

## Chapter 9. Definition and Examples of Rings and Subrings

**9.1 Definition:** A **ring** is a set  $R$  with two binary operations, addition denoted by  $+$  and multiplication denoted by  $\times$ , by  $\cdot$  or by concatenation, and an element  $0 \in R$  such that

- (1)  $+$  is associative:  $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$  for all  $a, b, c \in R$ ,
- (2)  $+$  is commutative:  $a + b = b + a$  for all  $a, b, c \in R$ ,
- (3)  $0$  is an additive identity:  $a + 0 = 0 + a = a$  for all  $a \in R$ ,
- (4) every  $a \in R$  has an additive inverse: there exists  $b \in R$  such that  $a + b = b + a = 0$ ,
- (5)  $\times$  is associative:  $(ab)c = a(bc)$  for all  $a, b, c \in R$ , and
- (6)  $\times$  is distributive over  $+$ :  $a(b + c) = ab + ac$  and  $(a + b)c = ac + bc$  for all  $a, b, c \in R$ .

We say that  $R$  is **commutative** when  $\times$  is commutative, that is  $ab = ba$  for all  $a, b \in R$ . We say that  $R$  has an **identity** (or that  $R$  has a 1) when it has a multiplicative identity, that is when there is a non-zero element  $1 \in R$  such that  $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a$  for all  $a \in R$ . When  $R$  has a 1, for  $a \in R$  we say that  $a$  is **invertible** (or that  $a$  is a **unit**) when there is an element  $b \in R$  with  $ab = 1 = ba$ . A **division ring** is a ring  $R$  with identity such that every non-zero element of  $R$  is invertible. A **field** is a commutative division ring.

**9.2 Theorem:** (*Uniqueness of Identity and Inverse*) Let  $R$  be a ring. Then

- (1) the additive identity  $0$  is unique in the sense that if  $e \in R$  has the property that  $a + e = a = e + a$  for all  $a \in R$  then  $e = 0$ ,
- (2) the additive inverse of  $a \in G$  is unique in the sense that for all  $a, b, c \in G$  if we have  $a + b = 0 = b + a$  and  $a + c = 0 = c + a$  then  $b = c$ ,
- (3) if  $R$  has a 1, then it is unique in the sense that for all  $u \in R$ , if  $u$  has the property that  $au = a = ua$  for all  $a \in G$  then  $u = 1$ , and
- (4) if  $R$  has a 1 and  $a \in R$  has an inverse, then it is unique in the sense that for all  $a \in G$  if there exist  $b, c \in G$  such that  $ab = ba = 1$  and  $ac = ca = 1$  then  $b = c$ .

**9.3 Notation:** Let  $R$  be a ring. For  $a \in R$  we denote the unique additive inverse of  $a \in R$  by  $-a$ , and for  $a, b \in R$  we write  $b - a$  for  $b + (-a)$ . If  $R$  has a 1 and  $a \in R$  has a multiplicative inverse, we say that  $a$  is a **unit** in  $R$ , and we denote its inverse by  $a^{-1}$ .

**9.4 Theorem:** (*Cancellation Under Addition*) Let  $R$  be a ring. Then for all  $a, b, c \in R$ ,

- (1) if  $a + c = b + c$  then  $a = b$ ,
- (2) if  $a + b = a$  then  $b = 0$ , and
- (3) if  $a + b = 0$  then  $b = -a$ .

**9.5 Note:** We do not, in general, have similar rules for cancellation under multiplication. In general, for  $a, b, c$  in a ring  $R$ ,  $ac = bc$  does not imply that  $a = b$ ,  $ac = a$  does not imply that  $c = 1$ ,  $ac = 1$  does not imply that  $ca = 1$ , and  $ac = 0$  does not imply that  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ . When  $ac = 1$  we say that  $a$  is a **left inverse** for  $c$  and that  $c$  is a **right inverse** for  $a$ . When  $ac = 0$  but  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ , we say that  $a$  and  $b$  are **zero divisors**. A commutative ring with 1 which has no zero divisors is called an **integral domain**.

**9.6 Theorem:** (*Cancellation Under Multiplication*) Let  $R$  be a ring. For all  $a, b, c \in R$ , if  $ac = bc$ , or if  $ca = cb$ , then either  $a = b$  or  $c = 0$  or  $c$  is a zero divisor.

Proof: Suppose  $ac = bc$ . Then  $ac - bc = 0$  so  $(a - b)c = 0$ . Either  $(a - b) = 0$  so  $a = b$ , or  $c = 0$  or  $(a - b)$  and  $c$  are zero divisors. The case that  $ca = cb$  is similar.

**9.7 Theorem:** (Basic Properties of Rings) Let  $R$  be a ring. Then

- (1)  $0 \cdot a = a \cdot 0 = 0$  for all  $a \in R$ ,
- (2)  $(-a)b = -(ab) = a(-b)$  for all  $a, b \in R$ ,
- (3)  $(-a)(-b) = ab$  for all  $a, b \in R$ ,
- (4) if  $R$  has a 1 then  $(-1)a = -a$  for all  $a \in R$ .

Proof: Let  $a \in R$ . Then  $0 \cdot a = (0 + 0) \cdot a = 0 \cdot a + 0 \cdot a$ . Thus  $0 \cdot a = 0$  by additive cancellation. The proof that  $a \cdot 0 = 0$  is similar, and the other proofs are left as an exercise.

**9.8 Notation:** Let  $R$  be a ring. For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  we write  $ka = a + a + \cdots + a$  with  $k$  terms in the sum, and we write  $(-k)a = k(-a)$ , and we write  $a^k = a \cdot a \cdot \cdots \cdot a$  with  $k$  terms in the product. For  $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  we write  $0a = 0$  and if  $R$  has a 1 we write  $a^0 = 1$ . If  $R$  has a 1 and  $a \in R$  is a unit, we write  $a^{-k} = (a^{-1})^k$ . For all  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and all  $a \in R$  we have  $(k + l)a = ka + la$ ,  $(-k)a = -(ka) = k(-a)$ ,  $-(-a) = a$ ,  $-(a + b) = -a - b$ ,  $(ka)(lb) = (kl)(ab)$ . For  $a \in R$  and  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  we have  $a^{k+l} = a^k a^l$ . When  $R$  has a 1 and  $a$  and  $b$  are units, then for  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $a^{k+l} = a^k a^l$ ,  $a^{-k} = (a^k)^{-1}$ ,  $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$  and  $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1} a^{-1}$ .

**9.9 Example:**  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  are all commutative rings with 1. Of these,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ , and also  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  when  $p$  is prime, are fields.

**9.10 Example:** The ring of real **quaternions** is the set  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbb{R}^4$  in which we write  $1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ ,  $i = (0, 1, 0, 0)$ ,  $j = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ ,  $k = (0, 0, 0, 1)$  and for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we write  $t = (t, 0, 0, 0)$ ,  $ti = it = (0, t, 0, 0)$ ,  $tj = jt = (0, 0, t, 0)$  and  $tk = kt = (0, 0, 0, t)$ . We define addition as usual in  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbb{R}^4$ . and we define multiplication by requiring that  $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$ , that  $ij = -ji = k$ ,  $jk = -kj = i$  and  $ki = -ik = j$ , and that every real number commutes with  $i$ ,  $j$  and  $k$ . It can be verified that  $\mathbf{H}$  is a division ring with

$$(a + ib + jc + kd)^{-1} = \frac{a - ib - jc - kd}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}$$

for all  $0 \neq a + ib + jc + kd \in \mathbf{H}$ .

**9.11 Example:** For a set  $A$  and a ring  $R$ , the set

$$\text{Func}(A, R) = R^A = \{\text{functions } f : A \rightarrow R\}$$

is a ring under the operations given by  $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$  and  $(fg)(x) = f(x)g(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ . If  $R$  is commutative then so is  $\text{Func}(A, R)$ . If  $R$  has identity 1 then the identity of  $\text{Func}(A, R)$  is the constant function  $1 : A \rightarrow R$  given by  $1(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in A$ .

**9.12 Example:** For a group  $G$ , an **endomorphism** of  $G$  is a group homomorphism  $\phi : G \rightarrow G$ . If  $G$  is an additive abelian group then the set

$$\text{End}(G) = \{\text{endomorphisms } \phi : G \rightarrow G\}$$

is a ring under the operations given by  $(\phi + \psi)(x) = \phi(x) + \psi(x)$  and  $(\phi\psi)(x) = \phi(\psi(x))$  for all  $x \in G$ . The ring  $\text{End}(G)$  has an identity, namely the identity function  $I : G \rightarrow G$  given by  $I(x) = x$  for all  $x \in G$ .

**9.13 Example:** Let  $R$  be a ring with 1. Then the set

$$R^* = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is a unit}\}$$

is a group under multiplication, called the **group of units** of  $R$ .

**9.14 Example:** For a ring  $R$  and a variable symbol  $x$ , a **formal power series** in  $x$  over  $R$  is a sequence  $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$  with each  $a_i \in R$ , and we write this sequence as

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots .$$

The elements  $a_i$  are called the **coefficients** of  $f$  and  $a_0$  is called the **constant coefficient**. A power series of the form  $f(x) = a$  with  $a \in R$  is called a **constant series**. The set

$$R[[x]] = \{\text{formal power series in } x \text{ over } R\}$$

is a ring, which we call the **ring of formal power series** in  $x$  over  $R$ , with the following

operations: for  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j$  we have

$$(f + g)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_k + b_k) x^k, \text{ and } (fg)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^k \text{ where } c_k = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i b_{k-i} .$$

If  $R$  is commutative then so is  $R[[x]]$ , and if  $R$  has identity 1 then the identity of  $R[[x]]$  is the constant polynomial 1, that is the sequence  $1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$ . A **polynomial** in  $x$  over  $R$  is a formal power series with only finitely non-zero coefficients. When we have  $a_i = 0$  for all  $i > n$  we also write  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$ . When  $a_n \neq 0$  and  $a_i = 0$  for all  $i > n$  we say that  $a_n$  is the **leading coefficient** of  $f$  and that the **degree** of  $f$  is  $\deg(f) = n$ . The set

$$R[x] = \{\text{polynomials in } x \text{ over } R\}$$

is a ring, which we call the **ring of polynomials** in  $x$  over  $R$ , using the same operations as in  $R[[x]]$ .

**9.15 Example:** For a ring  $R$  and variable symbols  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , a **formal power series** in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  over  $R$  is a function  $a : \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow R$ , and we write this function as

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} \text{ where } a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = a(i_1, \dots, i_n) .$$

The elements  $a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in R$  are called the **coefficients** of the power series. The set

$$R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] = \{\text{formal power series in } x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ over } R\}$$

is a ring, called the **ring of formal power series** in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  over  $R$ , under the following operations: for  $f(x) = \sum a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$  and  $g(x) = \sum b_{j_1, \dots, j_n} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n}$  we define

$$(f + g)(x) = \sum (a_{k_1, \dots, k_n} + b_{k_1, \dots, k_n}) x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}$$

$$(fg)(x) = \sum c_{k_1, \dots, k_n} x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}$$

where  $c_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$  is the sum of all terms  $a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} b_{j_1, \dots, j_n}$  for which  $i_\alpha + j_\alpha = k_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ . A **polynomial** in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  over  $R$  is a formal power series with only finitely many non-zero coefficients, and the set

$$R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] = \{\text{polynomials in } x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ over } R\}$$

is a ring using the same operations as in  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ .

**9.16 Example:** For a ring  $R$ , the set

$$M_n(R) = \{n \times n \text{ matrices with entries in } R\}$$

is a ring under matrix addition and matrix multiplication, which we call the **ring of  $n \times n$  matrices over  $R$** . If  $R$  has identity 1 then the identity of  $M_n(R)$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix  $I$ .

**9.17 Example:** If  $R$  and  $S$  are rings then the cartesian product

$$R \times S = \{(a, b) \mid a \in R, b \in S\}$$

is a ring, called the **product ring** of  $R$  and  $S$ , with operations

$$(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d) \text{ and } (a, b)(c, d) = (ac, bd).$$

More generally, if  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  are rings then so is the product

$$\prod_{i=1}^n R_i = R_1 \times \dots \times R_n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid \text{each } a_i \in R_i\},$$

which we call the **product ring** of  $R_1, \dots, R_n$ , under the operations

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) + (b_1, \dots, b_n) = (a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_n + b_n), \text{ and}$$

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n)(b_1, \dots, b_n) = (a_1 b_1, \dots, a_n b_n).$$

More generally still, if  $A$  is any set and  $R_\alpha$  is a ring for each  $\alpha \in A$ , then the product

$$\prod_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha = \{f : A \rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha \mid f(\alpha) \in R_\alpha \text{ for all } \alpha \in A\}$$

is a ring, called the **product ring** of the rings  $R_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , under the operations

$$(f + g)(\alpha) = f(\alpha) + g(\alpha) \text{ and } (fg)(\alpha) = f(\alpha)g(\alpha).$$

**9.18 Theorem:** Let  $R$  be a finite ring. Then  $R$  is a field if and only if  $R$  is an integral domain.

Proof: Suppose that  $R$  is a field. Let  $a, b \in R$ . Suppose that  $ab = 0$  and  $a \neq 0$ . Then  $b = 1 \cdot b = (a^{-1}a)b = a^{-1}(ab) = a^{-1} \cdot 0 = 0$ . Thus  $R$  has no zero divisors.

Conversely, suppose that  $R$  is an integral domain. We must show that every non-zero element in  $R$  is a unit. Let  $0 \neq a \in R$ . Consider the left multiplication map  $L_a : R \rightarrow R$  given by  $L_a(x) = ax$ . For  $x, y \in R$  we have  $L_a(x) = L_a(y) \implies ax = ay \implies x = y$  by cancellation, since  $a \neq 0$  and  $a$  is not a zero divisor. Thus  $L_a$  is injective. Since  $R$  is finite, this implies that  $L_a$  is bijective. In particular, we can choose  $b \in R$  so that  $L_a(b) = 1$ , that is  $ab = 1$ . Similarly, right multiplication  $R_a$  is bijective, and so we can choose  $c \in R$  so that  $ca = 1$ . Then we have  $c = c \cdot 1 = c(ab) = (ca)b = 1 \cdot b = b$ , and so  $a$  is a unit with  $a^{-1} = b = c$ .

**9.19 Definition:** Let  $R$  be a ring with 1. We define the **characteristic** of  $R$ , written as  $\text{char}(R)$ , to be the smallest  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $n \cdot 1 = 0$  if such an  $n$  exists, and if no such  $n$  exists then the characteristic of  $R$  is 0. Note that when  $n \cdot 1 = 0$  we have  $n \cdot a = 0$  for all  $a \in R$  because  $na = a + a + \dots + a = (1 + 1 + \dots + 1)a = (n \cdot 1)a$ .

**9.20 Theorem:** Let  $R$  be a ring with 1 with no zero divisors. Then either  $\text{char}(R) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(R)$  is prime.

Proof: Suppose  $\text{char}(R) = n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $n$  is composite, say  $n = kl$  with  $1 < k, l < n$ . Then  $0 = n \cdot 1 = (kl) \cdot 1 = (k \cdot 1)(l \cdot 1)$ . Since  $R$  has no zero divisors, either  $k \cdot 1 = 0$  or  $l \cdot 1 = 0$ . This contradicts the definition of  $n = \text{char}(R)$ .

**9.21 Definition:** A **subring** of a ring  $R$  is a subset  $S \subseteq R$  which is a ring using the same operations used in  $R$ . Similarly, a **subfield** of a field  $F$  is a subset  $K \subseteq F$  which is also a field using the same operations used in  $F$ .

**9.22 Theorem:** If  $S$  be a subset of a ring  $R$ , then  $S$  is a subring of  $R$  if and only if

- (1)  $0 \in S$ ,
- (2)  $S$  is closed under addition, that is  $a + b \in S$  for all  $a, b \in S$ ,
- (3)  $S$  is closed under multiplication, that is  $ab \in S$  for all  $a, b \in S$ , and
- (4)  $S$  is closed under additive inverse, that is  $-a \in S$  for all  $a \in S$ .

Similarly, if  $K$  is a subset of a field  $F$  then  $K$  is a subfield of  $F$  if and only if

- (1)  $0 \in K$  and  $1 \in K$ ,
- (2)  $K$  is closed under addition, that is  $a + b \in K$  for all  $a, b \in K$ ,
- (3)  $K$  is closed under multiplication, that is  $ab \in K$  for all  $a, b \in K$ ,
- (4)  $K$  is closed under additive inverse, that is  $-a \in S$  for all  $a \in K$ , and
- (5)  $K$  is closed under multiplicative inverse, that is  $a^{-1} \in K$  for all  $0 \neq a \in F$ .

**9.23 Example:**  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{H}$ . Also,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$  which is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**9.24 Example:** In  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the subgroups are of the form  $\langle n \rangle = \{kn \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  where  $0 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Each of these subgroups is also a subring of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , the subgroups are of the form  $\langle d \rangle = \{kd \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{n/d}\}$  where  $d \mid n$ , and each of these subgroups is also a subring.

**9.25 Example:** In  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  we have the subring  $\langle 3 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 9\}$ . Notice that  $9 \cdot 0 = 0$ ,  $9 \cdot 3 = 3$ ,  $9 \cdot 6 = 6$  and  $9 \cdot 9 = 9$ , so 9 is the identity element in the group  $\langle 3 \rangle$ . This example shows that the identity element in a subring of  $R$  does not need to be equal to the identity element of  $R$ .

**9.26 Example:** Define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] &= \{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] &= \{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$  because

$$(a + b\sqrt{2})(c + d\sqrt{2}) = (ac + 2bd) + (ad + bc)\sqrt{2}.$$

In fact  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$  because for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , if  $a + b\sqrt{2} \neq 0$  then  $a^2 \neq 2b^2$  and

$$(a + b\sqrt{2}) \left( \frac{a}{a^2 - 2b^2} - \frac{b}{a^2 - 2b^2} \sqrt{2} \right) = 1.$$

**9.27 Example:** More generally, if  $R$  is a subring of  $S$  and  $A \subseteq S$ , then we write  $R[A]$  for the smallest subring of  $S$  which contains  $R$  and  $A$ , or equivalently the intersection of all subrings of  $S$  which contain  $R \cup A$ . Some particular cases of this include the subrings

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}[i] &= \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \\ \mathbb{Q}[\alpha] &= \{a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2 \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}, \text{ where } \alpha = e^{i2\pi/3} \\ \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}] &= \{a + b\sqrt{2} + c\sqrt{3} + d\sqrt{6} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}.\end{aligned}$$

As an exercise, check that these are all rings and that  $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$  and  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}]$  are fields.

**9.28 Example:** We sometimes use notation, similar to the notation used in the above example, for some other rings. For example, we write

$$\mathbb{Z}_n[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}.$$

This is a ring under the operations given by  $(a + bi) + (c + di) = (a + c) + (b + d)i$  and  $(a + bi)(c + di) = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i$ .

**9.29 Example:** For an interval  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\mathcal{C}^0(A, \mathbb{R})$  denote the set of continuous functions  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  let  $\mathcal{C}^k(A, \mathbb{R})$  denote the set of functions  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the  $k^{\text{th}}$  derivative  $f^{(k)}$  exists and is continuous in  $A$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(A, \mathbb{R})$  denote the set of infinitely differentiable functions  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(A, \mathbb{R})$  is a subring of  $\mathcal{C}^k(A, \mathbb{R})$  which is a subring of  $\mathcal{C}^0(A, \mathbb{R})$  which, in turn, is a subring of  $\text{Func}(A, \mathbb{R})$ .

**9.30 Example:** For a ring  $R$ , the polynomial ring  $R[x]$  is a subring of the formal power series ring  $R[[x]]$ . More generally,  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a subring of  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . If  $S$  is a subring of  $R$  then  $S[x]$  is a subring of  $R[x]$  and  $S[[x]]$  is a subring of  $R[[x]]$ , and more generally,  $S[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a subring of  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $S[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  is a subring of  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . We can regard  $R$  as a subring of  $R[x]$  by identifying an element  $a \in R$  with the corresponding constant polynomial in  $R[x]$ . Similarly, we can regard  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  as a subring of  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}]$  and  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  as a subring of  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}]]$ .

**9.31 Example:** Although we can regard the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  as a subring of the ring of functions  $\text{Func}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  (since we can regard a polynomial as a kind of function), in general given a ring  $R$  we cannot regard  $R[x]$  as a subring of  $\text{Func}(R, R)$ . For example, if  $R$  is finite, say with  $|R| = n$ , then  $|\text{Func}(R, R)| = n^n$  but  $|R[x]| = \infty$  (or more precisely  $|R[x]| = \aleph_0$ ).

**9.32 Example:** For a ring  $R$ , the set  $T_n(R)$  of upper-triangular matrices with entries in  $R$  is a subring of  $M_n(R)$ . If  $S$  is a subring of  $R$  then  $M_n(S)$  is a subring of  $M_n(R)$ .

**9.33 Definition:** For a ring  $R$ , we define the **centre** of  $R$  to be the ring

$$Z(R) = \{a \in R \mid ax = xa \text{ for all } x \in R\}.$$

As an exercise, verify that  $Z(R)$  is in fact a subring of  $R$ .