

MATH 247 Calculus 3, Solutions to Assignment 5

1: Note that $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ so a sequence in \mathbb{C} is a sequence in \mathbb{R}^2 .

(a) For $k \geq 0$, let $x_k = \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^k \in \mathbb{C}$, and for $n \geq 0$, let $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n x_k \in \mathbb{C}$. Use the definition of the limit (for a sequence in \mathbb{R}^2) to find $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = a + ib$.

Solution: From the formula for the sum of a geometric series, or by noting that $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^k$ and

$\left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^{k+1}$, so that $s_n - \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)s_n = 1 - \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^{n+1}$, we have

$$s_n = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^{n+1}}{1 - \frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}} = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^{n+1}}{\frac{1-i\sqrt{3}}{4}} \cdot \frac{1+i\sqrt{3}}{1+i\sqrt{3}} = (1+i\sqrt{3}) \left(1 - \left(\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^{n+1}\right)$$

and hence

$$|s_n - (1+i\sqrt{3})| = |1+i\sqrt{3}| \left|\frac{3+i\sqrt{3}}{4}\right|^{n+1} = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^{n+1}.$$

It follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 1+i\sqrt{3}$: indeed given $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^m < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, and then when $n \geq m$ we have $|s_n - (1+i\sqrt{3})| = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^n \leq 2 \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^m < \epsilon$.

(b) Let $c = \frac{2-i}{8} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $(z_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence in \mathbb{C} given by $z_0 = 0$ and $z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c$ for $n \geq 0$. Determine whether $(z_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges in \mathbb{C} and, if so, find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n$ in \mathbb{C} .

Solution: If (z_n) converges with $z_n \rightarrow w$ in \mathbb{C} , then taking the limit on each side of the equality $z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c$ gives $w = w^2 + c$. By the Quadratic Formula, we have $w = w^2 + c \iff w^2 - w + c = 0 \iff w = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1-4c}}{2}$, (where $\sqrt{1-4c}$ is one of the two square roots of $1-4c$ in \mathbb{C}). Note that $1-4c = 1 - \frac{2-i}{2} = \frac{i}{2} = \left(\frac{1+i}{2}\right)^2$, so we must have $w = \frac{1 \pm \frac{1+i}{2}}{2} = \frac{2 \pm (1+i)}{4}$, that is $w = \frac{3+i}{4}$ or $w = \frac{1-i}{4}$.

Let $w = \frac{1-i}{4}$. We claim that $z_n \rightarrow w$. Note that $z_0 - w = 0 - w = \frac{-1+i}{4}$ so that $|z_0 - w| = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$ and $z_1 - w = c - w = \frac{2-i}{8} - \frac{1-i}{4} = \frac{i}{8}$ so that $|z_1 - w| = \frac{1}{8}$. Let $n \geq 1$ and suppose, inductively, that $|z_n - w| \leq \frac{1}{8}$ and that $|z_n - w| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1}$. We have

$$z_{n+1} - w = z_n^2 + c - w = z_n^2 + \frac{i}{8} = z_n^2 - w^2 = (z_n - w)(z_n + w) = (z_n - w)((z_n - w) + 2w)$$

so that

$$|z_{n+1} - w| \leq |z_n - w|(|z_n - w| + |2w|) = |z_n - w|(|z_n - w| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}).$$

Using the first induction hypotheses gives

$$|z_{n+1} - w| \leq |z_n - w| \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \leq |z_n - w| \left(\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}} |z_n - w|.$$

Using this with the first induction hypothesis again gives $|z_{n+1} - w| \leq \frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}} |z_n - w| \leq |z_n - w| \leq \frac{1}{8}$, and using it with the second induction hypothesis gives $|z_{n+1} - w| \leq \frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}} |z_n - w| \leq \frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^n$. Thus, by induction, we have $|z_n - w| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

It follows that $z_n \rightarrow w$, as claimed: indeed given $\epsilon > 0$, since $\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}} < 1$ so that $\left(\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} \rightarrow 0$, we can choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ so that $\left(\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^{m-1} < 8\epsilon$ and then for $n \geq m$ we have

$$|z_n - w| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^{n-1} \leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{5}{4\sqrt{2}}\right)^{m-1} < \epsilon.$$

2: (a) Let $f(x, y) = \frac{xy^2}{x^2 + 2y^2}$ for $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$. Determine whether $\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f(x, y)$ exists and, if so, find it.

Solution: We claim that $\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f(x, y) = 0$. For all x, y we have $|x| = \sqrt{x^2} \leq \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and $y^2 \leq x^2 + y^2$ and $x^2 + 2y^2 \geq x^2 + y^2$ and so

$$|f(x, y) - 0| = \left| \frac{xy^2}{x^2 + 2y^2} \right| = \frac{|x|y^2}{x^2 + 2y^2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}(x^2 + y^2)}{x^2 + y^2} = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}.$$

Thus given $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose $\delta = \epsilon$ and then for all x with $0 < |(x, y) - (0, 0)| < \delta$ we have

$$|f(x, y) - 0| \leq \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < \delta = \epsilon.$$

(b) Let $f(x, y) = \frac{x\sqrt{y}}{x^2 + y}$ for $y > 0$. Determine whether $\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f(x, y)$ exists and, if so, find it.

Solution: Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f(x, y)$ exists. Let $\alpha(t) = (t, 0)$ for $t > 0$. Since $\alpha(t) \neq (0, 0)$ for $t > 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \alpha(t) = (0, 0)$ it follows, by Part 1 of Theorem 3.31 (Composition and Limits), that $\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f(x, y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(\alpha(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} 0 = 0$. Let $\beta(t) = (t, t^2)$ for $t > 0$. Since $\beta(t) \neq (0, 0)$ for $t > 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \beta(t) = (0, 0)$, it follows, again by Theorem 3.31, that $\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f(x, y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(\beta(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t\sqrt{t^2}}{t^2 + t^2} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$. By Theorem 3.18 (the uniqueness of limits), we cannot have $\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f(x, y) = 0$ and $\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}$, so we obtain the desired contradiction. Thus $\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f(x, y)$ does not exist.

(c) Define $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy}{x^2 - y^2} & \text{if } y \neq \pm x \\ 0 & \text{if } y = \pm x \end{cases}$. Determine where $f(x, y)$ is continuous, that is find all points $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that f is continuous at (a, b) .

Solution: Note that f is continuous for all points (x, y) with $y \neq \pm x$ because elementary functions are continuous in their domains. We claim that f is not continuous at any other points.

First, let us show that f is not continuous at $(0, 0)$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that f is continuous at $(0, 0)$. Define $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by $\alpha(t) = (2t, t)$. Since α is continuous (it is elementary) with $\alpha(0) = (0, 0)$, it follows, by Part 1 of Corollary 3.32 (Composition of Continuous Functions), that $g = f \circ \alpha$ is continuous at 0. This implies that $g(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(\alpha(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{2t^2}{4t^2 - t^2} = \frac{2}{3}$, but in fact $g(0) = f(\alpha(0)) = f(0, 0) = 0$, which gives the desired contradiction.

Finally, let us show that f is not continuous at any point $(a, \pm a)$ with $a \neq 0$. Let $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that f is continuous at (a, a) . Define $\beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by $\beta(t) = (a, a) + t(a, -a)$ and note that β is continuous with $\beta(0) = (a, a)$. By Corollary 3.32, the composite $h = f \circ \beta$ is continuous at 0. This implies that $h(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(\beta(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(a+ta)(a-ta)}{(a+ta)^2 - (a-ta)^2} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1-t^2}{4t}$, but this is not possible since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1-t^2}{4t}$ does not exist. Similarly, f is not continuous at $(a, -a)$ since, if it was, then for $\gamma(t) = (a, -a) + t(a, a)$ and $k = f \circ \gamma$, we would have $k(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(\gamma(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(a+ta)(-a+ta)}{(a+ta)^2 - (-a+ta)^2} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t^2-1}{4t}$, which does not exist.

3: For each of the following subsets $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, determine whether A is closed, whether A is compact, and whether A is connected.

$$(a) A = \left\{ (a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Solution: We claim that A is closed. For $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 + bc & ab + bd \\ ac + cd & bc + d^2 \end{pmatrix}$ so that $(a, b, c, d) \in A \iff (a^2 + bc, ab + bd, ac + cd, bc + d^2) = (1, 0, 0, 1)$, and so $A = f^{-1}(p)$ where $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ is given by $f(a, b, c, d) = (a^2 + bc, ab + bd, ac + cd, bc + d^2)$ and $p = (1, 0, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^4$. The map f is continuous (it is a polynomial map) and $\{p\}$ is closed in \mathbb{R}^4 , and so $A = f^{-1}(\{p\})$ is closed in \mathbb{R}^4 (by Theorem 3.36).

Note that A is not bounded because for $r > 0$ we have $(1, r, 0, -1) \in A$ and $|(1, r, 0, -1)| = \sqrt{2 + r^2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Since A is not bounded in \mathbb{R}^4 , it is not compact (by the Heine Borel Theorem).

We claim that A is not connected. For $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, if $(a, b, c, d) \in A$ then we have $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^2 = I$ so that $\det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \pm 1$, that is $ad - bc = \pm 1$. It follows that A can be separated in \mathbb{R}^4 by the two open sets $U = \{(a, b, c, d) \mid ad - bc > 0\}$ and $V = \{(a, b, c, d) \mid ad - bc < 0\}$. Note that U is open because $U = g^{-1}((0, \infty))$ where $g : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $g(a, b, c, d) = ad - bc$ (and g is continuous and $(0, \infty)$ is open), and similarly V is open because $V = g^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$. And we have $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ because for example $(1, 0, 0, 1) \in U \cap A$, and we have $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$ because for example $(0, 1, 1, 0) \in V \cap A$. And $A \subseteq U \cup V$ since $(a, b, c, d) \in A \implies ad - bc \neq 0$.

(b) A is the set of points $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that the polynomial $p(x) = x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c$ has three distinct real roots which all lie in the closed interval $[-1, 1]$.

Solution: We claim that A is not closed in \mathbb{R}^3 . For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $u_n = (a_n, b_n, c_n) = (0, -\frac{1}{n^2}, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Note that $u_n \in A$ since the polynomial $p_n(x) = x^3 + a_n x^2 + b_n x + c_n = x^3 - \frac{1}{n^2} x = (x + \frac{1}{n})(x - \frac{1}{n})$ has 3 distinct real roots, namely $-\frac{1}{n}$, 0 , and $\frac{1}{n}$, which all lie in $[-1, 1]$. Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = (0, 0, 0)$ so that $(0, 0, 0) \in \bar{A}$. But $(0, 0, 0) \notin A$ because the polynomial $p(x) = x^3 + 0x^2 + 0x + 0 = x^3$ does not have three distinct real roots (it has the single triple root, 0). Thus A is not closed in \mathbb{R}^3 (by Theorem 3.11), as claimed. Since A is not closed in \mathbb{R}^3 , it is not compact (by the Heine-Borel Theorem).

We claim that A is connected. Let $C = \{(r, s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid -1 \leq r < s < t \leq 1\}$ and define $f : C \rightarrow A$ by $f(r, s, t) = (-(r+s+t), st+tr+rs, -rst)$. Note that f is continuous (all polynomial functions are continuous), and f takes values in A and is surjective because $x^3 - (r+s+t)x^2 + (st+tr+rs)x - rst = (x-r)(x-s)(x-t)$. Note that $C = C_1 \cap C_2 \cap C_3 \cap C_4$ where $C_1 = \{(r, s, t) \mid -1 \leq r\}$, $C_2 = \{(r, s, t) \mid r < s\}$, $C_3 = \{(r, s, t) \mid s < t\}$ and $C_4 = \{(r, s, t) \mid t \leq 1\}$. Each of these sets C_k is easily seen to be convex: for example, C_2 is convex because if $u_1 = (r_1, s_1, t_1) \in C_2$ (so $r_1 < s_1$) and $u_2 = (r_2, s_2, t_2) \in C_2$ (so $r_2 < s_2$) then for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ we have $(1 - \lambda)r_1 + \lambda r_2 < (1 - \lambda)s_1 + \lambda s_2$ so that

$$(1 - \lambda)u_1 + \lambda u_2 = ((1 - \lambda)r_1 + \lambda r_2, (1 - \lambda)s_1 + \lambda s_2, (1 - \lambda)t_1 + \lambda t_2) \in C_2.$$

Since C is the intersection of four convex sets, it follows that C is convex: indeed given $a, b \in C$, we have $a, b \in C_k$ so that $[a, b] \subseteq C_k$ for every index k , and hence $[a, b] \subseteq C = \bigcap_{k=1}^4 C_k$. Since C is convex, it is path connected, and hence connected. Since f is continuous and C is connected and $A = f(C)$, it follows that A is connected by Part 1 of Theorem 3.37.

4: (a) When $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is unbounded, $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we write $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = b$ when

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists r > 0 \forall x \in A (|x| \geq r \implies |f(x) - b| < \epsilon).$$

Show that if $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is closed and unbounded, and $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is continuous, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then f is uniformly continuous on A .

Solution: Suppose A is closed and unbounded in \mathbb{R}^ℓ , and $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is continuous with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = b$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = b$ we can choose $r > 0$ such that for all $x \in A$ with $|x| \geq r$ we have $|f(x) - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

Since A is closed, the set $B = \overline{B}(0, 3r) \cap A$ is closed, and since B is also bounded, it is compact. Since f is continuous on B , which is compact, it follows that f is uniformly continuous on B , so we can choose $\delta > 0$ with $\delta < r$ such that for all $a, x \in A$, if $|x - a| < \delta$ then $|f(x) - f(a)| < \epsilon$. Let $a, x \in A$ with $|x - a| < \delta$. If $|a| \leq 2r$ then since $|x - a| < r$ we have $|x| \leq |x - a| + |a| < r + 2r = 3r$, so that $x, a \in B$ with $|x - a| < \delta$, and hence $|f(x) - f(a)| < \epsilon$. If $|a| \geq 2r$ then since $|x - a| < r$ we have $|a| \leq |a - x| + |x|$ so that $|x| \geq |a| - |x - a| > 2r - r = r$, so we have $|a| > r$ and $|x| > r$, and hence $|f(a) - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $|f(x) - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ so that $|f(x) - f(a)| \leq |f(x) - b| + |b - f(a)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$.

(b) Show that if $f : A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is uniformly continuous on A then there exists a unique continuous function $g : \overline{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ with $g(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in A$, and that g is uniformly continuous on \overline{A} .

Solution: Suppose that f is uniformly continuous on A . Note that if $a \in \overline{A}$ then there exists a sequence (x_n) in A such that $x_n \rightarrow a$: indeed if $a \in A$ then we can use the constant sequence $x_n = a$ for all n , and if $a \in A'$ then we can choose a sequence in $A \setminus \{a\}$ by Theorem 3.10 (the sequential characterization of limit points).

We claim that when $a \in \overline{A}$ and (x_n) is a sequence in A with $x_n \rightarrow a$, the sequence $(f(x_n))$ converges in \mathbb{R}^m . Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since f is uniformly continuous on A , we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in A$ we have $|x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$. Since $x_n \rightarrow a$, we can choose $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $k \geq n \implies |x_k - x_n| < \frac{\delta}{2}$. Then for $k, \ell \geq n$ we have $|x_k - x_\ell| \leq |x_k - x_n| + |x_n - x_\ell| < \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta$, and hence $|f(x_k) - f(x_\ell)| < \epsilon$. This shows that the sequence $(f(x_n))$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{R}^m , and so it converges, as claimed.

We claim that when $a \in \overline{A}$ and (x_n) and (y_n) are two sequences in A with $x_n \rightarrow a$ and $y_n \rightarrow a$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_n)$. By the previous paragraph, we know that the sequences $(f(x_n))$ and $(f(y_n))$ both converge, say $f(x_n) \rightarrow b$ and $f(y_n) \rightarrow c$. We need to show that $b = c$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since f is uniformly continuous on A , we can choose $\delta > 0$ so that for all $x, y \in A$ we have $|x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Since $x_n \rightarrow a$ and $y_n \rightarrow a$ and $f(x_n) \rightarrow b$ and $f(y_n) \rightarrow c$, we can choose $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $|x_n - a| < \frac{\delta}{2}$, $|y_n - a| < \frac{\delta}{2}$, $|f(x_n) - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ and $|f(y_n) - c| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Since $|x_n - a| < \frac{\delta}{2}$ and $|y_n - a| < \frac{\delta}{2}$ we have $|x_n - y_n| < \delta$ and hence $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Thus we have $|b - c| \leq |b - f(x_n)| + |f(x_n) - f(y_n)| + |f(y_n) - c| < \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we have $|b - c| < \epsilon$ for every $\epsilon > 0$, and hence $b = c$, as required.

Thus we can define $g : \overline{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ as follows: given $a \in \overline{A}$ we choose a sequence (x_n) in A with $x_n \rightarrow a$, and we define $g(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n)$. Note that when $a \in A$, we do have $g(a) = f(a)$ because we can choose (x_n) to be the constant sequence $x_n = a$ for all n , and then $g(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a) = f(a)$.

It remains to show that the map $g : \overline{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is uniformly continuous on \overline{A} . Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since f is uniformly continuous on A , we can choose $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in A$ we have $|x - y| < \delta_1 \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Let $\delta = \frac{1}{3} \delta_1$. Let $a, b \in \overline{A}$ with $|a - b| < \delta$. Choose sequences (x_n) and (y_n) in A with $x_n \rightarrow a$ and $y_n \rightarrow b$. Since $x_n \rightarrow a$ and $y_n \rightarrow b$ and $f(x_n) \rightarrow g(a)$ and $f(y_n) \rightarrow g(b)$, we can choose $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $|x_n - a| < \delta$, $|y_n - b| < \delta$, $|f(x_n) - g(a)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ and $|f(y_n) - g(b)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Then $|x_n - y_n| \leq |x_n - a| + |a - b| + |b - y_n| < \delta + \delta + \delta = \delta_1$ so that $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$, and hence

$$|g(a) - g(b)| \leq |g(a) - f(x_n)| + |f(x_n) - f(y_n)| + |f(y_n) - g(b)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon.$$