

ANTON GERASCHENKO AND MATTHEW SATRIANO

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to correct an error in Theorem 6.1 of [GS2]. We thank W.D. Gillam and Sam Molcho for pointing out the mistake, see Example 4.3.3 and Corollary 4.3.9 of [GM]. Before discussing the error and how to modify the affected results, we wish to emphasize:

- The results in [GS1] are not affected.
- We have checked that every paper which cited [GS2] is not affected.
- Theorem 6.1 of [GS2] is correct for smooth \mathcal{X} ; this is stated as [GS2, Theorem 5.2].

As we have just mentioned, Theorem 6.1 is true for smooth stacks; the goal of this note is to explain how Theorem 6.1 needs to be modified for singular \mathcal{X} . In order to state this result, we introduce the following definition which is a conglomerate of Tyomkin's toric stacky data [Tyo, Definition 4.1] (cf. [GM, Definition 2.2.3]) and our stacky fans [GS1, Definition 2.4].

Definition 1.1. A generalized stacky fan is a triple $(\Sigma, \beta, \mathcal{L}')$ such that:

- (1) Σ is a fan on a lattice L,
- (2) $\beta: L \to N$ is a homomorphism to a lattice N so that $\operatorname{cok} \beta$ is finite,
- (3) $\mathcal{L}' = \{L'_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ such that $L'_{\sigma} \subset L_{\sigma} := \operatorname{Span}(\sigma) \cap L$ is a finite index lattice,
- (4) $L'_{\sigma} \cap \operatorname{Span}(\sigma \cap \tau) = L'_{\tau} \cap \operatorname{Span}(\sigma \cap \tau)$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma$.

To each such generalized stacky fan, we associate a stack as follows. For each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, since $\iota_{\sigma} : L'_{\sigma} \subset L_{\sigma}$ is finite index and since β has finite cokernel, the composite map $\beta\iota_{\sigma}$ also has finite cokernel. Since $(L'_{\sigma})_{\mathbb{R}} = (L_{\sigma})_{\mathbb{R}}$, we may view σ as a cone on L'_{σ} and hence $(\sigma, \beta\iota_{\sigma})$ is a stacky fan. We thus obtain a (cohomologically affine) toric stack $\mathcal{X}_{\sigma,\beta\iota_{\sigma}}$. By the compatibility condition, these toric stacks glue to give a stack $\mathcal{X}_{\Sigma,\beta,\mathcal{L}'}$.

Definition 1.2. We refer to stacks of the form $\mathcal{X}_{\Sigma,\beta,\mathcal{L}'}$ as generalized toric stacks.

We these definitions in place, we can now state the modified version of [GS2, Theorem 6.1].

Theorem 1.3 (Corrected version of [GS2, Theorem 6.1]). Let \mathcal{X} be an Artin stack of finite type over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Suppose \mathcal{X} has an action of a torus T and a dense open substack which is T-equivariantly isomorphic to T. If \mathcal{X} has finite quotient singularities (e.g. a smooth Artin stack), then \mathcal{X} is a generalized toric stack if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (1) \mathcal{X} is normal,
- (2) \mathcal{X} has affine diagonal, and
- (3) geometric points of \mathcal{X} have linearly reductive stabilizers

2. Summary of the affected statements

The mistake occurs in [GS2, Lemma 2.10], specifically D^1 is not necessarily join-closed. This error affects Corollary 2.12, Theorem 2.13, Remark 2.14, Theorem 6.1, Remark 6.2, Remark 6.3, Proposition 7.2 of [GS2] as well as [GS1, Corollary 6.5]. We explain in Section 3 how all of these results need to be modified; we additionally show that [GS1, Corollary 6.5] is correct as stated.

3. Modified statements and their proofs

In this section, we explain how to appropriately modify the aforementioned affected results of Section 2. We present a direct proof of [GS2, Corollary 2.12], so are no longer in need of [GS2, Lemma 2.10].

Corollary 3.1 (Corrected version of [GS2, Corollary 2.12]). Let D be a tight diagram of simplicial toric monoids with colimit M. Then for each i, there exists a face F_i of M such that $D_i \to M$ factors as $D_i \subset F_i \subset M$ with $D_i^{gp} \subset F_i^{gp}$ a finite index inclusion of lattices.

Proof. To show that $D_i \to M$ is an inclusion, it suffices to show that $D_i^{\text{gp}} \to M^{\text{gp}}$ is an inclusion, for which it suffices to show that the dual map has finite cokernel. So, we must show that for every linear functional χ on D_i^{gp} , there is a positive integer m for which $m\chi$ extends to a linear functional on M^{gp} . Now, a linear functional on D_j is nothing more than a Cartier divisor on the affine toric variety X_j corresponding to D_j . Similarly, a linear functional on M is a compatible choice of Cartier divisors on each X_j . Since each D_j is simplicial, \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisors are the same as \mathbb{Q} -Weil divisors. Since we are allowing ourslves to scale, it is enough to extend some $m\chi$ to a Weil divisor on each D_j . But this is clearly possible, as we may arbitrary assign a value to each 1-dimensional face of $D \setminus D_i$.

Next, let $F_i \subset M$ be the saturation of $D_i \subset M$. Since $D_i \subset F_i$ is finite index, it suffices to show $F_i \subset M$ is a face. By the previous paragraph, the zero functional on D_i extends arbitrarily to a linear functional on M^{gp} . By assigning a positive value to each 1-dimensional face of $D \setminus D_i$, we may choose the extension to be non-negative on M and vanish exactly on F_i . So, F_i is a face. \Box

Theorem 3.2 (Corrected version of [GS2, Theorem 2.13]). Let \mathcal{X} be a stack with finite quotient singularities and an action of a torus T and a dense open T-orbit which is T-equivariantly isomorphic to T. Let $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a morphism from a toric stack. Suppose \mathcal{X} has a cover by T-invariant open substacks \mathcal{X}_i which are toric stacks with torus T, and that the maps $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}_i \to \mathcal{X}_i$ are canonical stack morphisms (see [GS1, Definition 5.1]). Then \mathcal{X} is a generalized toric stack.

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as in [GS2, Theorem 2.13], but the last paragraph needs to be slightly modified. We again know that the colimit of the diagram of toric monoids $\sigma_i \cap L_i$ is of the form $\sigma \cap L$, where L is the colimit of the L_i . Since L is the colimit of the L_i , we see the $\beta_i \colon L_i \to N$ extend to $\beta \colon L \to N$. By Corollary 3.1 (our corrected version of [GS2, Corollary 2.12]), the maps $\sigma_i \cap L_i \to \sigma \cap L$ factor as $\sigma_i \cap L_i \to \sigma_i \cap \tilde{L}_i \to \sigma \cap L$ where $\sigma_i \cap \tilde{L}_i \to \sigma \cap L$ is the inclusion of a face and $L_i \to \tilde{L}_i$ is a finite index sublattice. Since \mathcal{X} is covered by the $\mathcal{X}_{\sigma,\beta}$, we have that by definition $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_{\Sigma,\beta,\mathcal{L}'}$, where Σ is the fan consisting of the σ_i and \mathcal{L}' is the collection of finite index sublattices $L_i \to \tilde{L}_i$.

Remark 3.3 (Corrected version of [GS2, Remark 2.14]). This remark is correct, but only applies to the situation where \mathcal{X} happens to be a toric stack with finite quotient singularities. Furthermore, the corrected proof of [GS2, Theorem 2.13] shows that for generalized toric stacks \mathcal{X} with finite quotient singularities, there is a canonical coarse space map to a toric stack.

Proof of Theorem 1.3, i.e. corrected version of [GS2, Theorem 6.1]. The proof is exactly the same, however we now appeal to Theorem 3.2, i.e. the corrected version of [GS2, Theorem 2.13]. Furthermore, by [AHR], condition (4) in the original statement of Theorem 6.1 is no longer needed. \Box

Remark 3.4 (Corrected version of [GS2, Remark 6.2]). This remark should state that the toric stacks defined by Tyomkin in [Tyo, $\S4$] are generalized toric stacks. They need not be toric stacks since they need not be global quotients, as demonstrated by Gillam and Molcho in [GM, Example 4.3.3].

We can replace [GS2, Remark 6.3] by the following stronger statement.

Remark 3.5 (Corrected version of [GS2, Remark 6.3]). Suppose \mathcal{X} is a normal algebraic space with affine diagonal, that there is an action of a torus T, and a dense open subspace which is T-equivariantly isomorphic to T. Then the proof of [GS2, Theorem 6.1] shows that \mathcal{X} has an open cover by cohomology affine toric stacks which must therefore by toric varieties. Thus, \mathcal{X} is a possibly non-separated toric scheme.

Proposition 3.6 (Corrected version of [GS2, Proposition 7.2]). Suppose \mathcal{X} is a toric stack, $f : \mathcal{X} \to Y$ is a good moduli space morphism, and Y is a separated algebraic space. Then Y is a toric variety and f is a toric morphism.

Proof. The hypothesis of [GS2, Proposition 7.2] is that \mathcal{X} is a toric stack (as opposed to a generalized toric stack) and so the proof is largely the same. The only modification to the proof is in the second paragraph: appealing to Remark 3.5 (the corrected version of [GS2, Remark 6.3]) instead of [GS2, Theorem 6.1] shows that Y is a toric scheme. Since we have additionally assumed Y is separated, we know it is a toric variety.

Finally, we note that the error only affects [GS1, Corollary 6.5] through its appeal to [GS2, Proposition 7.2] in the case where Y is a variety, so [GS1, Corollary 6.5] remains correct as stated.

References

- [AHR] Jarod Alper, Jack Hall, and David Rydh. A Luna étale slice theorem for algebraic stacks. https://arxiv. org/pdf/1504.06467.pdf
- [GM] W.D. Gillam and Sam Molcho A theory of stacky fans https://arxiv.org/pdf/1512.07586.pdf
- [GS1] Anton Geraschenko and Matthew Satriano. Toric stacks I: The theory of stacky fans. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 367 (2015), no. 2, 1033–1071.
- [GS2] Anton Geraschenko and Matthew Satriano. Toric stacks II: Intrinsic characterization of toric stacks. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 367 (2015), no. 2, 1073–1094.
- [Tyo] Ilya Tyomkin. Tropical geometry and correspondence theorems via toric stacks. *Math. Ann.*, 353(3):945–995, 2012.