3. Summary of quantum mechanics

- (a) Linear algebra and <u>Dirac notation</u> (Self-study + test, NC 2.1, KLM 2.1-2.6, 2.8)
- (b) Axioms of quantum mechanics
 (NC 2.2.1-2.2.5, 2.2.7, KLM 3.1-3.4)
- → (c) Composite systems, entanglement, operations on 1 out of 2 systems, locality of QM (NC 2.2.8-2.2.9)

Consider two systems S1, S2, with dimensions d1, d2. How do we describe the most general state on S1 S2?

Method 1: express in tensor product basis

Consider two systems S1, S2, with dimensions d1, d2. How do we describe the most general state on S1 S2?

Method 1: express in tensor product basis

Take ANY basis of S1 $\{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, ..., |e_d\rangle$ and ANY basis of S2 $\{|f_1\rangle, |f_2\rangle, ..., |f_d\rangle\}$.

Then, ANY state on S1 S2 can be expressed as:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{2}} C_{ij} |e_{i}\rangle \otimes |f_{j}\rangle \text{ where } C_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}, \ \sum_{i=1}^{d_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{2}} |C_{ij}|^{2} = |.$$

Consider two systems S1, S2, with dimensions d1, d2. How do we describe the most general state on S1 S2?

Method 1: express in tensor product basis

Take ANY basis of S1 $\{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, ..., |e_{d_1}\rangle\}$ and ANY basis of S2 $\{|f_1\rangle, |f_2\rangle, ..., |f_{d_2}\rangle\}$.

Then, ANY state on S1 S2 can be expressed as:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_2} C_{ij} |e_i\rangle \otimes |f_j\rangle \text{ where } C_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{i=1}^{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d_2} |C_{ij}|^2 = |.$$

e.g. $|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{10} |\Psi\rangle + \frac{1}{10} |0\rangle |-\rangle + i \frac{1}{10} |1\rangle |+\rangle + \frac{1}{10} |1\rangle |-\rangle$

Features: we can choose both basis, but there are d1 d2 terms in the expression.

Consider two systems S1, S2, with dimensions d1, d2. How do we describe the most general state on S1 S2?

Method 2: Take ANY basis of S1 $\{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, ..., |e_d\rangle$

Then, ANY state on S1 S2 can be expressed as:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{2}} c_{ij} |e_{i}\rangle \otimes |f_{j}\rangle \quad \text{where } c_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}, \ \sum_{i=1}^{d_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{2}} |c_{ij}|^{2} = |.$$

Consider two systems S1, S2, with dimensions d1, d2. How do we describe the most general state on S1 S2?

Method 2: Take ANY basis of S1 $\{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, ..., |e_d\rangle$

Then, ANY state on S1 S2 can be expressed as:

$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{z}} c_{ij} |e_{i}\rangle \otimes |f_{j}\rangle \quad \text{where } c_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}, \ \sum_{i=1}^{d_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{z}} |c_{ij}|^{2} = |.\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_{i}} |e_{i}\rangle \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{d_{z}} c_{ij} |f_{j}\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d_{i}} |e_{i}\rangle \otimes |\eta_{i}\rangle \ll i \end{split}$$

Consider two systems S1, S2, with dimensions d1, d2. How do we describe the most general state on S1 S2?

Method 2: Take ANY basis of S1 $\{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, ..., |e_d\rangle$ Then, ANY state on S1 S2 can be expressed as:

$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{2}} c_{ij} |e_{i}\rangle \otimes |f_{j}\rangle \quad \text{where } c_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}, \ \sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{2}} |c_{ij}|^{2} = |.\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} |e_{i}\rangle \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{d_{2}} c_{ij} |f_{j}\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} |e_{i}\rangle \otimes |\eta_{i}\rangle \ll i\\ &\text{where } \forall i \ |\eta_{i}\rangle = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{d_{2}} c_{ij} |f_{j}\rangle}{\sqrt{\frac{d_{2}}{\frac{j}{j}} |c_{ij}|^{2}}} \text{ is a unit vector}\\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \sqrt{\frac{d_{2}}{\frac{d_{2}}{\frac{j}{j}}} |c_{ij}|^{2}} \right|. \end{split}$$

1.

Consider two systems S1, S2, with dimensions d1, d2. How do we describe the most general state on S1 S2?

Method 2: Take ANY basis of S1 $\{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, ..., |e_4\rangle$

Then, ANY state on S1 S2 can be expressed as:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |e_i\rangle \otimes |\eta_i\rangle \ll |\psi\rangle$$

where $\forall i |\eta_i\rangle$ is a unit vector, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|^2 = |\psi|$

Features: only d1 terms, we choose the basis $\{|e_i\rangle\}$ no control what $|\eta_i\rangle' \le$ are (not even orthonormal). Note basis for S2 totally disappears.

NB we can choose a basis for S2 and derive the state on S1 instead.

e.g., choose basis for S2 to be $\{ |+\rangle, |-\rangle \}$

 $|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{10} |0\rangle| + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} |0\rangle| - \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} |0\rangle| + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} |0\rangle| - \frac{1}{10}$

e.g., choose basis for S2 to be $\{ |+\rangle, |-\rangle \}$

e.g., choose basis for S2 to be $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$ $|\Psi\rangle = \overline{K_0}|_0\rangle|_+\rangle + \overline{K_0}|_0\rangle|_-\rangle + \overline{L_0}|_0\rangle|_+\rangle + \overline{K_0}|_0\rangle|_-\rangle$ $= (\overline{K_0}|_0\rangle + \overline{L_0}|_0\rangle|_+\rangle + (\overline{K_0}|_0\rangle + \overline{K_0}|_0\rangle)|_-\rangle$ $= \overline{K_0}|_0\rangle|_+\rangle + \overline{K_0}|_0\rangle|_-\rangle$

where $|\eta_{+}\rangle = (\sqrt{45}|0\rangle + \sqrt{45}|1\rangle) = \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle + \sqrt{45}|1\rangle,$ $\sqrt{45}$ $|\eta_{-}\rangle = (\sqrt{45}|0\rangle + \sqrt{45}|1\rangle) = \sqrt{4}|0\rangle + \sqrt{45}|1\rangle.$ $\sqrt{45}$

Consider two systems S1, S2, with dimensions d1, d2. How do we describe the most general state on S1 S2?

Method 3: The Schmidt decomposition

ANY state on S1 S2 can be expressed as:

Consider two systems S1, S2, with dimensions d1, d2. How do we describe the most general state on S1 S2?

Method 3: The Schmidt decomposition

ANY state on S1 S2 can be expressed as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{a} c_i |e_i\rangle \otimes |f_i\rangle$$

where
$$d = \min(d_1, d_2)$$
, $C_{\overline{i}} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\sum_{\overline{i}=1}^{d} |C_{\overline{i}}|^2 = |$,

 $\{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, ..., |e_d\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal set of states on S1 $\{|f_1\rangle, |f_2\rangle, ..., |f_d\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal set of states on S2

Consider two systems S1, S2, with dimensions d1, d2. How do we describe the most general state on S1 S2?

Method 3: The Schmidt decomposition

ANY state on S1 S2 can be expressed as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{a} c_i |e_i\rangle \otimes |f_i\rangle$$

where
$$d = \min(d_1, d_2)$$
, $C_{\overline{i}} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\sum_{\overline{i}=1}^{d} |C_{\overline{i}}|^2 = |$,

 $\{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, ..., |e_4\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal set of states on S1 $\{|f_1\rangle, |f_2\rangle, ..., |f_4\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal set of states on S2

Features: only d terms, BOTH $\{|e_i\rangle\}_{j}\{|f_i\rangle\}$ are o.n. (like bases) but we CANNOT choose either.

Consider two systems S1, S2, with dimensions d1, d2. How do we describe the most general state on S1 S2?

Method 3: The Schmidt decomposition

ANY state on S1 S2 can be expressed as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{a} c_i |e_i\rangle \otimes |f_i\rangle$$

where
$$d = \min(d_1, d_2)$$
, $C_{\hat{i}} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\sum_{\hat{i}=1}^{d} |C_{\hat{i}}|^2 = |$,

 $\{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, ..., |e_d\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal set of states on S1 $\{|f_1\rangle, |f_2\rangle, ..., |f_d\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal set of states on S2

Proof will be deferred to week 7-8. We will not need this representation until then.

Summary:

- Method 1: double sum, expressed in a basis in each system, can choose both bases.
- Method 2: single sum, expressed in a basis in one system, can choose that basis.

Method 3: single sum, expressed in a basis in each system, cannot choose either basis.

What about 3 or more systems, say, r systems?

What about 3 or more systems, say, r systems?

A state on S1 S2 ... Sr can be expressed as a state on S1 and S2 ... Sr (the latter as a single system), then, recursively, each state on S2 ... Sr can be expressed as a state on S2 and S3 ... Sr and so on.

Why so many representations?

Why so many representations?

Each analysis is facilitated by some representation.

e.g., orthonormal states, or having few terms to analyze, are all useful properties.

e.g., In the Schmidt decomposition, we will see that the state is entangled (not a product state) if and only if there are at least two nonzero ci's.

Why so many representations?

Each analysis is facilitated by some representation.

- e.g., orthonormal states, or having few terms to analyze, are all useful properties.
- e.g., In the Schmidt decomposition, we will see that the state is entangled (not a product state) if and only if there are at least two nonzero ci's.
- e.g., second representation is particularly useful when considering operation on one out of two systems that we will see next.

Recall:

In the linear algebraic formalism for evolving a classical circuit, we apply the matrix representation of the gate to the vector residing on the input register of the gate, tensor with the identity operator on the other registers.

Why such formalism?

Recall:

In the linear algebraic formalism for evolving a classical circuit, we apply the matrix representation of the gate to the vector residing on the input register of the gate, tensor with the identity operator on the other registers.

Why such formalism?

Because that's how we evolve quantum mechanical systems and quantum circuits.

The only difference is that, in classical circuits, the state vector is a probability distribution over the classical bit values, so, the vector has non-negative entries, and is a unit vector in 1-norm. In QM, the state vector is a unit vector in 2-norm.

 \uparrow need definitions?

Consider two systems S1, S2 with d1, d2 dims.

If the initial state is $|\Psi\rangle$, a unitary U is applied to S1, then the final state is $U \otimes I |\Psi\rangle$.

e.g., d1 = d2 = 2.

 $|\Psi\rangle = \pi|0\rangle|0\rangle + \pi|0\rangle|1\rangle + \pi|1\rangle|0\rangle + \pi|1\rangle|1\rangle$ If X = $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is applied to S2, what is the final state?

e.g., $|\Psi\rangle = \pi |0\rangle|0\rangle + \pi |0\rangle|1\rangle + \pi |1\rangle|0\rangle + \pi |1\rangle|1\rangle$ If X = $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is applied to S2, what is the final state?

e.g., $|\Psi\rangle = \pi|0\rangle|0\rangle + \pi|0\rangle|1\rangle + \pi|1\rangle|0\rangle + \pi|1\rangle|1\rangle$ If X = $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is applied to S2, what is the final state?

Method 1: in the basis $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$

$$|\Psi\rangle = \left(\begin{array}{c} \Pi_{0} \\ \Pi_{0} \\ \Pi_{0} \\ \Pi_{0} \\ \Pi_{0} \\ \Pi_{0} \\ \Pi_{0} \end{array} \right), \quad \mathbb{I} \otimes X = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & | & 0 & 0 \\ | & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

e.g., $|\Psi\rangle = \overline{K}|0\rangle|0\rangle + \overline{K}|0\rangle|1\rangle + \overline{K}|1\rangle|0\rangle + \overline{K}|1\rangle|1\rangle$ If X = $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is applied to S2, what is the final state?

Method 1: in the basis $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$

$$|\Psi\rangle = \left(\prod_{\substack{i \in I \\ i \in I$$

Final state = $I \otimes X |\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 | & 0 & 0 \\ | & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ | & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_0 \\ \pi_0 \\$

e.g., $|\Psi\rangle = \pi |0\rangle|0\rangle + \pi |0\rangle|1\rangle + \pi |1\rangle|0\rangle + \pi |1\rangle|1\rangle$ If X = $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is applied to S2, what is the final state?

Method 2:

Final state = $I \otimes X |\Psi\rangle$

= I⊗X((〒10)10>+(〒10)11>+(〒1)10>+(円1)1>)

e.g., $|\Psi\rangle = \overline{A}_{0}|0\rangle + \overline{A}_{0}|0\rangle + \overline{A}_{0}|1\rangle + \overline{A}_{0}|1\rangle|0\rangle + \overline{A}_{0}|1\rangle|1\rangle$ If X = $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is applied to S2, what is the final state?

Method 2:

Final state = $I \otimes X |\Psi\rangle$

- = I⊗X(品|0>|0>+品|0>|1>+品|1>|0>+品|1>|)) (<|1>|1>)
- = I & X (1 | 0 | 0) + I & X (1 | 0) | 1)
- + I & X 帰 | 1 > 1 0 > + I & X 晤 | 1 > 1 >

e.g., $|\Psi\rangle = \pi |0\rangle|0\rangle + \pi |0\rangle|1\rangle + \pi |1\rangle|0\rangle + \pi |1\rangle|1\rangle$ If X = $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is applied to S2, what is the final state?

Method 2:

Final state = $I \otimes X |\Psi\rangle$

- = I⊗X(振|0>|0>+帰|0>|1>+帰|1>|0>+振|1>|))
- = I & X (石 | 0 > | 0 > + I & X (石 | 0 > | 1 >
- + I ⊗ X 帰 | 1 > 1 0 > + I ⊗ X 帰 | 1 > 1 >
- = 「[1]>|1>+ 「[1]>|0>|0> + 「[1]>|1>+ 「[1]>|0>.

Ex. Check that the 2 methods give the same answers. Quick question:

e.g., $|\Psi\rangle = \pi |0\rangle|0\rangle + \pi |0\rangle|1\rangle + \pi |1\rangle|0\rangle + \pi |1\rangle|1\rangle$ If $Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ is applied to the first qubit, what is the final state?

(a) 振 |0>|0> + 振 |0>|1> + 振 |1>|0> - 振 |1>|1>
(b) 振 |0>|0> + 振 |0>|1> - 振 |1>|0> - 振 |1>|1>
(c) 振 |0>|0> - 振 |0>|1> + 振 |1>|0> - 振 |1>|1>
(d) 示 |0>|0> + 振 |0>|1> + 振 |1>|0> + 振 |1>|1>

<u>Measuring one out of two quantum systems</u>

Vast majority of this course is based on measuring one of two quantum systems ...

e.g., |ψ>= 振|0>|0>+ 張|0>|1>+ 張|1>|0>+ 張|1>|1>

What happens if we measure the second qubit along the computational basis?

Measuring one out of two quantum systemse.g., $|\psi\rangle = \sqrt{f_0} |0\rangle + \sqrt{f_0} |0\rangle + \sqrt{f_0} |1\rangle + \sqrt{f_0} |1\rangle |0\rangle + \sqrt{f_0} |1\rangle |1\rangle$ What happens if we measure the second qubit along
the computational basis?Answer: in the basis $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$ this is an incomplete measurement distinguishing
 $S_0 = \{|00\rangle, |10\rangle\}$ and $S_1 = \{|01\rangle, |11\rangle\}$

Measuring one out of two quantum systems e.g., $|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{16}|0\rangle|0\rangle + \frac{1}{16}|0\rangle|1\rangle + \frac{1}{16}|1\rangle|0\rangle + \frac{1}{16}|1\rangle|1\rangle$ What happens if we measure the second qubit along the computational basis?

Answer: in the basis $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$ this is an incomplete measurement distinguishing $S_0 = \{|00\rangle, |10\rangle\}$ and $S_1 = \{|01\rangle, |11\rangle\}$.

Probability to get "0": 1/10 + 3/10 = 0.4Postmeasurement state: $(\sqrt{16} | 0 \rangle + \sqrt{16} | 1 \rangle) \otimes | 0 \rangle / \sqrt{0.4}$ Measuring one out of two quantum systems e.g., $|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{16}|0\rangle|0\rangle + \frac{1}{16}|0\rangle|1\rangle + \frac{1}{16}|1\rangle|0\rangle + \frac{1}{16}|1\rangle|1\rangle$ What happens if we measure the second qubit along the computational basis?

Answer: in the basis $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$ this is an incomplete measurement distinguishing $S_0 = \{|00\rangle, |10\rangle\}$ and $S_1 = \{|01\rangle, |11\rangle\}$.

Probability to get "0": 1/10 + 3/10 = 0.4Postmeasurement state: $\left(\sqrt{10} | 0 \rangle + \sqrt{30} | 1 \rangle \right) \otimes | 0 \rangle / \sqrt{0.4}$ Probability to get "1": 2/10 + 4/10 = 0.6Postmeasurement state: $\left(\sqrt{10} | 0 \rangle + \sqrt{10} | 1 \rangle \right) \otimes | 1 \rangle / \sqrt{0.6}$.

Exercise:

This is an incomplete measurement distinguishing $S_0 = \{ |00\rangle, |10\rangle \}$ and $S_1 = \{ |01\rangle, |11\rangle \}$.

Show that the measurement can also be described by the projectors:

$$P_o = I \otimes | o \times o |$$
, $P_1 = I \otimes | 1 \times 1 |$

Find the probability of each outcome and the postmeasurement states using the projectors. Measuring one out of two quantum systems e.g., $|\psi\rangle = \overline{(16)}|0\rangle + \overline{(16)}|0\rangle + \overline{(16)}|1\rangle + \overline{(16)}|1\rangle|0\rangle + \overline{(16)}|1\rangle|1\rangle$ What happens if we measure the second qubit along the $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$ basis, where $|\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{12}(|0\rangle\pm|1\rangle)$? Measuring one out of two quantum systems e.g., $|\Psi\rangle = \overline{(f_0|0\rangle|0\rangle} + \overline{(f_0|0\rangle|1\rangle} + \overline{(f_0|0\rangle|0\rangle} + \overline{(f_0|0\rangle|1\rangle}$ What happens if we measure the second qubit along the { $|+\rangle$, $|-\rangle$ } basis, where $|\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{22} (|0\rangle \pm |1\rangle)$?

Answer: in the basis $\{|0+\rangle, |0-\rangle, |1+\rangle, |1-\rangle\}$ this is an incomplete measurement distinguishing $S_{+} = \{|0+\rangle, |1+\rangle\}$ and $S_{-} = \{|0-\rangle, |1-\rangle\}$. Measuring one out of two quantum systems e.g., $|\Psi\rangle = \overline{(f_0)}|_0\rangle + \overline{(f_0)}|_1\rangle + \overline{(f_0)}|_1\rangle + \overline{(f_0)}|_1\rangle$ What happens if we measure the second qubit along the { $|+\rangle$, $|-\rangle$ } basis, where $|\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{22}(|0\rangle\pm|1\rangle)$?

Answer: in the basis $\{|0+\rangle, |0-\rangle, |1+\rangle, |1-\rangle\}$ this is an incomplete measurement distinguishing $S_{+} = \{|0+\rangle, |1+\rangle\}$ and $S_{-} = \{|0-\rangle, |1-\rangle\}$.

The pre-measurement state is given to us in a basis different from the measurement basis.

Method 1: express the pre-measurement state in the measurement basis.

Method 1: express the pre-measurement state in the measurement basis.

Recall:
$$|0\rangle = \frac{1}{12}(|+\rangle + |-\rangle), |1\rangle = \frac{1}{12}(|+\rangle - |-\rangle)$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{12}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) + \frac{1}{12}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)}_{\frac{1}{12}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)}$$

 $|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{10} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{10} |0\rangle$

Method 1: express the pre-measurement state in the measurement basis.

Note that:
$$|0\rangle = \frac{1}{12} (|+\rangle + |-\rangle), |1\rangle = \frac{1}{12} (|+\rangle - |-\rangle)$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{12} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle) + \frac{1}{12} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)}_{\frac{1}{12} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)}$$

 $|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{10} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{10} |0\rangle$

Method 1: express the pre-measurement state in the measurement basis.

Note that:
$$|0\rangle = \frac{1}{12} (|+\rangle + |-\rangle), |1\rangle = \frac{1}{12} (|+\rangle - |-\rangle)$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{12} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle) + \frac{1}{12} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)}_{\frac{1}{12} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)}$$

 $|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{10} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{10} |0\rangle$

$$= \sqrt{(16)} \otimes \frac{1}{(1+)} + 1-)$$

$$+ \sqrt{(16)} \otimes \frac{1}{(1+)} + 1-)$$

$$+ \sqrt{(16)} \otimes \frac{1}{(1+)} + 1-)$$

$$+ \sqrt{(16)} \otimes \frac{1}{(1+)} + 1-)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(16)} (\sqrt{(16)} + \sqrt{(16)} + \sqrt{(16)$$

 $= \left[\begin{array}{c} 0.5398 & |0\rangle + & 0.8345 & |1\rangle \right] \otimes |+\rangle \\ + \left[\begin{array}{c} -0.0599 & |0\rangle + & -0.0926 & |1\rangle \end{array} \right] \otimes |-\rangle \end{array}$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c} 0.5398 & |0\rangle + & 0.8345 & |1\rangle \right] \otimes |+\rangle \\ + \left[\begin{array}{c} -0.0599 & |0\rangle + & -0.0926 & |1\rangle \end{array} \right] \otimes |-\rangle \end{array}$$

$$= \int 0.5398^{2} + 0.8345^{2} \left(0.5398 |0\rangle + 0.8345 |1\rangle \right) \otimes |+\rangle$$

$$= \int 0.0599^{2} + 0.8345^{2} \left(-0.0599 |0\rangle + -0.0926 |1\rangle \right) \otimes |-\rangle$$

$$= \int 0.0599^{2} + 0.0926^{2} \left(-0.0599 |0\rangle + -0.0926 |1\rangle \right) \otimes |-\rangle$$

$$= \int 0.0599^{2} + 0.0926^{2} - 0.0926^{2} + 0.0926^{2} - 0.0926^{2} + 0.0926^{2} - 0.092$$

Similarly for the "+" outcome.

Note what we just did was to express the state $|\Psi\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{10}}|0\rangle|0\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{10}}|0\rangle|1\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{10}}|1\rangle|0\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1}{10}}|1\rangle|1\rangle$

in the composite system (of two qubits) using method 2, where we choose $\{ |+\rangle, |-\rangle \}$ as the basis for the 2nd qubit.

 $|\Psi\rangle = \sqrt{10} |0\rangle|0\rangle + \sqrt{10} |0\rangle|1\rangle + \sqrt{10} |1\rangle|0\rangle + \sqrt{10} |1\rangle|1\rangle$ = $\int 0.5398^{2} + 0.8345^{2} \left(0.5398 |0\rangle + 0.8345 |1\rangle \right) \otimes |+\rangle$ $\int 0.5398^{2} + 0.8345^{2} \left(-0.0599 |0\rangle + -0.0926 |1\rangle \right) \otimes |-\rangle$ + $\int 0.0599^{2} + 0.0926^{2} \left(-0.0599 |0\rangle + -0.0926 |1\rangle \right) \otimes |-\rangle$ Measuring one out of two quantum systems e.g., $|\Psi\rangle = \overline{(f_0)}|_0\rangle + \overline{(f_0)}|_1\rangle + \overline{(f_0)}|_1\rangle|_0\rangle + \overline{(f_0)}|_1\rangle|_0\rangle$ What happens if we measure the second qubit along the $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$ basis, where $|\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{f_0}(|_0\rangle \pm |_1\rangle)$?

Answer: in the basis $\{|0+\rangle, |0-\rangle, |1+\rangle, |1-\rangle\}$ this is an incomplete measurement distinguishing $S_{+} = \{|0+\rangle, |1+\rangle\}$ and $S_{-} = \{|0-\rangle, |1-\rangle\}$. Measuring one out of two quantum systems e.g., $|\Psi\rangle = \pi |0\rangle|0\rangle + \pi |0\rangle|1\rangle + \pi |1\rangle|0\rangle + \pi |1\rangle|1\rangle$ What happens if we measure the second qubit along the { $|+\rangle$, $|-\rangle$ } basis, where $|\pm\rangle = \pm (|0\rangle\pm|1\rangle)$?

Answer: in the basis $\{|0+\rangle, |0-\rangle, |1+\rangle, |1-\rangle\}$ this is an incomplete measurement distinguishing $S_{+} = \{|0+\rangle, |1+\rangle\}$ and $S_{-} = \{|0-\rangle, |1-\rangle\}$.

Method 2: the meas is described by the projectors

 $P_+ = I \otimes |+X_+|$, $P_- = I \otimes |-X_-|$.

Ex: find the prob of each outcome $\|P_{+}|\Psi\rangle\|^{2}$, $\|P_{-}|\Psi\rangle\|^{2}$ and the post-measurement states $\frac{P_{+}|\Psi\rangle}{\|P_{+}|\Psi\rangle\|}$, $\frac{P_{-}|\Psi\rangle}{\|P_{-}|\Psi\rangle\|}$. Generalizing the above example ...

<u>Theorem</u>: for two systems S1, S2, of d1, d2 dims, if S1 is measured along the basis $\{|e_i\rangle\}$ then, the measurement has outcome "i" with prob $|\prec_i|^2$ with corresponding postmeasurement state $|e_i\rangle \otimes |\eta_i\rangle$ for an initial state expressible in the form:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d_{i}} |e_{i}\rangle \otimes |\eta_{i}\rangle \prec i$$

basis being unit vectors, need not be
measured mutually orthonormal

3. Summary of quantum mechanics

(a) Linear algebra and <u>Dirac notation</u> (Self-study + test, NC 2.1, KLM 2.1-2.6, 2.8)

(b) Axioms of quantum mechanics (NC 2.2.1-2.2.5, 2.2.7, KLM 3.1-3.4)

 \rightarrow (c) Composite systems, entanglement, operations on 1 out of 2 systems, locality of QM (NC 2.2.8-2.2.9)

Locality of quantum mechanics

Suppose Alice and Bob each holds one quantum system, and they share a joint initial state.

When, Alice measures her system, the GLOBAL post-measurement state can depend on her measurement outcome.

Locality of quantum mechanics

Suppose Alice and Bob each holds one quantum system, and they share a joint initial state.

When, Alice measures her system, the GLOBAL post-measurement state can depend on her measurement outcome.

e.g., sharing $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (10 >_{A} 10 >_{B} + 11 >_{A} 11 >_{B})$

Alice measures along the $\{10,11\}$ basis.

If her outcome is "0" Bob's state is (>>.

If her outcome is "1" Bob's state is $|1\rangle$.

Locality of quantum mechanics

Suppose Alice and Bob each holds one quantum system, and they share a joint initial state.

When, Alice measures her system, the GLOBAL post-measurement state can depend on her measurement outcome.

e.g., sharing $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (10 \lambda_{A} | 0 \lambda_{B} + 11 \lambda_{A} | 1 \lambda_{B})$

Alice measures along the $\{10\},11\}$ basis.

If her outcome is "0" Bob's state is (0>.

If her outcome is "1" Bob's state is $|1\rangle$.

Question: can Alice signal to Bob (transmitting a message) by measuring her system?

Question: can Alice signal to Bob (transmitting a message) by measuring her system?

Better not!

Alice knows her measurement outcome and Bob's state. BUT Bob DOESN'T.

Bob doesn't even know if Alice has made the meas or if she ever would. Whether Alice has measured or not, Bob only knows his state is $|0\rangle$ with prob 1/2, and $|1\rangle$ with prob 1/2.

Principle:

Without physically transmitting data, Alice's and Bob's independent actions cannot affect one another, else we run into a logical inconsistency.

Mathematically, their operations commute.

Principle:

Without physically transmitting data, Alice's and Bob's independent actions cannot affect one another, else we run into a logical inconsistency.

Mathematically, their operations commute.

In A1, you will prove a special case, wherein, for any initial 2-qubit state, for any measurement Alice can apply, and any measurement Bob can apply, Alice's output distribution is independent of Bob's measurement, and vice versa.