Solutions to the Linear Algebra Problems

1: (a) Determine whether the set $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}-a} \middle| a \in \mathbf{Q}\right\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ is linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} .

Solution: The given set is not linearly independent. Indeed $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}-1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}+1} = 2\sqrt{2} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}-0}$.

(b) Determine whether the set $\left\{\frac{1}{x-a} \middle| a \in \mathbf{Q}\right\} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}(x)$ is linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} .

Solution: This set is linearly independent. Indeed, by the Partial Fractions Decomposition Theorem, the set $\{x^k | k \in \mathbf{N}\} \cup \{\frac{1}{(x-a)^k} | k \in \mathbf{Z}^+, a \in \mathbf{C}\}$ is a basis for $\mathbf{C}(x)$ over \mathbf{C} .

2: (a) Find dim U where $U = \text{Span} \left\{ \cos(x-a) \middle| a \in \mathbf{R} \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbf{R}).$

Solution: Note that $U = \text{Span} \{\cos x, \sin x\}$ because $\cos x \in U$ and $\sin x = \cos \left(x - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \in U$, and for every $a \in \mathbf{R}$ we have $\cos(x - a) = \cos x \cos a + \sin x \sin a \in \text{Span} \{\cos x, \sin x\}$. Also note that $\{\cos x, \sin x\}$ is linearly independent because if $a \cos x + b \sin x = 0$ for all x then taking x = 0 gives a = 0 and taking $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$ gives b = 0. Thus dim U = 2.

(b) Find dim U where $U = \text{Span}\left\{\sin^2 x, \cos^2 x, \tan^2 x, \sec^2 x\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^0\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$.

Solution: Note that Span $\{\sin^2 x, \cos^2 x, \tan^2 x, \sec^2 x\} =$ Span $\{\sin^2 x, \cos^2 x, \tan^2 x\}$ because we have

 $\sec^2 x = 1 + \tan^2 x = \sin^2 x + \cos^2 x + \tan^2 x.$

Also note that $\{\sin^2 x, \cos^2 x, \tan^2 x\}$ is linearly independent because if $a \sin^2 x + b \cos^2 x + c \tan^2 x = 0$ for all x then taking $x = \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $x = \frac{\pi}{3}$ gives the three equations $\frac{1}{4}a + \frac{1}{2}b + \frac{3}{4}c = 0, \frac{3}{4}a + \frac{1}{2}b + \frac{1}{4}c = 0$ and $\frac{1}{3}a + b + 3c = 0$, and the coefficient matrix is invertible since

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{3} & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{24} + \frac{9}{16} - \frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} - \frac{3}{24} = -\frac{6}{8} - \frac{2}{24} + \frac{8}{16} = -\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

Thus dim U = 3.

3: (a) Find
$$A^{-1}$$
 where $A \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$ with $A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } i = j \\ 1 \text{ if } i \neq j \end{cases}$

Solution: Let $B \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$ be the matrix whose entries are all equal to 1. Note that A = B - I and $B^2 = nB$. For $x \in \mathbf{R}$ with x sufficiently near zero, we have

$$(xB-I)^{-1} = -(I-xB)^{-1} = -(I+xB+x^2B^2+x^3B^3+\cdots) = -(I+xB+x^2nB+x^3n^2B+\cdots)$$
$$= -(I+\frac{x}{1-xn}B) = \frac{x}{xn-1}B - I.$$

By replacing x by 1, we guess that $A^{-1} = (B - I)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n-1}B - I$, and indeed we have

$$A\left(\frac{1}{n-1}B - I\right) = \left(B - I\right)\left(\frac{1}{n-1}B - I\right) = \frac{1}{n-1}B^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n-1}\right)B + I = \frac{n}{n-1}B - \frac{n}{n-1}B + I = I.$$

Thus $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{n-1}B - I.$

(b) Let $a \in \mathbf{R}$. Find det A where $A \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$ with $A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} a \text{ if } i = j \\ 1 \text{ if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$

Solution: Let A_n and B_n denote the $n \times n$ matrices

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a & 1 & 1 & \\ 1 & a & 1 & \\ 1 & 1 & a & \\ & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} , B_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \\ 1 & a & 1 & \\ 1 & 1 & a & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

By first performing the row operation $R_1 \mapsto R_1 - R_2$ on the matrix B_n , and then expanding the determinant along the first row, we find that $\det(B_n) = (a-1) \det B_{n-1}$. Since $\det(B_1) = 1$, it follows that $\det(B_n) = (a-1)^{n-1}$ for all $n \ge 1$. By performing the same row operation on the matrix A_n and then expanding the determinant along the first row, we find that $\det(A_n) = (a-1)(\det(A_{n-1}) + \det(B_{n-1}))$. Since $\det(A_1) = a$, an easy induction argument shows that $\det(A_n) = (a-1)^{n-1}(a+n-1)$ for all $n \ge 1$. **4:** Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$.

(a) Show that if trace $(A^T A + B^T B) = \text{trace} (AB + A^T B^T)$ then $A = B^T$. Solution: Suppose that trace $(A^T A + B^T B) = \text{trace} (AB + A^T B^T)$. Then using the inner product on $M_n(\mathbf{R})$ given by $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{trace} (B^T A)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|A - B^{T}\right|^{2} &= \operatorname{trace}\left((A - B^{T})^{T}(A - B^{T})\right) = \operatorname{trace}\left((A^{T} - B)(A - B^{T})\right) \\ &= \operatorname{trace}\left(A^{T}A - A^{T}B^{T} - BA + BB^{T}\right) \\ &= \operatorname{trace}\left(A^{T}A\right) + \operatorname{trace}\left(BB^{T}\right) - \operatorname{trace}\left(BA\right) - \operatorname{trace}\left(A^{T}B^{T}\right) \\ &= \operatorname{trace}\left(A^{T}A\right) + \operatorname{trace}\left(B^{T}B\right) - \operatorname{trace}\left(AB\right) - \operatorname{trace}\left(A^{T}B^{T}\right) \\ &= \operatorname{trace}\left(A^{T}A + B^{T}B\right) - \operatorname{trace}\left(AB + A^{T}B^{T}\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Show that if $AB \in \text{Span} \{A, B\}$ but $AB \notin \text{Span} \{A\} \cup \text{Span} \{B\}$ then AB = BA.

Solution: Suppose that $AB \in \text{Span}\{A, B\}$ but $AB \notin \text{Span}\{A\} \cup \text{Span}\{B\}$. Then we have AB = sA + tB for some non-zero real numbers $0 \neq s, t \in \mathbf{R}$. Note that

$$(A - tI)(B - sI) = AB - sA - tB + stI = AB - AB + stI = stI$$

and so we see that (A - tI) is invertible with $(A - tI)^{-1} = \frac{1}{st}(B - sI)$. It follows that

$$I = \frac{1}{st}(B - sI)(A - tI) = \frac{1}{st}(BA - tB - sA + stI) = \frac{1}{st}(BA - AB + stI)$$

so that stI = BA - AB + stI, and hence BA - AB = 0.

5: Let F be a field and let $A \in M_{k \times l}(F)$, $B \in M_{l \times m}(F)$ and $C \in M_{m \times n}(F)$.

(a) Show that $\operatorname{rank}(AB) \leq \operatorname{rank}(B)$.

Solution: Note that Range $(B^T A^T) \subseteq$ Range (B^T) , indeed if $x \in$ Range $(B^T A^T)$ then $x = B^T A^T y$ for some $y \in \mathbf{R}^k$ and then we have $x = B^T z$ for $z = A^T y$ so that $x \in$ Range (B^T) . Thus rank $(B^T A^T) \leq$ rank (B^T) , so rank (AB) = rank $(B^T A^T) \leq$ rank $(B^T) =$ rank (B^T) .

(b) Show that $\operatorname{rank}(A) + \operatorname{rank}(B) \le l + \operatorname{rank}(AB)$.

Solution: Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Range}\left(A\right) &= A(\mathbf{R}^{l}) = A\Big(\operatorname{Range}\left(B\right) \oplus \operatorname{Range}\left(B\right)^{\perp}\Big) \\ &= A\big(\operatorname{Range}B\big) + A\big((\operatorname{Range}B)^{\perp}\big) \\ &= \operatorname{Range}\left(AB\right) + A\big((\operatorname{Range}B)^{\perp}\big) \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\operatorname{rank} (A) = \dim (\operatorname{Range} A) \leq \dim (\operatorname{Range} (AB)) + \dim A((\operatorname{Range} B)^{\perp})$$
$$= \operatorname{rank} AB + \dim A(\operatorname{Range} B)^{\perp}) \leq \operatorname{rank} AB + \dim(\operatorname{Range} B)^{\perp}$$
$$= \operatorname{rank} AB + l - \operatorname{rank} B.$$

(c) Show that $\operatorname{rank}(AB) + \operatorname{rank}(BC) \le \operatorname{rank}(B) + \operatorname{rank}(ABC)$.

Solution: Applying Part (b) to the matrices $A \in M_{k \times l}(F)$ and $BC \in M_{l \times n}(F)$ gives

$$\operatorname{rank}(A) + \operatorname{rank}(BC) \le l + \operatorname{rank}(ABC)$$

In the case that B is onto, we have rank $(A) = \operatorname{rank}(AB)$ and $l = \operatorname{rank}(B)$ and so

 $\operatorname{rank}(AB) + \operatorname{rank}(BC) \le \operatorname{rank}(B) + \operatorname{rank}(ABC)$

as required. When B is not onto, replace the matrices C, B and A by the linear maps $C': \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^m$ given by C'(x) = Cx, and $B': \mathbf{R}^m \to \text{Range}(B)$ given by B'(y) = By, and $A': \text{Range}(B) \to \mathbf{R}^k$ given by A'(z) = Az. The linear map B' is onto, and applying the above inequality to the linear maps A', B' and C' gives

 $\operatorname{rank}\left(A'B'\right)+\operatorname{rank}\left(B'C'\right)\leq\operatorname{rank}\left(B'\right)+\operatorname{rank}\left(A'B'C'\right).$

Finally, notice that Range (A'B') = Range(AB), Range (B'C') = Range(BC), Range (B') = Range(B) and Range (A'B'C') = Range(ABC).

6: Let V be a vector space over \mathbf{R} . Show that V is finite-dimensional if and only if V is not equal to the union of any countable set of proper subspaces.

Solution: Suppose first that V is infinite dimensional. Choose a countable linearly independent subset of V, say $\mathcal{U} = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \cdots\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Extend \mathcal{U} (if necessary) to a basis $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ for V, where $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V} = \{0\}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $V_k = \mathcal{V} \cup \{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_k\}$. Then we have $V_1 \subsetneq V_2 \gneqq V_3 \gneqq \cdots$ and $V = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k$.

Conversely, suppose that V is finite dimensional. We shall show that no affine space $P \subseteq V$ is equal to the union of a countable set of proper affine subspaces. We prove this by induction on the dimension of P. When dim P = 1, the only proper affine subspaces of P are the one-point sets in P, and since P is uncountable it cannot be equal to the union of a countable set of proper affine subspaces. Let $n \ge 1$, and suppose, inductively, that no affine space $Q \subseteq V$ with dim Q = n - 1 is equal to the union of any countable set of proper affine subspaces. Let $P \ge V$ be an affine space with dim P = n. Let R_1, R_2, R_3, \cdots be proper affine subspaces of P. Since P has uncountably many affine subspaces of dimension n - 1, we can choose an affine subspace $Q \subseteq P$ with dim Q = n - 1 and $Q \neq R_i$ for any i. Since each set $R_i \cap Q$ is either empty or is a proper affine subspace of Q, it follows from the induction hypothesis that $Q \neq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (R_i \cap Q)$. Thus $Q \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i$ and hence $P \neq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i$.

7: Let S be a non-empty set and let F be a field. Let U be an n-dimensional subspace of the vector space F^S of all functions $f: S \to F$. Show that there exist elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S$ and functions $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in U$ such that $f_j(a_i) = \delta_{i,j}$ for all indices i, j.

Solution: Let $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l\}$ be a basis for U. For each $a \in S$, write $g(a) = (g_1(a), g_2(a), \dots, g_l(a))^T \in F^l$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{g(a) | a \in S\}$ and let $V = \text{Span } \mathcal{V} \subseteq F^l$. For all $t \in F^l$ we have

$$t \in V^{\perp} \iff t \cdot g(a) = 0 \text{ for all } a \in S$$
$$\iff t_1 g_1(a) + t_2 g_2(a) + \dots + t_l g_l(a) = 0 \text{ for all } a \in S$$
$$\iff t_1 g_1 + t_2 g_2 + \dots + t_l g_l = 0 \in W$$
$$\iff t = 0 \text{ , since } \mathcal{V} \text{ is linearly independent,}$$

and so we have $V^{\perp} = \{0\}$ and hence $V = F^l$. Since \mathcal{V} spans F^l , we can select a basis from amongst the elements of \mathcal{V} , and so we can choose $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l \in S$ so that $\{g(a_1), g(a_2), \dots, g(a_l)\}$ is a basis for F^l . Let

$$A = (g(a_1), g(a_2), \cdots, g(a_l)) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(a_1) & g_1(a_2) & \cdots & g_1(a_l) \\ g_2(a_1) & g_2(a_2) & \cdots & g_2(a_l) \\ & \vdots & & \\ g_l(a_1) & g_l(a_2) & \cdots & g_l(a_l) \end{pmatrix}$$

and note that A is invertible since $\{g(a_1), g(a_2), \dots, g(a_l)\}$ is a basis for F^l . Let $B = A^{-1}$, say B has entries $b_{i,j} = B_{i,j}$, and define $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_l \in U$ by $f_j = \sum_{i=1}^l b_{j,i}g_i$. Then for $k = 1, 2, \dots, l$ we have $f_j(a_k) = (\sum b_{j,i}g_i)(a_k) = \sum b_{j,i}g_i(a_k) = (BA)_{j,k} = \delta_{j,k}$.

8: Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$ with AB = BA and $\det(A + B) \ge 0$. Show that $\det(A^n + B^n) \ge 0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$.

Solution: First we suppose that n is even, say n = 2k. Since the characteristic polynomial $f_A(x) = \det(A - xI)$ has finitely many roots, we can choose $\delta > 0$ so that for all $x \in (0, \delta)$ we have $f_A(x) \neq 0$ so that the matrix $A_x = A - xI$ is invertible. Then for all $x \in (0, \delta)$ we have

$$\det(A_x^n + B^n) = \det(A_x^{2k} + B^{2k}) = \det\left(A_x^{2k}(I + A_x^{-2k}B^{2k})\right)$$

= $\det\left(A_x^k\right)^2 \det\left(I + iA_x^{-k}B^k\right) \det\left(I - iA_x^{-k}B^k\right)$
= $\det\left(A_x^k\right)^2 \left|\det\left(I + iA_x^{-k}B^k\right)\right|^2 \ge 0.$

Taking the limit as $x \to 0^+$ we obtain $det(A^n + B^n) \ge 0$.

Next we suppose that n is odd, say n = 2k + 1. Let $\alpha = e^{i\pi/n}$ so that $\alpha^n + 1 = 0$ and so that $x^n + 1$ factors as $x^n + 1 = (x+1) \prod_{j=1}^k (x - \alpha^j)(x - \overline{\alpha}^j)$. Since AB = BA we have $(A^n + B^n) = (A + B) \prod_{j=1}^k (A - \alpha^j B)(A - \overline{\alpha}^j B)$ and so

$$\det(A^n + B^n) = \det(A + B) \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\det(A - \alpha^j B) \det(A - \overline{\alpha}^j B) \right) = \det(A + B) \prod_{j=1}^k \left| \det(A - \alpha^j B) \right|^2 \ge 0.$$

9: Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that the eigenvalues of A are distinct from the eigenvalues of B. Show that the linear map $L: M_n(\mathbf{C}) \to M_n(\mathbf{C})$ given by L(X) = AX - XB is bijective.

Solution: Let $X \in \text{Ker}(L)$. Then we have

$$AX = XB$$

$$A^{2}X = AXB = XB^{2}$$

$$A^{3}X = A^{2}XB = AXB^{2} = XB^{3}$$

$$A^{4}X = A^{3}XB = A^{2}XB^{2} = AXB^{3} = XB^{4}$$

and so on so that $A^k X = XB^k$ for all $k \ge 0$. It follows that f(A)X = Xf(B) for every polynomial f(x). In particular, we have $f_B(A)X = Xf_B(B) = 0$ where $f_B(x)$ is the characteristic polynomial of B. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A (repeated according to multiplicity) and let μ_1, \dots, μ_n be the eigenvalues of B. Then $f_B(x) = (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \mu_i)$ and the eigenvalues of the matrix $f_B(A)$ are the values $f_B(\lambda_j) = (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_j - \mu_i)$. Note that $f_B(\lambda_j) \neq 0$ since $\lambda_j \neq \mu_i$ for any i, j. Since the eigenvalues of $f_B(A)$ are non-zero, it follows that the matrix $f_B(A)$ is invertible. Since $f_B(A)X = 0$ and $f_B(A)$ is invertible, we have X = 0. Thus Ker $(L) = \{0\}$ and so L is invertible.

10: Show that the identity map $I: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ given by I(x) = x is equal to the sum of two periodic maps.

Solution: Let S be a basis for **R** over **Q**. Each $x \in \mathbf{R}$ can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination $x = \sum_{t \in C} x_t \cdot t$ where each $x_t \in \mathbf{Q}$ with $x_t = 0$ for all but finitely many $t \in S$. For each $a \in S$ define a map $\phi_a : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R} \text{ by } \phi_a(x) = x_a \cdot a. \text{ Note that for every } b \in S \text{ with } b \neq a, \text{ the function } \phi_a \text{ is periodic with period } b \text{ because for } x \in \mathbf{R}, \text{ if } x = \sum_{t \in S} x_t \cdot t = x_a \cdot a + x_b \cdot b + \sum_{t \neq a, b} x_t \cdot t \text{ then } x + b = x_a \cdot a + (x_b + 1) \cdot b + \sum_{t \neq a, b} x_t \cdot t \text{ and}$

so we have $\phi_a(x+b) = x_a \cdot a = \phi_a(x)$.

To express the identity map I(x) as a sum of two periodic functions, partition the basis S into two nonempty sets A and B, then define $f, g: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \sum_{a \in A} \phi_a(x) = \sum_{a \in A} x_a \cdot a \text{ and } g(x) = \sum_{b \in B} \phi_b(x) = \sum_{b \in B} x_b \cdot b$$

Note that the above sums contain only finitely many non-zero terms, so they are well-defined. Also note that fand g are periodic. Indeed for every $b \in B$, we have $f(x+b) = \sum_{a \in A} \phi_a(x+b) = \sum_{a \in A} \phi_a(x) = f(x)$, and so f(x) is periodic with period b, and similarly, for every $a \in A$ the function g(x) is periodic with period a.