing between them succeeds with probability  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|\rho - \sigma\|_1$  (equal prior probs.)

**Proof\* (the attainability part):**

Since  $\rho - \sigma$  is Hermitian, its eigenvalues are real

Let  $\Pi_+$  be the projector onto the positive eigenspaces

Let  $\Pi_-$  be the projector onto the non-positive eigenspaces

Take the POVM measurement specified by  $\Pi_+$  and  $\Pi_-$  with the associations  $+$   $\equiv$   $\rho$  and  $-$   $\equiv$   $\sigma$

\* The other direction of the theorem (optimality) is omitted here

# Holevo-Helstrom Theorem (2)

**Claim:** this succeeds with probability  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \|\rho - \sigma\|_1$

**Proof of Claim:**

A key observation is  $\text{Tr}(\Pi_+ - \Pi_-)(\rho - \sigma) = \|\rho - \sigma\|_1$

The success probability is  $p_s = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Pi_+ \rho) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Pi_- \sigma)$ .

& the failure probability is  $p_f = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Pi_+ \sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Pi_- \rho)$ .

Therefore,  $p_s - p_f = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Pi_+ - \Pi_-)(\rho - \sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \|\rho - \sigma\|_1$ .

From this, the result follows  $\blacksquare$

# Purifications & Uhlmann's Theorem

Any density matrix  $\rho$  can be obtained by tracing out part of some larger **pure** state:

$$\rho = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j| = \text{Tr}_2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \sqrt{\lambda_j} |\phi_j\rangle|j\rangle \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \sqrt{\lambda_j} \langle\phi_j|\langle j| \right)$$

**a purification** of  $\rho$

**Uhlmann's Theorem\***: The **fidelity** between  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  is the maximum of  $|\langle\phi|\psi\rangle|$  taken over all purifications  $|\psi\rangle$  and  $|\phi\rangle$

\* See [Nielsen & Chuang, pp. 410-411] for a proof of this using the singular-value decomposition.

Recall our previous definition of fidelity as

$$F(\rho, \sigma) = \text{Tr} \sqrt{\rho^{1/2} \sigma \rho^{1/2}} = \left\| \rho^{1/2} \sigma^{1/2} \right\|_1.$$

# Relationships between fidelity and trace distance

$$1 - F(\rho, \sigma) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\rho - \sigma\|_1 \leq \sqrt{1 - F(\rho, \sigma)^2}$$

See [Nielsen & Chuang, pp. 415-416] for more details