

PMATH 333 Real Analysis, Solutions to Assignment 3

1: Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

(a) Show that $f(rx) = rf(x)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Solution: First we note that $f(0) = f(0 + 0) = f(0) + f(0)$ and hence (by subtracting $f(0)$ from both sides) we have $f(0) = 0$. Next note that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $f(x) + f(-x) = f(x + (-x)) = f(0) = 0$ so that (by subtracting $f(x)$ from both sides) we have $f(-x) = -f(x)$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We know that $f(0) = 0$. Let $k \geq 0$ and suppose, inductively, that $f(kx) = kf(x)$. Then $f((k + 1)x) = f(kx + x) = f(kx) + f(x) = kf(x) + f(x) = (k + 1)f(x)$. By induction, it follows that $f(kx) = kf(x)$ for all $k \geq 0$. When $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k < 0$, letting $\ell = -k > 0$ we have $f(\ell x) = \ell f(x)$, hence $f(-\ell x) = -f(\ell x) = -\ell f(x)$, so that $f(kx) = kf(x)$. This proves that $f(kx) = kf(x)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Finally, note that given $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, say $r = \frac{k}{n}$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have $nf(\frac{k}{n}x) = f(n \cdot \frac{k}{n}x) = f(kx) = kf(x)$ so that (by dividing by n) we have $f(\frac{k}{n}x) = \frac{k}{n}f(x)$, that is $f(rx) = rf(x)$.

(b) Show that f is continuous at 0 if and only if f is continuous on \mathbb{R} .

Solution: If f is continuous on \mathbb{R} then of course f is continuous at 0. Suppose that f is continuous at 0. We claim that f is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R} . Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since f is continuous at 0 with $f(0) = 0$, we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, if $|t| = |t - 0| < \delta$ then $|f(t)| = |f(t) - f(0)| < \epsilon$. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x - y| < \delta$. By the choice of δ (taking $t = x - y$), we have $|f(y - x)| < \epsilon$. Using part (a), we have $|f(x) - f(y)| = |f(x) + f(-y)| = |f(x + (-y))| = |f(x - y)| < \epsilon$. Thus f is uniformly continuous, as claimed.

(c) Show that if f is continuous at 0 then there exists $m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = mx$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Solution: Suppose f is continuous at 0. By part (b), f is continuous (indeed uniformly continuous) on \mathbb{R} . Let $m = f(1)$. By part (a), for every $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ we have $f(r) = f(r \cdot 1) = rf(1) = mr$. Given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we can choose a sequence $(t_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathbb{Q} such that $t_n \rightarrow x$ in \mathbb{R} . Since $t_n \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have $f(t_n) = mt_n$, and since $t_n \rightarrow x$ and f is continuous at x we have $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(t_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} mt_n = m \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = mx$, as required.

2: (a) Define $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = x^3$ and $g(x) = \sqrt[3]{x}$. Show that g is uniformly continuous but f is not.

Solution: We claim that $f(x)$ is not uniformly continuous. Choose $\epsilon = 1$. Let $\delta > 0$ Choose $a = \frac{1}{\delta}$ and $x = \delta + \frac{1}{\delta}$. Then $|x - a| = \delta$ and we have

$$|f(x) - f(a)| = \left(\delta + \frac{1}{\delta}\right)^3 - \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^3 = 3\delta + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{\delta} + \delta^3 > 3\left(\delta + \frac{1}{\delta}\right) > 3 > \epsilon$$

because when $\delta \geq 1$ we have $\delta + \frac{1}{\delta} > \delta \geq 1$ and when $0 < \delta \leq 1$ we have $\delta + \frac{1}{\delta} > \frac{1}{\delta} \geq 1$. Thus f is not uniformly continuous.

We claim that g is uniformly continuous. We provide two proofs. For the first proof, we use only the definition of uniform continuity (and some algebra). First we note that for $\delta > 0$ and for $a, x \in \mathbb{R}$, in the case that $|a| \leq 2\delta$, when $|x - a| < \delta$ we have $|x| < 3\delta$ and so

$$|f(x) - f(a)| \leq |f(x)| + |f(a)| < (2\delta)^{1/3} + (3\delta)^{1/3} = (2^{1/3} + 3^{1/3})\delta^{1/3} < 3\delta^{1/3}$$

(because $3 < \frac{27}{8} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^3$ so that $3^{1/3} < \frac{3}{2}$ and hence $2^{1/3} + 3^{1/3} < 2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} = 3$) and in the case that $|a| \geq 2\delta$, when $|x - a| < \delta$, the numbers a and x have the same sign and we have $|x| \geq \delta$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(a)| &= |x^{1/3} - a^{1/3}| = \left| \frac{x - a}{x^{2/3} + x^{1/3}a^{1/3} + a^{2/3}} \right| = \frac{|x - a|}{|x|^{2/3} + |x|^{1/3}|a|^{1/3} + |a|^{2/3}} \\ &< \frac{\delta}{\delta^{2/3} + \delta^{1/3}(2\delta)^{1/3} + (2\delta)^{2/3}} = \frac{\delta^{1/3}}{1 + 2^{1/3} + 4^{1/3}} < \delta^{1/3} < 3\delta^{1/3}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus given $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose $\delta = \frac{1}{27}\epsilon^3$ so that $3\delta^{1/3} = \epsilon$ and then for all $a, x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x - a| < \delta$ we have $|f(x) - f(a)| < 3\delta^{1/3} = \epsilon$. Thus g is uniformly continuous.

For the second proof, we shall use the fact that a function which is continuous on a closed bounded interval is uniformly continuous. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since the restriction of f to $[0, 2]$ is continuous, it is uniformly continuous, so we can choose $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in [0, 2]$, if $|x - y| < \delta_1$ then $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$. For all $x \in [1, \infty)$, we have $f'(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^{-2/3}$, which is positive and decreasing, and hence $|f'(x)| = f'(x) \leq f'(1) = \frac{1}{3}$. Let $\delta_2 = 3\epsilon$ and note that for all $x, y \geq 1$ with $|x - y| < \delta_2$, by the MVT we can choose t between x and y such that $f(x) - f(y) = f'(t)(x - y)$, and then $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f'(t)||x - y| \leq \frac{1}{3}|x - y| < \frac{1}{3}\delta_2 = \epsilon$. Finally, we choose $\delta = \min(1, \delta_1, \delta_2)$. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x - y| < \delta$. Since $|x - y| < 1$ (so that we cannot have $x < 1$ and $y > 2$ or vice versa) it follows that either $x, y \in [0, 2]$ or $x, y \in [1, \infty)$, and in either case we have $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$, as required.

(b) Let $f : (a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Show that f is uniformly continuous on (a, b) if and only if there exists a continuous function $g : [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in (a, b)$.

Solution: If $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, hence uniformly continuous, and $f(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then of course f is uniformly continuous on (a, b) (given $\epsilon > 0$ we choose $\delta > 0$ such that $|g(x) - g(y)| < \epsilon$ for all $x, y \in [a, b]$, then of course we have $|f(x) - f(y)| = |g(x) - g(y)| < \epsilon$ for all $x, y \in (a, b)$).

Suppose, conversely, that f is uniformly continuous on (a, b) . Choose a sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in (a, b) with $x_n \rightarrow a$. We claim that $(f(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is Cauchy. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since f is uniformly continuous we can choose $\delta > 0$ so that $|x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$. Since $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges, it is Cauchy, so we can choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $n, m \geq N \implies |x_n - x_m| < \delta$. Then when $n, m \geq N$ we have $|x_n - x_m| < \delta$ so that $|f(x_n) - f(x_m)| < \epsilon$. Thus $(f(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is Cauchy, as claimed. Since $(f(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is Cauchy, it converges, say $c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n)$. Choose a sequence $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in (a, b) with $y_n \rightarrow b$. The same argument used above shows that $(f(y_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is Cauchy, so it converges, and we let $d = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_n)$.

Define $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in (a, b)$ and by $g(a) = c$ and $g(b) = d$. We claim that g is continuous at a . Let $\epsilon > 0$. By the uniform continuity of f we can choose δ with $0 < \delta < b - a$ such that for all $x, y \in (a, b)$ with $|x - y| < \delta$ we have $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Since $x_n \rightarrow a$ and $f(x_n) \rightarrow c$ we can choose n so that $|x_n - a| < \delta$ and $|f(x_n) - c| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Let $x \in [a, b]$ with $|x - a| < \delta$. If $x = a$ then of course $|g(x) - g(a)| = 0 < \epsilon$. If $x \neq a$ then we have $x \in (a, a + \delta)$ and $x_n \in (a, a + \delta)$ so that $|x - x_n| < \delta$, hence $|f(x) - f(x_n)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Thus we have $|g(x) - g(a)| = |f(x) - c| \leq |f(x) - f(x_n)| + |f(x_n) - c| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$. This proves that g is continuous at a , as claimed. A similar argument shows that g is continuous at b .

3: (a) Let $f, g : [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be integrable and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove, from Definition 3.3, that the functions $f + g$ and cf are integrable on $[a, b]$ with $\int_a^b (f + g) = \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g$ and $\int_a^b cf = c \int_a^b f$.

Solution: Let $I = \int_a^b f$ and $J = \int_a^b g$. We claim that the function $f + g$ is integrable with $\int_a^b (f + g) = I + J$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for all partitions X of $[a, b]$ with $|X| < \delta_1$ we have $|S - I| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all Riemann sums S for f on X , and choose $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for all partitions X of $[a, b]$ with $|X| < \delta_2$ we have $|T - J| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all Riemann sums T for g on X . Let $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$. Let $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be any partition of $[a, b]$ with $|X| < \delta$. Let $t_k \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$ be any sample points. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n (f(t_k) + g(t_k)) \Delta_k x - (I + J) \right| &= \left| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n f(t_k) \Delta_k x - I \right) + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n g(t_k) \Delta_k x - J \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_k) \Delta_k x - I \right| + \left| \sum_{k=1}^n g(t_k) \Delta_k x - J \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f + g$ is integrable with $\int_a^b (f + g) = I + J$, as claimed.

We claim that cf is integrable on $[a, b]$ with $\int_a^b cf = cI$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that for all partitions X of $[a, b]$ with $|X| < \delta$ we have $|S - I| < \frac{\epsilon}{|c|+1}$ for every Riemann sum S for f on X . Let $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be any partition for $[a, b]$ with $|X| < \delta$. Let $t_k \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$ be any sample points. Then

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n (cf)(t_k) \Delta_k x - cI \right| = |c| \left| \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_k) \Delta_k x - I \right| < |c| \frac{\epsilon}{|c|+1} < \epsilon.$$

Thus cf is integrable with $\int_a^b cf = cI$, as required (we used $\frac{\epsilon}{|c|+1}$ rather than $\frac{\epsilon}{|c|}$ to include the case $c = 0$).

(b) Let $f : [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any function (not necessarily bounded). Show that if f is integrable (according to Definition 3.3, but without the assumption that f is bounded) then f must be bounded.

Solution: Suppose that f is integrable on $[a, b]$ and let $I = \int_a^b f$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that f is not bounded, say f is not bounded above (the case that f is not bounded below is similar). This means that for every $L > 0$ we can choose $u \in [a, b]$ such that $f(u) > L$. Since f is integrable, by taking $\epsilon = 1$ in the definition of integrability, we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that for every partition X of $[a, b]$ with $|X| < \delta$, we have $|S - I| < 1$ for every Riemann sum S for f on X . Let $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a partition of $[a, b]$ with $|X| < \delta$, and let $d = \min \{ \Delta_k x \mid 1 \leq k \leq n \}$. Choose sample points $s_k \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$ and let S be the Riemann sum $S = \sum_{k=1}^n f(s_k) \Delta_k x$. Let $M = \max \{ f(s_1), f(s_2), \dots, f(s_n) \}$ and choose $u \in [a, b]$ such that $f(u) > M + \frac{2}{d}$. Note that u must lie in one of the sub-intervals, so we can choose an index ℓ such that $u \in [x_{\ell-1}, x_\ell]$. Choose new sample points, letting $t_k = s_k$ whenever $k \neq \ell$ and letting $t_\ell = u$, and let T be the resulting Riemann sum $T = \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_k) \Delta_k x$. Since S and T are both Riemann sums for f on X , we have $|S - I| < 1$ and $|T - I| < 1$ so that $|T - S| < 2$. But

$$|T - S| = \sum_{k=1}^n (f(t_k) - f(s_k)) \Delta_k x = (f(u) - f(s_\ell)) \Delta_\ell x > \left(\left(M + \frac{2}{d} \right) - M \right) \Delta_\ell x = \frac{2}{d} \cdot \Delta_\ell \geq \frac{2}{d} \cdot d = 2.$$

4: Determine (with proof) which of the following statements are true for all bounded functions $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

(a) If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} f(\frac{k}{n})$ exists then f is integrable on $[0, 1]$.

Solution: This is FALSE. For example, let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function from Example 3.4 in the Lecture Notes given by $f(x) = 1$ when $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $f(x) = 0$ when $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$. As shown in Example 3.4, this function is not integrable, but we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} f(\frac{k}{n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \cdot 1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 = 1$.

(b) For all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and $S \in \mathbb{R}$, if $f(a) < S < f(b)$ then there exists a partition $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of $[0, 1]$ and there exist sample points $t_k \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n f(t_k) \Delta_k x = S$.

Solution: This is TRUE. Let $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and $S \in \mathbb{R}$ with $f(a) < S < f(b)$.

Suppose first that one of $f(0)$ and $f(1)$ is less than or equal to S and that the other is greater than or equal to S , let us say that $f(0) \leq S \leq f(1)$. If $f(0) = S$ then S is equal to the Riemann sum for f on the partition $X = \{x_0, x_1\} = \{0, 1\}$ with sample point $t_1 = 0$. Similarly, if $f(1) = S$ then S is equal to the Riemann sum for f on $X = \{x_0, x_1\} = \{0, 1\}$ with $t_1 = 1$. Suppose that $f(0) < S < f(1)$. Let $c = \frac{f(1)-S}{f(1)-f(0)}$ and note that $0 < c < 1$. Let X be the partition $X = \{x_0, x_1, x_2\} = \{0, c, 1\}$ and let $t_1 = 0$ and $t_2 = 1$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^2 f(t_k) \Delta_k x = f(0)c + f(1)(1-c) = f(0) \frac{f(1)-S}{f(1)-f(0)} + f(1) \frac{S-f(0)}{f(1)-f(0)} = S.$$

Now suppose that either both $f(0)$ and $f(1)$ are smaller than S , or they are both larger than S , let us say they are both smaller (the case that they are both larger is similar, but interchanges the roles of a and b). We have $f(0) < S$ and $f(1) < S$ and $S < f(b)$. Note that $b \neq 0, 1$ so we have $b \in (0, 1)$. Let

$$c = \frac{bf(b)-bS}{f(b)-f(0)} \quad \text{and} \quad d = \frac{(1-b)S+bf(b)-f(1)}{f(b)-f(1)}$$

(c and d are chosen so that $cf(0) + (b-c)f(b) = bS$ and $(d-b)f(b) + (1-d)f(1) = (1-b)S$). Since $S > f(0)$ we have $c < \frac{bf(b)-bS}{f(b)-f(0)} = b$ and since $S < f(b)$ we have $c > 0$ so that $0 < c < b$. Since $S < f(b)$ we have $d < \frac{(1-b)f(b)+bf(b)-f(1)}{f(b)-f(1)} = 1$ and since $S > f(1)$ we have $d > \frac{(1-b)f(1)+bf(b)-f(1)}{f(b)-f(1)} = b$ so that $b < d < 1$. Let X be the partition $X = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\} = \{0, c, d, 1\}$ and use the sample points $t_1 = 0$, $t_2 = b$ and $t_3 = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^3 f(t_k) \Delta_k x &= f(0)(c-0) + f(b)(d-c) + f(1)(1-d) = (f(b)-(1))d - (f(b)-f(0))c + f(1) \\ &= ((1-b)S + bf(b) - f(1)) - (bf(b) - bS) + f(1) = S. \end{aligned}$$